UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + +

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

+ + +

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE

+ + +

FEDERAL AGENCY DISBURSEMENTS PUBLIC HEARING

+ + +

Tuesday, December 9, 1997

+ + +

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

+ + +

The public hearing convened on the 5th floor Conference Room, Federal Reserve Bank, 950 South Grand, Los Angeles, California, at 9:20 a.m., Bettsy Lane, Moderator, presiding.

<u>APPEARANCES</u>:

GOVERNMENT PANEL

DONALD HAMMOND, Deputy Fiscal Assistant Secretary, Fiscal Service, Department of Treasury

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

GOVERNMENT PANEL (Continued)

- LARRY STOUT, Assistant Commissioner, Federal Finance, Financial Management Service, Department of Treasury
- WILLIAM SHELTON, Associate Deputy Assistant
 Secretary for Office of Financial
 Operations, Department of Veterans Affairs
- THOMAS G. STAPLES, Associate Commissioner for Financial Policy and Operations, Social Security Administration
- SHEILA LEITER, Los Angeles Area Director, Social Security Administration
- BETTSY LANE, Director, Cash Management Directorate, Financial Management Service, Department of Treasury

WITNESS

CONGRESSWOMAN MAXINE WATERS, House Banking and Financial Services Committee

CONSUMER ISSUES - PANEL #1

- ALAN FISHER, Executive Director, California Reinvestment Committee
- PAUL HUDSON, President and CEO, Broadway Federal Bank, FSB, Los Angeles, California
- JOHN BRYANT, Chairman and CEO, Operation Hope (Investment Banking), Los Angeles, CA
- LEONARD RANDOLPH, Executive Director, East Palo Alto Community Alliance and Neighborhood Development Organization

NEAL R. GROSS

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: (Continued)

FINANCIAL SERVICES ISSUES - PANEL #2

- LEA BAINES, Chairman, Ravenswood Federal Credit Union, East Palo Alto, California
- W. PAGE OGDEN, President and CEO, Britton and Koontz First National Bank, Natchez, Mississippi
- BRENDA YOST, Senior Vice President, Bank of America San Francisco, California
- JAMES BALL, National Check Cashers Association, Hackensack, New Jersey

CONSUMER ISSUES - PANEL #3

- ANNE MILLER, Member, AARP Board of Directors
- CHANCELA AL-MANSOUR, Attorney, San Fernando Valley Neighborhood Legal Services
- LAURA FRY, Attorney, Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles
- JIM BLIESNER, San Diego City County Reinvestment
 Task Force

CONSUMER ISSUES - PANEL #4

- JERRY DOYLE, Department of Community Action
- TESSA CARMEN DE ROY, Coordinator, Communities for Accountable Reinvestment
- SELWYN WHITEHEAD, President, Economic Empowerment Foundation
- CONSTANCE BOTELHO, Program Associate, California Reinvestment Committee

NEAL R. GROSS

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

	<u>PAGE</u>
Welcome and Introduction of Government Panel Members Donald Hammond	5
Presentation by Congresswoman Waters	11
Panel 1 Presentations:	
ALAN FISHER PAUL HUDSON JOHN BRYANT LEONARD RANDOLPH	32 41 53 66
Panel 2 Presentations:	
LEA BAINES W. PAGE OGDEN BRENDA YOST JAMES BALL	107 120 130 141
Panel 3 Presentations:	
ANNE MILLER CHANCELA AL-MANSOUR LAURA FRY JIM BLIESNER	173 178 194 207
Panel 4 Presentations:	
JERRY DOYLE TESSA CARMEN DE ROY SELWYN WHITEHEAD CONSTANCE BOTELHO	241 255 270 276

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

(9:20 A.M.)

MR. HAMMOND: I think we're going to begin, and I apologize for this slightly late start, but as -- as always, there's just a little bit of unforeseen circumstances.

But I'm sure we'll catch up as we go throughout the day.

And good morning. My name is Don Hammond. I'm the Deputy Fiscal Assistant Secretary of Treasury, and I'd like to welcome you to this, our fourth hearing on EFT 99, which is the conversion process of making all Federal payments electronically by January, 1999.

We appreciate your interest in this important initiative, and we look forward to hearing the comments and the insights from all our panelists today.

In fact, we have a very full agenda, which is going to be, certainly, but that's the kind of hearing that we've had throughout the process, and we really welcome the initiative, I believe of the

California Reinvestment Coalition in encouraging us to 1 2 hold it here in Los Angeles. 3 In writing the proposed regulations, the 4 Treasury Department met with interested numerous 5 financial parties, both services consumer 6 organizations, well interested political as as 7 entities. 8 We -- we've -- done this in the -- with the 9 intent of trying to gather as much perspective and 10 background for the process so that we can have the 11 proper insights, and the proper accommodations for 12 going forward with our regulatory process. 13 And in putting these Government proposed 14 regulations, we've made every attempt to adhere to four 15 basic principles. Like many of you, I referred to it a number 16 17 of times, but always keep going back to it yet again. 18 The transition to EFTshould be 19 accomplished for the interest of recipients who are of 20 paramount importance.

Our policy is to maximize private sector

competitions for the business of handling Federal payments, so that recipients not only have a broad range of payment options, but also receive their payments at reasonable cost with substantial consumer protection, and with the greatest possible convenience, efficiency and security.

Recipients, and especially those having special needs, the elderly, individuals with physical disabilities, those living in remote or rural communities should not be disadvantaged by the transition to EFT.

And the EFT 99 program is to bring recipients without bank accounts into the mainstream of the financial system.

With these criteria in mind, I would again like to stress how essential your input is today, and throughout out comment period, and again next Tuesday, the 16th.

Because once we are done, we hope to write the final regulations with a fair, practical and serve the interests of all involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Procedurally, here's 1 the way today's 2 hearing is going to move forward. There will be five 3 panels of which the first three panels will be comprised of individuals representing consumer issues 4 5 and community issues. 6 The fourth and fifth panels will cover 7 financial services. As a reminder, each individual 8 testimony should be 10 minutes or less, and after each 9 panel is complete, the Government panel will ask 10 questions to the panelists so that we can further 11 understand their concerns. Also, for your information, the Court 12 13 Reporter will record this entire section of the meeting 14 to document in today's hearing. 15 Hopefully, within two weeks of the hearing, 16 the testimony will be posted on Treasury EFT web page 17 for public review and information. 18 Before I turn over the microphone, I would 19 like to make a couple comments. First, I think I've 20 messed up the order the Panelists this morning.

been

some

changes

There's

21

some

shuffling, and I apologize, since -- since I put my 1 2 notes together. In addition, I'd like to thank the Federal 3 4 Reserve Bank in San Francisco, and the LA Branch 5 particularly for accommodating us today 6 wonderfully -- for this hearing. 7 And I would also like to introduce the 8 other Government panelists that are with me this 9 morning. 10 immediate right is To mу Treasury 11 Stout, is the Assistant Department's Larry who Federal 12 Commissioner of Finance and Financial 13 Management Services. 14 To his right is Bettsy Lane, who is the 15 Director of Cash Management Directorate and Financial Management Service, and she will be our moderator 16 17 today. 18 To my left, and to my far right, he's from the Department of Veterans Affairs, Bill Shelton the 19 Associate Deputy Assistant Secretory from the Office of 20

Financial Operations.

To my right and in the middle from the 1 2 social Security Administration is Tom Staples, 3 for Financial Associate Commissioner Policy 4 Operations. 5 To my immediate left is Sheila Leiter, Los 6 Angeles Area Director of the Social Security 7 Administration. Now I'd like to proceed and I'd like to 8 9 welcome our very special guest this morning, 10 Congresswoman Maxine Walters -- Waters, from the 35th 11 Congressional District. 12 And I apologize. My tongue seems to be 13 playing tricks on me. She sits on the House Banking 14 and Financial Services Committee, and has been a very 15 interested observer of the process that we're going forward with the EFT 99. 16 17 At that point, I'd I will hand over the 18 microphone the Bettsy Lane, who will have a few 19 administrative announcements to make and who will --20 Thank you, Don. Actually, I MS. LANE: 21 you've covered most of the administrative

1 announcements I had. 2 My job is going to be to introduce the 3 panelists and to keep the hearing on track. 4 time, Congresswoman Walters -- Waters. 5 You've got me doing it. Congressman Waters 6 -- Congresswoman Waters. 7 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: Thank you very much. 8 I'd like to thank the Department of Treasury for holding this regional public hearing on the Electronic 9 10 Funds Transfer 1999 program -- or EFT 99 as it is 11 popularly known. I am pleased that representatives form the 12 13 Department of Treasury as well as from the Department 14 of Veterans Affairs and the Social Security 15 Administration are in attendance today to hear the 16 testimony of the panelists today. 17 I look forward to the opportunity to share 18 with you some of my thoughts regarding the proposed 19 regulations for implementation of this program. A great challenge faces us as public policy 20

makers as we move towards an increasingly paperless

banking system. 1 2 Of particular interest to me are the equity issues for those who have not had a 3 and access relationship with traditional banking institutions. 4 5 The implications of the Congressional 6 mandate that all Federal payments received be 7 electronically by January 1, 1999 for the Federal 8 Government are tremendous. Not only does the Treasury Department face 9 10 the enormous task of administering this shift from 11 paper to electronic delivery, but also of reaching the millions of unbanked recipients who will be affected by 12 13 this change. 14 Many critical fairness issues are raised by this mandate. 15 While the focus of my comments will be on 16 17 the provisions of the regulations that effect those 18 recipients who do not have bank accounts, I will offer 19 a few comments on the regulations as they relate to the 20 already banked population.

While I was very pleased to see that the

Treasury Department included a waiver provision in the proposed regulations that will allow recipients to continue to receive their checks in the mail, I would like to see the scope of the waiver provision broadened.

As it stands now, any person who voluntarily opens financial an account with institutions after July 26, 1996 will be barred from requesting a waiver based on hardship due to physical disability, geographical -- geographic barrier, or financial hardship.

Individuals should never be prevented from making the determination that they are in need of a waiver.

For example, it must be clearly stated that individuals who find that the cost of maintaining an account with a financial institutions, when they find that it is prohibitive they be allowed to apply for a waiver, as well as with the circumstances such as physical disabilities or geographic barriers.

In 1996, out of 850 million payments made

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 by the Treasury Department, only 53 percent were 2 electronically. 3 Currently, it is estimated that 10 million Federal benefits do not have bank 4 recipients of 5 benefits accounts where can be electronically 6 deposited. 7 Additionally, many recipients are senior 8 citizens who have become accustomed to receiving checks 9 in the mail, and my not be familiar, or comfortable, 10 with electronic banking. 11 One of the most difficult issues that the Treasury Department has been grappling with is how to 12 13 serve this unbanked population. 14 Underlying my views on this issue is a 15 belief that the EFT 99 program gives us an opportunity 16 to bring these persons into the banking mainstream. 17 Part people helping become of more 18 independent and successful in society is to give them 19 access to the financial goods and services that we all 20 use to manage our finances.

I want to stress how important it is that

we use this opportunity to provide the most complete 1 2 and comprehensive banking services that we can at each 3 step of the way. 4 Recipients who do not have bank accounts 5 are being encouraged to voluntarily open up bank 6 accounts prior to January 1st, 1999. 7 It is important that in the Government's 8 rush to get recipients banked, that we do not push 9 individuals into relationships with financial and non 10 financial institutions that are uneconomical 11 inadequate. I'm particularly concerned about a number 12 13 of critical issues, including the provision of low cost 14 banking services, and the adequate oversight financial 15 regulation of the and non financial 16 institutions which receive payments electronically. 17 I want to encourage the Treasury Department 18 to work closely with the banks to create simple, low 19 cost accounts that recipients may use. 20 During the recent mark up of the financial

modernization bill in the Banking and Financial

Services Committee, I was able to get a lifeline 1 2 banking amendment included that would require banks to 3 provide low cost banking services. 4 I think that we should use this opportunity 5 to convince the banks to provide these accounts. 6 The Treasury -а commentary 7 proposed regulations indicates that the Department will 8 likely interpret their underlying law to mean that the 9 accounts that individuals create voluntarily are not 10 subject to any cost control. 11 This is, despite the laws, clear language 12 that all electronic accounts must be provided at 13 reasonable cost, are given the an same 14 protections as other account holders at the financial institutions. 15 We must set out basic standards that 16 17 institutions handling these electronic accounts are 18 required to follow. 19 The fees of individuals are subject to --20 or subjected to must not be excessive.

Whether it is a financial institution or a

1 check cashing business, no one must be allowed to gouge 2 the consumer. 3 I have an example of just the kind of 4 situation that I'm worried about. 5 I have a flyer here distributed by BankOne 6 to check cashing outlets. The banner reads, "Don't 7 lock customers out of your store." 8 It goes on to inform the check cashing 9 businesses who fear that they may lose business as a 10 result of EFT 99 that through BankOne's direct deposit 11 plus, that the check cashing businesses can sign up their clients for an account at BankOne. 12 13 Then the customer will receive their checks 14 at the check cashing outlet. Now that sounds a little cumbersome, but 15 16 what I'm suspecting is that regular customers of check 17 cashers who are signed up for these accounts would be 18 subject to BankOne fees -- \$12 per year plus a \$2.95 19 monthly disbursement fee, as well as the fees regularly charged by the check cashing outlets to check -- cash 20

the checks often anywhere between 3 and 10 percent of

the value of the check. 1 2 Now, between BankOne and the check cashing 3 outlets, this is a nice little scheme, but it's not 4 right. It's outrageous. 5 Only 14 states even limit the amount the 6 check cashing outlets can charge to 7 Government checks. 8 So this is the very kind of thing that we 9 don't want to see our clients subjected to. 10 These kinds of advertising schemes will 11 only become more abundant as the financial and non financial institutions take advantage of this push to 12 13 bank recipients. A set of standards should be created that 14 15 will determine the fees that will be allowed, clear and 16 simple. 17 Those who wish to receive these payments 18 can choose to abide by these regulations or forgo the 19 opportunity to bank these recipients. I mean that's a pretty tough line, but I 20 21 don't think that the tax payers are providing these

1 grants through the laws that have been created to do 2 this. 3 And the payments that people have coming to them, to have them substantially ripped off, and not 4 5 receive the benefit of them, and that's what I want to 6 protect against. 7 It is also important to ensure that a broad 8 range of financial and non financial institutions have 9 the opportunity to compete for the business of these 10 consumers. 11 I hope that the Department will make sure smaller financial institutions are not shut out of the 12 13 EFT 99 market. 14 Community banks have played a critical role in serving low income communities, often when other 15 16 larger institutions would not. 17 Outreach materials developed for recipients 18 should outline the full range of banking options 19 available to them, and should include mechanisms that 20 help small banks and savings and loans bank these

individuals.

I also think the kinds of non financial 1 2 institutions allowed to compete for the businesses of these consumers should not be limited to check cashing 3 4 businesses and money remitters. 5 In my estimation, non profit organizations 6 serving these clients should also have the ability to 7 serve a similar function in providing recipients with 8 these funds. 9 These non profit organizations may often 10 already have a relationship with recipients where 11 they're providing a benefit or a service. They shouldn't -- why shouldn't the non 12 13 profits be able to offer this service and garner some 14 of the monetary benefit at a fair cost being derived 15 from non financial private enterprises. The Federal Government cannot abdicate its 16 17 responsibility to protect recipients from hard sells 18 and unscrupulous institutions. Not only it is -- is it a public policy 19 imperative, I also think an interpretation of the law 20

that would allow this to go on unregulated would also

subject the Federal Government to litigation. 1 2 We should not shirt our responsibilities to 3 implement the spirit and letter of the law. 4 Under the law that Congress passed in 1996, Treasury is required to provide all recipients with 5 6 access to an account where they can receive their 7 benefits. 8 As you know, the Treasury Department's 9 proposed regulations propose the creation of an 10 Electronic Transfer Account, or ETA for those persons 11 who do not have a bank account by January 1st, 1999. Recipients without accounts or who have not 12 13 applied for a waiver will be given these accounts. 14 As as it relates to these ETA 15 accounts, the proposed regulations leave much detail to 16 be developed. 17 It is important to remember that this 18 government provided account will be the product most 19 directly associated with the Federal Government. 20 It is critical that any account created by 21 the Treasury Department will give recipients a range of

banking services at low or no cost. 1 2 I am strongly opposed to any ETA account 3 that gives recipients access to their money solely through the use of ATM machines. 4 5 The elderly and infirmed should not be 6 forced to use ATM machines, many of which are exposed 7 to the elements and unsafe -- to the elements and 8 unsafe for all of their cash withdrawals. 9 We also must ensure that those who are 10 assigned these accounts do not incur excessive ATM and 11 point of sale fees. I appreciate all the hard work that the 12 13 Treasury Department have done to get at this point. 14 hope that as you propose final regulations for this 15 program, that you will incorporate my views and the views of the others. 16 17 I stand ready to help you in any way I can 18 to make this program a success and a Government program 19 that the American people can be proud of. Let me just reiterate in my closing that as 20 21 toward getting rid of marginalization, we move

discrimination, all of that in our society, we must be 1 2 careful with whatever we do that we don't create two 3 tier systems. I'm not going to suffer recipients standing 4 5 outside of anybody's bank in the rain, in the cold not 6 able to go inside that bank, and be relegated to 7 standing in line to use those services. 8 If they don't want these clients in the 9 bank, then don't take the business. We're not going to 10 allow to -- us to create a two tier systems for the 11 convenience of anybody. I think that the banks should think about 12 13 how to expand services to the community, and create 14 banking opportunities to people, 15 legitimate profits. 16 I'm not against that. But if you don't 17 want the clients in the bank, you don't welcome them 18 there, then don't take this money, because I'll be the 19 first one to lead a lawsuit against that. 20 Thank you, very much.

MS. LANE:

21

Thank you, Congresswoman Waters.

The Congresswoman has agreed to take some clarifying 1 2 questions from the Government Panel. 3 Do any of the Panelists have questions? 4 MR. HAMMOND: I had one. 5 MS. LANE: Mr. Hammond? 6 MR. HAMMOND: Representative Waters, 7 wanted to follow up a little bit on the concept of the 8 ETA account, and specifically ask you as to whether or 9 not what your views might be either now -- or in the 10 future. 11 You know, as you have time to reflect on it, if the ETA account were to be available to all 12 13 recipients of Federal payments as opposed to just being 14 available to those who would be unbanked as of some 15 point in time, what your perspective might be on that 16 type of arrangement? 17 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: Well, I've thought 18 about it just a little bit, and again, whatever we do, 19 what I would like to avoid doing is creating classes in 20 any way.

So if those who go out and select their

banks early on becomes one class, and then those who 1 2 get the ETAs later on become another class subjected to 3 different regulations, different advantages, different dis -- disadvantages, I'm opposed to it. 4 5 I'd like to see us deliver services to 6 people in ways that everybody can reasonably expect 7 that they have some protections from their Government, that they're being serviced in ways that they won't be 8 9 gouges, that we're all in this together, and that the 10 services should be delivered to both classes -- to 11 everybody rather than creating classes of sorts. 12 MR. HAMMOND: Thank you, very much. 13 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: You're welcome. 14 MR. STAPLES: Congresswoman? 15 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: Yes. MR. SHELTON: 16 Obviously you've thought a 17 lot about this, and could you lay out a model of how 18 you think it should work for the unbanked? 19 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: What I would like to see, first of all, is access. 20 I would like to see this 21 limited to -- for example -- the big banks who have the

power and the ability to lobby and to talk about how 1 2 they can provide services that are more convenient to 3 the Treasury Department. 4 When look people provide at how 5 services, we know that if you have a lot of money, and 6 you're sophisticated, you can present the best response 7 to request for proposal, and even design how our 8 Government must do it. 9 But we must not allow that to happen. We 10 must have access not only through the traditional 11 banks, but to other kinds of outlets. 12 have -- in the past -- been very 13 concerned about check cashing operations, but I'm not 14 anymore as it relates to this, because I know people 15 use them. 16 All I don't want them to do is gouge. 17 don't want them to be able to cause people to have to 18 pay. Let them do it, and let others do it, and 19 20 if you have systems where you have machines that can --

can do it, and post offices, for example, let's have as

1 much access as we possibly can. 2 That would be my number one consideration. 3 The number two consideration would be these kinds of low cost accounts, or life time accounts where you can 4 5 have an account where you're not subjected to all of 6 the expanded banking fees. 7 They have operations who create ways by 8 which to create more fees, and fees have become income 9 generating for banks. 10 And they have a whole array of things, and 11 more are being created. I just saw on television last night with -- with the credit cards. 12 If you don't use your credit card in a 13 14 certain period of time, another fee. If you close out 15 your account, another fee. 16 Well, I learned a lot about fees, because 17 I introduce bills to try to have a freeze on fees that 18 everybody thought that I was crazy about -- about two 19 or three years ago. But there's this proliferation of fees, and 20

I don't want to see these accounts taking food out of

the mouths of the elderly and veterans, paying fees to banks to generate more income.

That's another kind of concept that I'd like to see built in. And then again, I do know that we have some of our banks who's saying, "I want the business. I want to make money, but don't clutter my lobby with all of these people who may get in the way of my more substantial bankers here."

"Let's put them on the outside, or let me make some money and pawn it off to the check cashing operations or the remitters -- someone else to actually service the people that have been going there."

"I'll help make it convenient for these check cashing operations, or others who may not be able to do business with the Government in the same way that I do, but then they will take care of the riff-raff when they come in, and they won't be in my face."

Those are kind of my general concerns about how we do this. There may be other things that I have not thought about, but I think that's my basic -- those are my basic concerns.

1 MR. STAPLES: May I ask one question? 2 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: 3 MR. STAPLES: In the Social Security 4 Administration, we currently pay to about 50 million 5 people in the regular social security program and the 6 SSI program. 7 Some 32 million are paid electronically. 8 About 18 million are not, and we expect the -- the 9 number to be paid by paper check to decline perhaps 10 from 18 to 15 million or so by January 1st, 1999. 11 This still leaves us with a sizeable paper check population. We do not know how many of those may 12 request waivers, but we expect it should a significant 13 14 work load to the agency. 15 One of the questions I'll be asking each of the panels today, is have they any thoughts on how we 16 17 should handle that waiver administration program for 18 this large amount of people. 19 So, since you were here first. Yes, I would like 20 CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: 21 for generous in your waiver you be very

consideration for senior citizens. 1 2 would like you to think about 3 population of the unbanked -- of people who 4 developed ways by which they do their business. 5 Many of them are not very sophisticated. 6 Many of them are handicapped. Many of them have fears. 7 I'd like you to be very generous. 8 If Ms. Jones is accustomed to receiving 9 that check, and every month at the certain time her 10 relative comes to pick her up to do her business in a 11 certain way, and she's comfortable with that, and they deliver her back home, and she's not standing in line, 12 13 she's not been dealt with by clerks who think she's 14 stupid, she's not been asked to fill out forms that she won't be able to fill out, I'd like you to protect her. 15 And it really does not make any difference 16 17 whether all 15 million of those fall in that category. 18 Leave them alone and let them bank in the way that they 19 understand. Maybe we can transition out of that as 20

banks and others take significant responsibility and

1	come up with creative programs by which to get out to
2	senior citizens' homes and places where large
3	concentrations of senior citizens are, and begin to
4	teach them and involve them, and bring them in, or
5	develop systems that outreach into retirement
6	communities.
7	Maybe we can transition out of that, but
8	until then, waiver them. Be very generous with them.
9	MS. LANE: Other questions?
10	CONGRESSWOMAN WATERS: Thank you, very
11	much.
12	MS. LANE: Thank you. We will take a brief
13	break to set up the next panel.
14	(Whereupon, at 10:05 a.m., a brief recess
15	was taken.)
16	MS. LANE: We're ready to get started with
17	our first panel. Let me introduce the panelists, and
18	then if when you begin testifying, if you would re-
19	introduce yourself for the purposes of the Court
20	Reporter, we thank you.
21	The first witness will be Alan Fisher,

who's with the California Reinvestment Committee. 1 2 The second witness will be Paul Hudson, 3 who's with Broadway Federal Bank in Los Angeles. The next witness will be John Bryant. He's 4 5 with Operation Hope. 6 And the final witness in this panel will be 7 Leonard Randolph, who is with the East Palo Alto 8 Community Alliance and Neighborhood Development 9 Organization. 10 Mr. Fisher? 11 MR. FISHER: Thank you. I'm Alan Fisher from the California Reinvestment Committee. 12 Thank you 13 all for coming to California. The California Reinvestment Committee is a 14 statewide membership organization with more than 180 15 California non-profits and public agencies. 16 17 We began in 1986, and have ongoing sealed 18 relationships with major California financial 19 institutions. A critical issue for us has been, and is, 20 21 access to capital, but also access to lifeline checking

accounts and savings accounts -- low or no cost basic 1 2 accounts for people with low incomes. 3 hope that EFTcan 4 opportunity for those currently without accounts. 5 First from a -- a personal side, let me make clear that 6 I've had a personal computer since 1980. 7 technology. think love Ι it's 8 wonderful thing, but it's a neutral thing. And I 9 thought when I was young that this would be something 10 that would allow the world to be a global village, and 11 people to work 20 or 30 hours a week, and be able to 12 enjoy the rest of their lives. 13 And instead, in banking and elsewhere, it's 14 been used for what's called efficiency. That 15 efficiency has meant fewer jobs and programs, make access to banking limited to those who have 16 17 understanding technological and afford the can 18 equipment to be able to make that linkage. 19 While we appreciate the general principles

that you put forward, I think from our point of view,

it's kind of like the Government decided to save \$100

20

million annually by using Electronic Funds Transfer. 1 2 And the issues of what this was going to 3 mean are still being worked out for people, and that's 4 very -- of high concern to us. 5 And that's -- of course, aside from what 6 would be my guess are the thousands of people who are 7 losing their jobs in this money saving process. 8 think it potentially has a strong 9 possibility of tremendously complicating lives for 10 millions of seniors, disabled, low-income people, 11 unless it's really implemented correctly. This means that there needs to be real 12 13 public education, flexible waivers, real world bank 14 accounts, and continuing oversight. 15 Our concern that the Treasury Department does not have full understanding was highlighted for me 16 17 in July when I was in Washington, D.C., and heard the 18 Booze Alan research which ignored those that didn't 19 have phones, and those who didn't speak English. It was further heightened, which I'm glad 20 21 to see you corrected when Treasury evidently thought

that Dallas, Texas was the western extent of the United 1 2 States, which it has not been since the mid-19th 3 century. Welcome to California, the state of roughly 4 5 30 million people, where more than 10 million people 6 speak languages other than English at home; and to Los 7 Angeles, where the 1990 Census found 400,000 households 8 that were considered linguistically isolated. 9 County of Los Angeles recognizes The 10 several dozen languages in its hospitals, so that its 11 residents get good medical care. Clearly this means that issues of language 12 13 and culture must be considered by Treasury as 14 implements EFT 99. 15 In addition, geography needs be 16 carefully considered, because rural recipients have 17 considerably different situations than those in urban 18 areas. 19 EFT can be an opportunity for the 10 20 million recipients who are unbanked and outside the

mainstream economy now.

The question for Treasury needs to be --1 2 needs to be, what are the basic needs of your fellow 3 human beings who are affected by EFT 99? For those who can use Electronic Funds 4 5 Transfer, what can the Federal Government do to make 6 this program work for them easily and bring them into the financial mainstream? 7 8 For those for whom EFT 99 adds a major 9 burden, how do I --10 MS. LANE: Maybe try the next mic. 11 MR. FISHER: Alright. I don't know that I need a mic. For those for whom EFT 99 adds a major 12 13 burden, how can the waiver work easily and flexibly? 14 What can the Federal Government do, even if it takes some of the \$100 million in savings, to be 15 sure that this program works for those who are entitled 16 17 to this money? 18 First, the position of the California 19 Reinvestment Committee, is that no bank should be 20 allowed to be a transfer agent for EFT, and reap the 21 income if they systematically pass the recipients on to

check cashers.

Well, we do not formally believe that check cashers have a direct relationship with Beelzebub, they overcharge customers, and leave those customers with no mark in the financial mainstream — that they even exist — that they have income, or that they're worthy of financial attention and credit.

While recipients had checks, they could take them anyway, even to open a bank account. Once EFT is implemented, it will be much more difficult to transfer or open a new account.

So Treasury bears a serious burden to be sure that options are open, and bank accounts work for all recipients, so that those now without accounts are not condemned to an edge world beyond the financial mainstream.

Many banks now have lifeline accounts that have many of the features that recipients should be able to find in the future ETA account.

These accounts have minimum balance requirements as low as one dollar, usually offer seven

to ten checks to be written monthly, they take deposits 1 2 from any source. 3 Unfortunately, these accounts often cost 4 350 to 450, although many are free, now with direct 5 deposit. 6 They often have large punitive fees for 7 writing -- for over-writing checks as well. Clearly 8 these costs and fees are a problem for folks who have 9 little money left at the end of the month, and many 10 people avoid banks because of these costs and fees, as 11 well as the perceived inflexibility of accounts, and the fact that banks give the message that they don't 12 13 want them in their lobbies. 14 CRC has always fought for banks that have 15 and keep lifeline accounts; however banks often hide 16 the accounts in their marketing brochures, and do not 17 tell new customers about them. 18 One of our staff went to more than a dozen 19 different banks' branches last spring to ask and see if 20 they were marketing their lifeline checking accounts.

In every one, she had to ask about the

She was not told, even though she said what 1 account. 2 sort of financial condition that she was in -- which 3 was not true, we pay her well. An additional example in this vein from our 4 5 At first they were free. view are ATMs. 6 people are being charged twice for their use. 7 We believe that these circumstances require 8 Treasury Department monitor, that the at least

In addition, we believe that all accounts of EFT recipients should have at least the minimum

annually, the banks that recipients have accounts at,

particularly those which have the ETA accounts.

features of the ETA account.

So what does CRC think the ETA account should look like? And of course, I -- I think that there are bank accounts now which are very close, and it would be preferable, as the Congressman was saying, not to have a special account, but to have banks measure against the ETA account, and have that be what was accessible to people.

We think that certainly a major component

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

of this account should be a debit card so that the --1 2 there can be access to ATMs at point of sale. 3 But just electronic access is not enough, 4 both for issues of personal safety, and the cash 5 economy that a lot of recipients live in. 6 If people are carrying the money for the 7 rent, they're open to safety concerns. So this account 8 needs to include either a check writing, or a money 9 order function in order to be really effective. 10 Since most direct deposits -- are now --11 accounts are now free, we believe it's logical and 12 necessary that this account also be free. 13 In addition, it needs to accept other deposits than EFTs, so that it's truly usable by the 14 15 account holder, and have an option for a savings 16 feature. 17 The savings account can be potentially used 18 as an individual development account, as is happening 19 in a lot of welfare deform efforts around the country. All these accounts held by the recipient 20

should have at least these basic features.

some of the projected savings to make those things 1 2 happen, that we believe that's the responsibility of 3 the Government. 4 We see this as a CRA opportunity for the 5 And again, these don't necessarily need to be 6 new accounts. 7 There could be a template of what the --8 what needs to be the basic account that banks are 9 required to honey up to -- that models for the banks 10 what things should look like. 11 So I want to thank you, very much, for the opportunity, and for you holding the hearings. 12 13 brought with us some of our newsletters which have some 14 specifics on accounts that now exist. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. LANE: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. 17 going to ask that we listen to the statements of the 18 rest of the witnesses before proceeding to questions 19 from the Government panel, so, Mr. Hudson? 20 **HUDSON:** Good morning. I am Paul MR. 21 Hudson, President and Chief Executive Officer of

Broadway Federal Bank in Los Angeles, and Vice Chairman 1 2 of the American League of Financial Institutions in 3 Washington, D.C., also known as ALFI. is a national trade association 4 ALFI 5 chartered in 1948 to represent the legislative and 6 regulatory concerns of African American, Hispanic 7 American and Asian American savings banks and savings 8 and loans. 9 There are currently 41 such financial 10 institutions nationwide. They represent 6.2 -- 6.2 11 billion in assets, with 109 branches, and approximately 1,200 employees. 12 13 Broadway Federal Bank is a publicly traded 14 African American managed savings bank which has 15 operated in South Central Los Angeles since 1947. Broadway has assets totalling \$126 million, 16 17 with four branches and 51 employees. Broadway, and the 18 members of ALFI serve predominantly low to moderate 19 income-urban communities. The communities which we serve had a large 20 21 number of federal payment recipients, and also have a

disproportionate number of individuals who do not have an account with a financial institution.

I shall -- I shall refer to this latter group as cash consumers. As a result of the demographics of our markets, we have a keen interest in the impact and the implementation of the proposed rule.

We are aware that EFT and the direct deposit program have the affect of improving security, privacy and safety for check recipients, and reducing the costs -- the distribution costs to the Federal Government.

But we encourage you to consider the following issues prior to implementation. Treasury is considering various approaches to implementing the requirement that an EFT account be provided to cash consumers.

We recommend a voluntary program in which financial institutions interested in offering the account may participate in lieu of Treasury selecting one or more financial -- Federally insured financial institutions to act as Treasury's financial agent.

I could talk all day about this issue, but 1 2 I'm going to go on. Treasury's concerns regarding 3 identifying institutions willing to participate, and 4 monitoring their activities would not require the 5 creation and maintenance of a regulatory --6 regulatory infrastructure. 7 Federally insured institutions in good 8 standing, as determined by annual Federal examinations, 9 would self -- would self select themselves by notifying 10 Treasury of their interest in participating. 11 Monitoring compliance with the terms of the structured EFT account would be a part of regular 12 compliance, and safety and soundness examinations. 13 14 This approach would enhance consumer 15 choice, and encourage market competition, which would ensure -- which would help ensure cost reasonableness. 16 17 On the other hand, selection of one or more 18 financial agents would wholly -- would be wholly 19 inappropriate for the following reasons. 20 Treasury noticed that consumer

organizations strongly urge that only Federally insured

financial institutions act as agents to ensure consumer 1 2 protection and cost reasonableness. 3 Yet, the use of a select few financial 4 agents would require the use of third party non-5 financial institutions in order to ensure convenience 6 and accessibility. 7 Such 30 -- third party participants are the 8 very entities which consumer organizations sought to 9 avoid. 10 Such an outcome is implied in that Treasury 11 has commented that the designated financial agent will perform those functions that include the disbursement 12 13 of public funds, including the establishment of the 14 recipient's account, and crediting the Federal payment 15 to the account. 16 Providing access to the funds, payment of 17 bills, and other financial services would be performed, 18 or allowed be performed by non-financial to 19 institutions working partnership with the financial 20 agents.

bank

one

the

That's

21

that

example

Congresswoman Waters provided. This approach allows 1 2 large money center -- large money center banks to 3 benefit from the EFT ACT, without incurring the assumed risk of providing convenience, access to funds, and 4 5 other financial products and services. 6 Nor does such an approach accomplish the 7 goal of moving consumers into the mainstream of the 8 financial system. 9 We believe that if consumer protection, 10 convenience, and cost reasonableness cannot be assured, 11 the provisions of Section 208.5, which provide for 12 access to an account by cash consumers, and those that 13 fail to provide information pursuant to 208.8, be 14 interpreted as voluntary. Individuals covered by Section 208.5 should 15 not be required to open such accounts for the following 16 17 reasons. 18 The Social Security Administration reports 19 that 76 percent of the new social security and SSI 20 recipients are receiving payments by EFT.

Just by virtue of natural attrition and

increased efforts to educate consumers, over time there will be increased use of EFT and direct deposit.

One of Treasury's stated goals in implementing the EFT Act is to make certain that recipients have access to their funds and reasonable costs.

One quantifiable basis for establishing reasonableness, would be to require that EFT accounts cost less than current check cashing costs.

Treasury should not require consumers to pay more to receive payments electronically. Financial institutions argue that cost reasonableness is a function of the cost to provide a particular service or product, plus profit.

And the costs vary by institution and market. It would be extremely difficult, if not impossible to structure an account as structured below -- I'm going to give you the structure -- which meets the needs of cash consumers is reasonably priced, is accessible to recipients without the use of third party arrangements, and is profitable to financial

institution providers. 1 2 Another stated goal of Treasury is to with 3 provide recipients appropriate 4 protection. 5 provide Treasury cannot appropriate 6 consumer protection to recipients who are forced to 7 access Federal payments from unregulated third party 8 non-financial institutions. 9 Finally, it is a stated goal of Treasury to 10 deliver Federal payments to recipients 11 conveniently. financial 12 Within the current system, 13 financial institutions cannot assure the accessibility 14 and convenience in underserved markets without seeking 15 the participation οf third party non-financial institutions. 16 17 Such an outcome would raise regulatory 18 issues of safety and soundness, and cost 19 reasonableness. Underserved communities, by definition, are 20 21 ones without adequate number of service facilities

including financial institutions.

All financial institutions seeking to offer Section 208.5 accounts should be required to provide a distribution plan for cash consumers in underserved communities, which does not require the use of third party non-financial institution persistence, or exclusive use of ATM access.

An example of such a strategy is a pilot program initiated by Broadway Federal Bank in alliance with Nick's check cashing.

In July of this year, Broadway opened an in-store satellite banking center in a mix-check cashing store located in South Central Los Angeles.

The objective of the strategic alliance is to provide cash consumers with access to any information concerning traditional bank products and services, and to facilitate the implementation of the EFT Act.

The alliance affords the bank a cost efficient vehicle to provide increased accessibility to cash consumers, and the bank's traditional custom base.

A majority of the -- of the approximately 1 2 30 new accounts per month are direct deposit. 3 recommend that any basic EFT account that is provided 4 include the following characteristics, and that financial institution providers be mandated to conform 5 6 to the criteria listed below. Debit cards should be available, but not 7 8 required. Cost of the account to the recipient should 9 be a most important factor. 10 Account structures should be geared to the 11 basic withdrawal at the lowest possible costs. of four withdrawals should be included in the basic 12 13 account. 14 Account terms should address ATM charges 15 imposed by other institutions other than the account 16 provider. 17 structures should provide for Account additional 18 electronic deposits of at least four. 19 Accounts should provide for third party payments. Accounts should include a savings feature. 20 21 Physical distribution sites should exist within close

proximity to account holders.

If none exist, plans to add distribution outlets should be included in the response for proposals.

Third party non-financial institutions should not be allowed to participate in the delivery of services to the Federal -- to Federal payment recipients for the same safety and soundness -- for the same safety and soundness reasons that non-financial institutions were not included as distribution outlets within the Rule.

In implementing the EFT Act, Treasury seeks to bring into the financial mainstream the 10 million cash consumers who receive Federal payments, and who currently do not use the financial system to receive funds, make payments, save, borrow or invest.

Bring cash consumers into the financial system -- before bring cash consumers into the financial system, Treasury should evaluate the financial system environment, and the receptivity of the system to include cash consumers.

Cash consumers are characterized by certain 1 2 transitional habit -- transactional habits 3 include a preference for in-person transactions, check 4 cashing, cash and money order purchase and payments, 5 and the inability or unwillingness to make deposit --6 maintain deposit balances. Such transactional habits run counter to 7 8 the direction which financial institutions are 9 encouraging consumers to move, and thus have associated 10 with them higher fees and costs. 11 That EFT 99 may transition cash consumers from cashing Federal checks for a fee to establishing 12 13 EFT accounts which incur excessive bank charges for 14 unprofitable transactional habits. 15 Treasury should not mandate an option which benefits the Government at the consumer's expense. 16 17 Government's distribution costs are in effect being 18 transferred to the -- cash consumers the increased --19 the increased bank charges. realize 20 Finally, should you that

mandating receipt of Federal payments by Electronic

Funds Transfer, you are forcing cash consumers to enter a hostile banking environment, and to utilize a financial system that has been unresponsive to their financial needs, and that has not adequately served low income markets.

Therefore, I strongly encourage you to address changes to the financial banking system before mandating its use.

Thank you.

MS. LANE: Thank you, Mr. Hudson. I remind the panelists to please use the microphones. The Court Reporter can't hear if -- if you don't. Mr. Bryant?

MR. BRYANT: Good morning. The copy of my remarks are in the lobby for anybody who would like to have a copy.

It's entitled, "High Touch in a High Tech World." To our distinguished guests from the U.S. Treasury Department in Washington, D.C., the bankers, credential professionals, community development experts and concerned citizens gathered here today, I want to sincerely thank you for the opportunity to provide you

with my testimony with regard to the recently passed 1 2 Electronic Funds Transfer legislation, lovingly called 3 in Washington, EFT 99. I'm the founder, Chairman, 4 and Chief 5 Executive Officer Operation of Hope. Founded immediately following the civil 6 1992, arrest of 7 Operation Hope is America's first non-profit investment 8 banking organization. 9 With 45 FDIC insured banking partners, 10 several corporate partners including Microsoft and 11 Trans Union, and even Federal Government partners such as the U.S. Economic Development Administration. 12 13 Operation Hope operates a separate for 14 profit subsidiary, and it is our Operation Hope Banking 15 Center Corporations. Operation Hope and its partners are wholly 16 17 committed to the social and economic revitalization of 18 our inner city and underserved communities. 19 Likewise, our banking centers, we believe, represent a new model for banking our underserved 20

communities.

Our business is hope, self esteem and 1 2 opportunity. Our product is economic literacy, 3 economic education, and urban capital and financial 4 access. 5 believe it's relevant today's 6 testimony. It has been said, even, that Operation Hope 7 helps people to redeem their can do spirits, and to 8 nurture and grow their individual sense of self in the 9 sometimes unforgiving capitalist world. 10 Yes, we believe in America's inner city 11 communities. We believe that others should also, not only because it's the right thing to do, but because 12 13 it's in their enlightened self-interest to do so. 14 It has been said that change is sometimes 15 problem and sometimes an opportunity. Well, 16 historically mainstream America and Wall Street had 17 toyed with the opportunities associated with structural 18 change in our society, while our inner city communities 19 have almost suffocated by the problems. Well, the America of the 21st century will 20

be different, if only because it will be increasingly

ethnically diverse, and we can no longer afford to 1 2 embrace the opportunities, yet to ignore the problems. 3 Comptroller of the Currency, Eugene Lubbock, refers to it as a democratization of credit in 4 5 where he refers America also to the Community 6 Reinvestment Act, because they are connected, and so 7 was his legislation. 8 In fact, in the early 1900s, you and I 9 They were called commercial couldn't get a loan. 10 credits. 11 But I believe there's a reason that we should connect these items. Today African Americans 12 13 and Latinos represent a \$499 billion a year consumer 14 spending force, and a \$365 billion consumer spending 15 force respectively. In 1997 and beyond, CRA will increasingly 16 17 mean new markets and future profits. I believe that 18 CRA and EFT 99 are, as I said, connected. 19 EFT 99 is legislation we are wrestling with today, is not simply about efficiency and savings. 20 21 represents a fundamentally new way for America to do

its business by Americans. 1 2 The problem in this scenario is our other 3 And this time, the architects have changed --4 you must work hard to -- to draw them into the plans 5 from the start, and this is why I am here. 6 Passed by Congress on September 16, 1997, 7 effective January 1, 1999, 99 and EFT8 effectively requires that all Federal payments with a 9 notable exception of tax refunds -- of course you guys 10 will make us get those by mail, be electronically 11 transferred. In theory, EFT 99 is an excellent example 12 13 of Federal legislative innovation. That was a -- by 14 design -- win, win. 15 It is more secure than current payment 16 methods, and insures prompt delivery of anticipated 17 funds. 18 No more lost checks in the mail, and our 19 big bureaucratic Government takes a giant leap into the 20 information age. Bravo!

With countless paper saved, you and I are

further confident in knowing that our children will 1 2 grow up being able to play in an authentic tree house 3 versus a plastic one. And of course our Federal Government has 4 5 the opportunity to save, and/or recoup an estimated 6 \$100 million, not to mention the deposit and fee income 7 opportunities available to our banking community. 8 On balance, it seems to be win, win. 9 in point in fact, I come here today because, while the 10 legislation's ideal objective is win, win, it's company 11 regulation is not -- or shall I say, optimistically not 12 yet. 13 The challenge before us today is not very 14 dissimilar from the -- the problems facing the framers of the Community Reinvestment Act legislation in 1977. 15 16 one provide fair does and 17 distribution than of loans, credit and financial 18 services in an uneven, and sometimes unfair world? 19 How do we care for the estimated 10 million Americans that are without a bank account living cash 20

and carry lives, facilitated by high cost checking --

check cashing agencies? 1 2 How do we insure that costs initially low 3 by mandate do not skyrocket unchecked in time, as 4 others have in the past? 5 But there are even larger questions to 6 answer in this regard. How will we be insured the 7 check cashers, which are by nature a repressive 8 business? 9 Every customer you educate and assist is 10 every customer you lose. How do we insure that they 11 will not become authorized in credentialed financial services providers in our inner city communities? 12 13 How will we incorporate and include entire in 14 communities the information age when the 15 infrastructure they have access to comes from the stone 16 age? 17 How will we keep America's promise of 18 depending on big brother, the Federal Government, less, 19 and local partners, community partners, more without 20 making them full economic partners, and not just public

affairs partners?

How can we reasonably rely more and more on 1 2 banks, as with the case with EFT 99, to be underserved 3 in America's primary delivery mechanism for financial services, when they are quickly transitioning out of 4 5 their traditional branch networks. 6 While far from perfect, and even 7 understand the economic modus behind them, the branch 8 -- the local branch, if in fact a underserved community 9 lucky to have one, represents one of the few 10 workable resources available to people who do not trust 11 easily or quick. Mainstream America loans for the most 12 13 efficient high tech. Even I pay my regular bills on 14 line these days, but urban inner city and underserved 15 communities require high touch. Technology is great, but it is hard to have 16 17 a meaningful relationship with an ATM machine. The 18 good news is that answers are within reach. 19 We can create a system with the EFT 99, and

like systems to follow, that -- that ride the tide for

all ships, as long as it is our intended goal from the

20

1 onset -- the solution.

The Federal Government has taken a bold move of incorporating the private sector into its regulation, but the resulting service gaps left unfilled between law -- between lawmakers in D.C., and local FDIC insured banks, means that check cashers will only increase in their influence in our underserved communities.

There is a role for check cashers, but it is not as America's underserved urban banker. The solution is a three leg stool, which incorporates the Government, the private sector, and community as a powerful and equal partner for change.

The solution is an alternative delivery system, not so different from our Operation Hope Banking Center.

At our OHBC offices, with one currently located in Central Los Angeles, Hawthorne Savings and Fidelity Federal Bank as our investors, serving the African American community.

A second under construction in Southeast

Los Angeles, Home Savings of America as our investment 1 partner, serving the Latino community. 2 3 And a third banking center with a major 4 money center bank serving as our investment partners, 5 scheduled to break ground in 1998. 6 Customer's access of seamless integrated 7 group of services including, 1) economic education; 2) 8 credit and financial services; 3) technical assistance; 4) case management, 5) below market check cashing 9 10 services in partnership with the Union Bank 11 California's Innovative Cash and Save Division. And we transition individuals from check 12 13 cashing customers into banking customers using micro 14 and traditional deposit accounts with a deposit taking 15 desk on site. Secured and unsecured credit cards, loan 16 17 loan funding, post funding loans, packaging, loan 18 assistance, technical assistance, and we even operate 19 a law enforcement drop in center. 20 The Operation Hope Banking Center is indeed

a model for doing well by doing good, and the numbers

have begun to bear that out.

In slightly more than one year of startup, we have 2,500 full-time customers, more than 18 -- I'm sorry, more than 8,000 ATM customers, while there are two ATM machines from a mainstream money center bank less than 100 yards away.

We shouldn't have two customers. Yet we have 8,000. I believe that tells you something. We have 600 individuals enrolled in our credit counseling program, who are trying to help themselves move from hopelessness to hope.

More than 1,500 free credit reports have been issued, and our computer resource center and on line banking center -- who said Blacks and Browns don't like computers -- is at capacity five out of six days a week that we are open.

To date, OHI and its partners have issued commitments in excess of \$21 million, and funded \$15 million in loans, and all loans but two were paid as agreed; and our largest complaint at the banking center is not enough modem lines.

In our underserved communities, very often it is what you don't know that you don't know that kills you.

But there are very few people in our organizations, and almost no institutions that people trust to give them the answers and the help that they need.

As our banking center motto has already proven successful in many ways, there are countless community based and non-profit organizations that have the ability, the talent, the professionalism, the access in the interest of fill the gap created by America's rush to embrace the information age.

Locally, you can look towards organizations like First AME Church with more than 15,000 members, West Angeles Church with more than 16,000 members, Crenshaw Christian Center with more than 20,000 members, the Community Financial Resource Center, the Latin Business Association, Fame, Renaissance and many others.

What they need is a structure that include

a defined and meaningful role for them to play, and 1 2 partners who treat them as equal partners in trade. And of course, they'll have to hold them 3 accountable for results. 4 The solution I propose 5 addresses many systemic -- systemic and practical 6 problems, 1) community buy in versus trickle down, 2) even in fair distribution of electronic commerce in 7 8 underbank communities, 3) a practical process 9 graduating individuals out of the non-bank client 10 group. 11 Your 10 million -- you 10 million figures 12 should decrease over time if you're doing your job 13 right. 14 4) Developing and supporting selfsustaining community based organizations, and 5) a self 15 regulating benefit of enlightened self interest. 16 17 This is a sea where all boats rise. Better 18 still, it is a sea where very few boats set to sea 19 subsequently spring leaks -- a hand up and not a hand 20 out.

Thank you, very much.

1 MS. LANE: Thank you, Mr. Bryant. Mr. 2 Randolph? 3 MR. RANDOLPH: Good morning. Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, the East Palo Alto 4 5 Alliance Neighborhood Community and Development 6 Organization, EPA CANDO, wishes to thank you for the 7 opportunity to present testimony regarding implications 8 of EFT 99 to the City of East Palo Alto. 9 My name is Leonard Randolph, and I'm the 10 Executive Director of EPA CANDO, a non-profit community 11 development organization, who's mission is to enhance the quality of life of all residents of East Palo Alto 12 13 empowering our members to engage in housing, 14 economic and community development. 15 We serve a very low income population in 16 San Mateo County, one of the richest counties, not only 17 in California, but in the country. 18 EFT 99 will have significant impacts on us 19 and communities like ours, and my comments today will 20 address those concerns, but also to present possible

suggestions to help with the implementation of this

Act.

Located in the heart of Silicon Valley, the City of East Palo Alto is an anomaly. While Silicon Valley as a whole is experiencing tremendous economic and housing growth, East Palo Alto seemingly has regressed.

As our more affluent neighbors capitalize from the growing computer and high tech industries, East Palo Alto has to fight with the county to maintain control of its own police department.

While our neighbors argue about the noise of leaf blowers, or the sound coming from an amphitheater, East Palo Alto worries about whether it can maintain its billing department.

And while our wealthy neighbors can rally to stop the closure of a bank branch, East Palo Alto is still waiting to see the construction of the first ATM connected to one of the major financial institutions.

And I'm happy to say I talked to one of the representatives of Bank of America this morning, and they're -- they're feverishly working on putting an ATM

into East Palo Alto, and hope to see it in the first quarter of 1998.

Yes, this is the eve of the 21st century, and there are still cities that do not have banks. As with many minority or low income communities, East Palo Alto suffers these and many more social ills that are -- that are not shared by our wealthy neighbors.

Residents in these affluent communities are more comfortable talking about computers, telecommunications, the internet, the super information highway and so forth.

To them it's a normal matter of life. But to low income, immigrant, and elderly populations, these 20th and 21st century topics are as foreign as the U.S. interest in the -- in the extent of nuclear weapons in Iraq, and often -- sometimes -- oftentimes it's scary as the though of Sadam Hussein controlling them.

During the hearings you've conducted today

-- this one today, we have undoubtedly heard many

comments about, 1) what entities should be considered

an authorized agent, 2) the definition of hardship, and 1 2 how recipients can qualify, 3) limitation on fees 3 associated with a recipient's bank accounts and the new ETA accounts, and 4) the potential opportunity for the 4 5 Treasury Department to influence financial institutions 6 provide than lower income more resources 7 communities. I will briefly address these four areas, 8 and then comment on other issues that most directly 9 10 impacts my community. 11 As far as authorized agents, it has been well documented that Federally regulated and supervised 12 13 financial institutions on average are less expensive 14 for consumers than check cashing outlets. 15 Our own community survey, conducted in 1995 found that a household earning \$16,500 per year, which 16 17 isn't unusual for our community, would spend roughly 18 \$325 a year on check cashing services, as opposed to 19 about \$60 a year on a -- at a bank. 20 That equates to about two percent of their

income being spent on check cashing fees.

21

And for a

low income family, this is considerable loss of income 1 2 that equates to about \$25 a month. 3 But personally, I would recommend a check 4 casher not be designated an authorized agent. 5 unfortunately for recognize that lot our 6 residents, there are no other options. 7 would, however, recommend that the 8 Federal Government -- Government enact and adequately 9 enforce legislation that will limit the fringe banking 10 community's ability to take advantage of the poor and 11 elderly. hardships, 12 As far as the hardship 13 definition -- definition should not only take into 14 account the cost of the recipients for having a bank account for the unbanked, but should also include 15 access to financial institutions. 16 17 I mentioned earlier, there are no 18 financial institutions, nor ATMs connected to them in 19 East Palo Alto. And while over 65 percent of our population 20 21 has checking or savings accounts, all of our customers

are required to commute to another city to access their accounts.

Especially for elderly, who have limited transportation options, access to bank accounts or ATMs

-- our computer's programmed for online -- online banking could be a hardship.

Cost for services, the Treasury Department should keep an ever watchful eye on fees and pools on newly established accounts for recipients of Federal payments.

These costs should reflect the actual cost borne by the institution for providing the service. far as opportunities for the Treasury Department to -to assist in influencing -- influencing reinvestment into communities, I think my colleague here, Alan --Alan Fisher from the California Reinvestment Committee, the opportunity for the working on Department or working on the opportunity reinvesting in local communities.

As the Treasury Department may be required to set up ETAs with a designated financial institution

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

-- financial institution, excuse me, you should also 1 help assure that these institutions are providing 2 3 reinvesting in and the lower 4 communities that they services. 5 The two issues that I wanted to focus on 6 are education and implementation. I think it is safe 7 to assume that the implementation of EFT 1999, 8 inevitable. 9 This gives you, financial institutions, and 10 the communities, not quite one year to prepare, inform 11 and educate recipients on this program. I say it's not quite a year, because you 12 13 must discount for holidays in the months of November 14 through January, which could effectively add up to two months of lost time. 15 Even in the communities the size of East 16 17 Palo Also, which is roughly 26,000 folks, informing and 18 educating residents and businesses will be difficult at 19 best. 20 It is my understanding that after you

conclude this last hearing, the next step will be

gearing up for implementation. 1 2 Part of that process should include some 3 form of community education, but I -- and I'm sure others are not aware of how -- how this will be 4 5 accomplished. 6 I thus offer the following four suggestions on how to inform and educate our residents: 7 8 1) If it has not already been done, a 9 letter should be sent to every recipient of Federal 10 money informing them of the change to a paperless 11 environment. This notice should identify those payment 12 13 contracts, etcetera, that are affected by EFT 99. 14 should be made available in a variety of languages. 15 Our community is predominantly Latino right now with a growing Pacific and elder population. 16 This 17 should also notice be posted at all financial 18 institutions, Federal, Regional and local Government 19 buildings, and -- and with local social service providers. 20

2) A pamphlet explaining the Act should be

distributed to the entities mentioned above. It should 1 include an easy to understand step by step process by 2 3 which -- by -- process one would follow to comply with 4 the regulations. 5 3) The Treasury Department should also 6 conduct regional trainings for community based 7 organizations, social service providers, financial institutions and public agencies on EFT 99. 8 9 The training should focus on preparing 10 these groups to educate their clients on these new 11 regulations. And 4) Funds should be set aside for community 12 13 based organizations, social service providers, and --14 and/or public agencies to conduct community workshops on EFT 99. 15 As we are in closer contact with the 16 17 recipients of these payments, we would be better able 18 to spread the word, and to educate them. 19 Funding for these activities could come from the anticipated \$100 million in savings projected 20

to be achieved from Government wide implementation of

1 the Act. 2 In addressing the implementation of EFT 99, I would offer the following two suggestions: 3 1) Grant funds should be allocated for non-4 5 profit organizations, schools, affordable housing 6 developers, social service providers, and others to set 7 up computer centers for online banking access. 8 A strategy might include funding the HUD 9 neighborhood network centers in affordable housing 10 developments. 11 As of now that is of yet an unfunded 12 program. 13 Online banking should be a required service 14 within these centers. 15 2) Grants or low interest loans should be made available, possibly through the Small Business 16 17 Administration, for small to medium sized businesses 18 serving low income communities to acquire a point of sale devices. 19 This will allow those serving the poor to 20 21 participate in the technological revolution we are

experiencing across the globe. 1 2 In closing, once again, thank you for this 3 opportunity to address this impending you on legislation. 4 As one who recognizes and applauds the 5 6 technological improvements that allow for greater 7 efficiency, I appreciate the intent behind EFT 99. 8 Truly, when implemented correctly, the 9 potential gain to the community of East Palo Alto is 10 substantial. 11 Yet, I must caution that change is never easy, but steps can, and should be taken in advance to 12 13 mitigate whatever problems may arise. 14 I hope that the suggestions that I and 15 those have made or will make can help in some way in the success of implementing EFT 99. 16 17 Thank you. 18 MS. LANE: Thank you, Mr. Randolph. 19 now go to questions from the Government Panel of the 20 witnesses. Mr. Hammond? 21 MR. HAMMOND: I'd like to start off with a

question for Mr. Hudson. Throughout your testimony, you -- you commented about the need to -- to limit -- excuse me -- to either limit or restrict the ability of third party providers to -- to in essence serve as providers of financial services; though at one point in your testimony you'd commented about the successful partnership that you had with a local check cashing operation.

And I guess I would like you to elaborate a little bit on perhaps -- there's obviously a difference in what -- what kind of partnership you're talking about being limited or restricted versus the type of relationship that you've entered into, and I was wondering if you could just elaborate on that a little bit.

MR. HUDSON: The difference is we're operating a bank. It's an in-store bank branch. So -- Well's Fargo B of A is in a grocery store, and it's dual we're in as a check cashing company.

So we operate separately from, and maintain
-- you can get all the service that they did.

Basically all the services at our traditional bank you 1 2 can get at this bank, as opposed to the limited 3 functions of receiving funds, deposited in an account, and then all of the functions are delegated to a third 4 5 party financial person. 6 And I think that is the direction that 7 large money centered banks are moving because it is a 8 more cost efficient way to -- to approach the difficult 9 task of balancing the cost of people with very low 10 balances, high transactions, and some risky credit 11 profiles, and not being able to charge them to 12 compensate. So the only way to -- to handle that kind 13 14 of mismatch is to delegate most of the activities, and 15 most of the functions to third party vendors, which can 16 charge, and they're not regular. 17 I appreciate -- I appreciate MR. HAMMOND: 18 -- that helps me quite a bit. So in essence, you're --19 what you're really talking about is a co-location type 20

Right --

MR. HUDSON:

1	MR. HAMMOND: of operation as opposed to
2	a partnership, per se. Alright. Thank you, very much.
3	MS. LANE: Yes, sir.
4	COURT REPORTER: I just need to change
5	sides.
6	MS. LANE: Okay. We can take a break.
7	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
8	MS. LANE: Okay, Mr
9	MR. HUDSON: I just don't want you to think
10	it's just an ATM location. We have a we have a
11	full-time employee, and it's open six days a week.
12	So you can actually approach some way
13	ask questions about direct deposit, about the the
14	difference between cash checking and checking accounts,
15	so it's and get loans.
16	MR. HAMMOND: It really is a full service
17	branch, in essence? It's just perhaps designed in a
18	different fashion.
19	MR. HUDSON: Right.
20	MS. LANE: Mr. Staples?
21	MR. STAPLES: Yes, Mr. Hudson again. You

mentioned the term, "self-select" in your -- in your 1 2 testimony. 3 It was part of a longer sentence, so I --I want you to -- if you could, say a few more words. 4 5 I think it was in relation to the ETA account. 6 And I guess let me ask a sort of a second 7 question at the same time. Have you thought about the 8 indemnification of the waiver conditions, and the --9 the individual's role in self-selecting or not, in some 10 way under that? 11 HUDSON: Yes, I think the waiver provisions are quite broad, and quite adequate. 12 the problem I have is at some point, there's a drop 13 14 dead date, where you -- there are really minimum 15 waivers, and once you have this account set up, there's 16 almost really no excuses left. 17 That's when the problem comes in. 18 did -- I use self-select in my comments, and I -- I 19 apologize. 20 I was gearing my remarks based on Alan, and 21 I thought that I was going to run about 20 minutes, so

1	I was racing through the presentation.
2	My self-select referred to institutions
3	that want to be a part of this EFT account, who will
4	voluntarily self-select.
5	Not all the institutions want to offer this
6	EFT account. So what I think you'll have if you open
7	it up to all financial institutions, is that only a few
8	will want to be a part of this process, and they will
9	self-select themselves.
10	And so this whole question of identifying
11	institutions that will participate or not participate
12	will be done by the private enterprise by the
13	private industry.
14	We will say, "I want to be a part of it,
15	and I will apply to be a part of it, and I will comply
16	with the EFT account structure that you come up with,
17	just like B of A, Wells Fargo, and Nations Bank.
18	MR. STAPLES: Thank you.
19	MS. LANE: Other questions?
20	MR. SHELTON: Uh, yeah.
21	MS. LANE: Mr. Shelton?

MR. SHELTON: I'd like to ask any of the 1 2 panel members -- describe to me -- I know you've tried 3 it, you've done some of it in your talks now, but in 4 moving people from checks to the electronics, describe 5 a model, what that model should cost, and who should 6 pay for that, or share in it, or -- or where the costs 7 should be distributed, or whether it should cost 8 anything? 9 And I'll open it to any panel member that 10 wants to address that. 11 MR. HUDSON: I'll start. The -- in my 12 remarks, I gave you a very definite structure of the 13 type of an account. 14 MR. SHELTON: Right. 15 MR. HUDSON: I -- I firmly believe that the 16 clearest message I can make to you is cost is the most 17 important variable. 18 So the -- the objective is to bring it down 19 to the lowest cost possible, with the broadest amount 20 of flexibility to the consumer without, you know, 21 giving them unlimited services and products.

1	I I think the basic services are that
2	they need to be able to withdraw funds, make payments,
3	receive electronic funds, and and that should be
4	provided at a minimal cost.
5	MR. SHELTON: Be more definitive than that.
6	MR. HUDSON: Excuse me?
7	MR. SHELTON: Can you be more definitive on
8	what that cost should be?
9	MR. HUDSON: Yeah, I mean, I think it
10	should be
11	MR. SHELTON: A range could be fine, I
12	mean.
13	MR. HUDSON: If it was at our bank, that
14	cost would be probably three dollars a month, \$36 a
15	year for four withdrawals a month, four electronic fund
16	deposits a month, four money orders a month, and
17	unlimited ATM use.
18	MR. SHELTON: Okay.
19	MR. HUDSON: And you would earn two percent
20	interest on that account.
21	MR. SHELTON: That cost the three

1 dollars would be -- the -- the customer would pay for 2 that? 3 MR. HUDSON: The customer would pay for 4 that. 5 MR. SHELTON: Okay. 6 MR. STAPLES: And it would only be at your ATM machine? 7 8 MR. HUDSON: Yeah, that's the problem. 9 That's the major problem. When you -- and it's not 10 just Broadway Federal Bank's problem. 11 When you start using ATM outside of my network, you're going to get charged substantially, and 12 13 that's the problem I have with debit cards. 14 If you force people to use debit cards, 15 you're forcing them, in underserved communities, to pay 16 an excise, in addition to whatever minimum bank charge 17 you charge, you're going to force them to incur \$1.50 18 to \$2.00 for every time they use an ATM at a grocery 19 store, at another person's bank, at a check cashing 20 operation at my bank. 21 So it's -- it's a real problem. When you

force people to use debit cards, and you don't give 1 2 them the ability to walk in and access their funds 3 directly. On this point, Paul makes a 4 MR. BRYANT: 5 very good point which needs to be amplified. 6 was going to give you two quick examples. 7 When I was growing up in business, as I was 8 -- started to do well, I noticed I started to get more 9 and more money out of the ATM machine every time I 10 went. 11 You know, at first you go get 20 bucks out, 12 and then the next day you go get another 20, or maybe 13 that evening. 14 And then after a while, you start taking 15 out 100 and 200, and it's the same fee no matter how much you take out. 16 17 And so I think the important point here is 18 that -- is that the folks, really that we're talking 19 about, are going to be going to that machine once a 20 day, twice a day, going to the grocery store, going to

get some cash to do whatever, but they can only take

1	out the minimal amount.
2	Or they're going to be cautious if they
3	have any common sense at all, and that means that those
4	those per usage charges are going to go through the
5	roof.
6	And the last analogy I I want to give
7	you is my cable company. They recently changed
8	service.
9	The only problem is, I didn't ask them.
10	And they came to me right after they turned off my
11	service.
12	And I said, "Why are you turning off my
13	service?"
14	They said, "Because you didn't respond to
15	us to put in a cable box."
16	And I said, "Well, I don't want a cable
17	box."
18	And they want to charge me four bucks for
19	the pleasure.
20	I said, "I never asked for a cable box. I
21	liked the service just the way I had it."

Well, they didn't give me an option. 1 2 bill was paid on time. We had a great wonderful 3 relationship -- I loved the quality. I don't see any difference in the quality 4 5 of the picture I'm getting today than I got yesterday. 6 But the reality was, if I didn't say yes, they were 7 going to cut it off. 8 And -- and so when we box people in like 9 that, you really make them captive for those predators 10 in these markets who are less than scrupulous. 11 MR. FISHER: The one thing I -- I wanted to add to this is the other issue of fees beyond the ATM 12 13 fees. 14 You get seven checks a month, and it 15 happens that eight clear in a particular month. 16 even though you wrote them chronologically within a 17 month, and I think that's another thing that you need 18 to look at with these accounts, are -- are there hidden 19 fees? Because I know one of my friend's nephew 20 21 had some money in an account that was below the minimum

1 balance, and in two or three months it got eaten up by 2 fees. 3 He came back to his account that he thought 4 had money in it, and it was a negative account. 5 think that's another issue beyond the up front costs 6 that you need to look at. 7 One other thing about cash MR. HUDSON: 8 consumers, and why they're cash consumers, everybody 9 opens an account, we run on check systems. 10 If they have any problem whatsoever, we 11 won't open their account. Or if -- the other reason is that we may ask for three different forms of ID and 12 13 they only have one. 14 So you have to understand that some people 15 are cash consumers because they can't get a bank 16 account, or the bank's -- they don't fit the bank 17 profile. 18 So when you set up accounts, I'm assuming that you will -- that you will force banks to take 19 20 accounts from anyone and everyone based on the minimal

amount of ID, and not having the -- even if they have

a problem with check systems, which I imagine the banking community will have some concerns about -- have some dialogue with you about, but otherwise, I don't see how people can get into this EFT account on a wholesale basis.

MS. LANE: Mr. Randolph?

MR. RANDOLPH: Part of what I heard you -you ask, too, was as we're -- as we're transitioning
from a check writing environment to a electronic
environment, who would bear the costs of educating the
consumers on that -- that part of the process.

I think that's where organizations like Operation Hope down here in LA, and I think -- to a small extent, EPA can do up in the Bay area, where we're putting together a banking technology center similar to what Operation Hope is, that's where we come into play.

And how do we fund that? I think part of my suggestions and my comments earlier were that -- that the Department of Treasury and the Federal Government look at ways that some of that money can be

allocated as being saved right now from going to -- to 1 2 EFT 99, and being allocated toward these centers. 3 Maybe the HUD neighborhood network program 4 going on right now, which does call for more 5 established affordable computer centers being in 6 housing developments. 7 is an access point for folks --This 8 especially elderly and low income folks who don't have 9 transportation to banks which do not exist in my 10 community or other communities like the East Palo Alto, 11 to be able to access their accounts. And as we do the education of these, too, 12 13 -- we familiarize them with the online banking 14 process. 15 Well, if I could say something MR. FISHER: 16 to the check system issue. I mean one way around that, 17 in terms of the bank's concerns may be to have it be 18 electronic access and money orders. 19 The money order can only be filled if 20 there's money in the account. So I would really urge

you not to go to the electronic only, because I don't

think it works well for people, because of these 1 2 concerns, but to be flexible about that and see what 3 can really come of it. MS. LANE: Mr. Randolph, in your comments, 4 5 you mentioned perhaps some loans from the 6 Business Administration to help organizations purchase 7 point of sale equipment. 8 What kinds of organizations were you 9 thinking of, and how might this work in the current 10 financial network? 11 MR. RANDOLPH: Well, when I was putting those comments together, I was looking at particular 12 13 industries that are frequent in smaller communities, 14 lower income communities, and what we find is that 15 there are a lot of mom and pop business available in nail salons, in our community. 16 17 We have, unfortunately, a lot of liquor 18 stores in our communities, so I'm not suggesting that 19 loans be given to liquor stores, but possibly to the 20 mom and pop operations that exist in our communities --

some of the small family restaurants that may be an

operation. 1 2 We have a couple of fast food operations in 3 East Palo Alto which are viable businesses, but they don't have the resources in place right now to -- to 4 5 convert to an electronic environment. 6 So those are the types of businesses that 7 8 MS. LANE: One of the things that might 9 help with point of sale is the EBT program -- the 10 Electronic Benefits Transfer, that is -- is taking 11 place in many states with food stamps, and they are 12 equipping mom and pop stores. 13 The Department of Agriculture has to equip 14 any store that doesn't really have the -- the funds to 15 do it themselves, or doesn't make the business decision 16 to do it. 17 I don't know how -- how soon EBT's coming 18 to California. I think it's being tested in a couple 19 of counties now, but that may be part of the solution. 20 Are there other questions? 21 MR. HAMMOND: I had one -- one additional

question for Mr. Randolph, related to the -- the notion you were talking about, waivers based on access or the ability to access a banking location, and in the proposed regulation, we put together a geographic waiver.

And I was wondering if you thought that that would -- would be sufficient to deal with the circumstances where somebody -- you know, for example, where a community didn't have banking access, or whether there was some change to the reg that would be required to address -- address your point.

MR. RANDOLPH: I'm -- I -- I've got to be honest. I'm not completely familiar with the geographic waiver, but to the extent that it allows for communities like East Palo Alto -- residents of East Palo Alto to -- to be, you know, considered under that waiver.

I mean what our problem right now is that we don't have a public system that's -- that's adequate right now for lower income population, and that's where my main concern is right now, especially our senior

1 residents to be able to access their accounts 2 correctly. 3 Thank you. I'd like to -- I'd MR. STOUT: 4 like to shift gears a little bit. One of the things 5 that we seem to focus on, and rightly so, is the bank 6 community. 7 We have a population that is equally as 8 large that we need to address between now and January 9 1, 1999, and that is those individuals who are, and 10 already have relationship with financial а 11 institution who continue to see that payment's by check. 12 13 And I was just wondering what any one of 14 the panels might do to help us in -- in trying to let 15 us know what you think some of the issues are, and some 16 of the ways we might be able to address, encouraging 17 those who already have that relationship to move into 18 an environment of direct deposit. 19 BRYANT: Education, MR. education, 20 education. 21 MR. HUDSON: We -- we face that issue in

another way. We have customers that have a passbook -- a book, and without that book they don't feel they have an account, and they don't feel they have access to the account.

It's almost like a physical check, is to some people, this book is to them. And it's going to be very difficult on a lot of your SSI recipients and senior citizens that to -- to convince them that they are as safe and secure with electronic transfer as they are with the physical check.

And I know it's hard for you to probably understand this given security and the risk, and privacy and all the other issues, but some people just need to see and touch and control that physical.

And once it goes into electronic system, they're not sure where it is, they don't trust it, they don't feel comfortable that it's being handled adequately.

And so there is a -- as hard as it is to believe, from their point of view, it is a safety and privacy issue, and security issue when it goes to

Electronic Funds Transfer.

I think that's what John's talking about, educate, educate -- and it's going to be an uphill struggle.

And you will find that a lot of these people in Section 208.8 deals with this. There are going to be a lot of people that are just not going to respond to it -- that just say, "I don't know what this is about. I don't understand it. I'm not going to be a part of it."

And they're just going to dump that literature, and at some -- at some point, they're going to find out their account is -- is now in a -- in a bank somewhere, and they don't get a check anymore.

That's going to be a difficult situation for the bank to have to deal with to explain to these people that they -- that the Government has now put their check they used to get physically -- no matter how many notices you send them in the mail -- is now -- without -- and because they didn't approve it, they didn't sign anything to say you could do this, now that

1 account is in a bank somewhere, and they've got a debit 2 card to use. 3 That's going to be -- I don't know how many 4 people, or what percentage we're talking about, but 5 it's a scary prospect for the banks that we're the ones 6 that are going to be responsible for dealing with that 7 concern. 8 MR. RANDOLPH: I think that to the extent 9 that you can empower the local groups to provide that 10 education as I suggested, having the Department of 11 Treasury and the Federal Government put together some training programs for the community based groups so 12 that we can actually go out to our -- our clients and 13 14 educate them. 15 I bet it's more effective than trying to have you all do it for -- on a local level. 16 17 With respect to trying to tie MR. BRYANT: 18 this education into the -- the fees, clearly, if you 19 can avoid charging people that did not request this, that is the preferred route. 20

Very much like I felt again with I -- I --

1	as silly as this may sound, I want you to keep thinking
2	about this cable interaction I had.
3	I mean, it is and and I think I'm a
4	reasonable person. I didn't request it. I didn't want
5	it, but I've got to pay for the pleasure of changing to
6	something that somebody else wanted.
7	Now, there's a lot of folks who I think are
8	less reasonable and less patient who are going to end
9	up in Paul Hudson's lobby.
10	And Paul then Paul Hudson's going to
11	give them your number.
12	But I think that to
13	MR. HUDSON: And and names.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. RANDOLPH: Preferably home numbers.
16	But I think that to the extent that that you can
17	pass this on without passing on a cost, at least to a
18	portion of these in users who cannot afford an
19	additional surcharge, that is preferable.
20	To to the extent that that, you know,
21	that there is going to be a charge, my my suggestion

is, when you're being run out of town, get in front of 1 2 the crowd and make like a parade, bring community based 3 organizations into this, let them create partnerships with the banks. 4 5 That will help to self regulate the -- the 6 fees so they don't skyrocket, because they're going to 7 both monitor the fees, but the -- let the community 8 based organizations share in the fee that the bank is 9 earning, thereby giving everybody a win, win. 10 And I believe also, having the least 11 likelihood of having fees skyrocket unchecked and 12 uncontrolled. 13 MR. FISHER: You know there's some people -- as -- as you said yesterday may not want this, as 14 15 hard as it is to believe. MS. LANE: Yeah. 16 17 MR. FISHER: And, you know, I think that's, 18 again, why it's important to educate about the waivers, 19 so that people have these choices, and that maybe 20 there's an openness that, well maybe someone decides in

1995 they really want to do this, you know and that

there are options along the way that I think work for what you're trying to do, and don't rush people into it.

MR. STAPLES: Let me ask a question about tradeoffs on check writing and -- to you Mr. Fisher and your money orders.

Certainly one of the -- the down sides to a program like this is the possibility that people encounter fees particularly for -- for overdrafts and checks written that weren't -- that rely on record keeping and -- and things of that sort.

Presumably, the option you laid out that would be a -- an ETA account with money orders only in addition to the ATM, relieves the consumer of that burden in the sense that you can't have an overdraft presumably for a money order.

But if you -- any of you could -- could address this nexus between providing for the check writing capability versus the consumer protection that comes from not having check writing capability and incurring the costs of the overdrafts?

Well the -- the first thing 1 MR. HUDSON: 2 you should understand from a banking perspective, it's 3 more expensive for us to offer an account with money 4 orders, than it is to offer a checking account. 5 It -- It's kind of like you guys 6 physical checks and Electronic Funds Transfer. But 7 from the consumer point of view, it's a lot more 8 difficult for them to manage a checking account than it 9 is an account with money orders, because like Alan 10 says, you'll -- you'll have so much cash, and get so 11 many money orders, and that's all you can do. With a checking account, you can get into 12 13 a lot of trouble, and there will be fees associated 14 with it, and banks will close those accounts. 15 So then we're back to a system of, well, 16 how do they access their EFT money, and it's probably 17 through an ATM transaction card. 18 Because I think you really have to get 19 involved in regulating this issue because most banks that I'm aware of will charge you for those money 20

orders anywhere from \$1.50 to \$2.50 each.

And we have customers that come in on the 1 2 1st and the 15th of the month with their electronically 3 deposited social security check and will get 15 money orders. 4 5 And you try and tell them a checking 6 account's better, and they say, "This is the way I've 7 This is the way I will continue to do it, done it. 8 because this is the way I'm comfortable with." 9 So, I mean, I don't know if there's an 10 answer, but clearly it's -- it's an area that needs to 11 be regulated, otherwise, as I said in my testimony, you 12 will find that consumers are going to pay more to 13 receive their funds electronically then they did 14 through a physical check. 15 MR. FISHER: I mean, I -- I think for --16 for us, we haven't -- we still aren't -- aren't clear, 17 because there's some -- there's some conflicting issues 18 here. 19 I think the Congresswoman's issue that 20 there shouldn't be some special account for people is

a very important one.

On the other hand, in pragmatic terms, 1 2 financial institutions have shown tremendous reluctance 3 to open accounts. That's why a lot of people don't have 4 5 accounts, because they've made a mistake before, or --6 or a variety of reasons. 7 Others, because of how banks treat them in 8 their variety of reasons. And I just think that in 9 looking at this, there needs to be something beyond the 10 electronic, so that it can really work for people, and 11 that it ought to be something with some flexibility. I mean checks are better in some ways for 12 13 some people, and -- and money orders are better in --14 for other people in other situations. 15 And I think, you know, this needs to be -to be weighed out some, and see what can work, but I --16 17 I think our strongest message is that it should not be 18 an electronic only account. 19 Because that -that doesn't add to people's safety and security in terms of being able to 20

keep receipts, pay bills, and -- and all of that.

And I think the other needs to be wrestled 1 2 through a little bit more, you know. 3 Mr. Hudson, could I ask you a MS. LANE: One of the populations that I serve -- that 4 5 concerns me, having responsibility for social security 6 and SSI recipients in South Central is -- the people 7 who -- and -- and throughout the City -- people who 8 have bank accounts and do not have direct deposit for 9 their checks, and this is a considerable number of 10 people in attempting to have people move to EFT. 11 One of the things, and -- and Congresswoman Waters mentioned is, you know, that a lot of elderly 12 13 people do just like to see the check. 14 I know this is very important in a lot of 15 the immigrant communities, also, where they like to know and see the nexus of the check being placed into 16 17 their account in the bank. 18 Is it a -- an expensive proposition to --19 for the bank to issue in the mail a deposit slip to the 20 showing that the check deposited customers was

electronically, so that at the beginning of the month

the customer actually has proof of that nexus without actually having to have the paper check and all the risks associated with the receipt of the paper check in Los Angeles County.

MR. HUDSON: There is a cost. I would not characterize it as expensive. We -- we provide those services regularly to our other customers in terms of if they make a deposit by mail, we give them a receipt.

If they have a statement savings, we give them a monthly statement. So there is regular mailings that we are accustomed of handling anyway.

I think though that there is a -- there is a cost associated with that. I -- I think it is a minimal cost, but I think it gets back to Mr. Shelton's question about what is the cost of this account.

I think -- I -- I think that as we add these costs, it goes up to \$3 dollars. And maybe the number is \$5 a month, but -- but I think that that's a realistic option for people to get something that says you received this amount of money, and if you could even send it out so it looks like a check.

So -- but, and I think that, you know, when 1 2 the hysteria of EFT 99 kicks in, there will be a lot of 3 people that have checking accounts that are getting 4 physical checks that now say, "You know, I'm going to 5 do it by EFT." 6 Because this would be so much education, so 7 much information, and so much -- so many -it's 8 participants trying to get them to go to banks, the 9 Government, community organizations, that I think it 10 would facilitate and would help if we sent some 11 recognition that the funds are here, and that -- you 12 know, it's some much money. 13 MS. LANE: Okay. I would like to thank the 14 members of the first panel. We will take a very brief 15 break just to change the -- the panel members. No long break at this time, thank you. 16 17 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 18 MS. LANE: Our next panel consists of four 19 Testifying first would be Lea Baines from witnesses. the Ravenswood Federal Credit Union, followed by Page 20

Ogden from the Britton and Koontz First National Bank

of natchez, Mississippi. 1 2 Next would be Brenda Yost, Bank of America, 3 James Ball, National Check Cashers Association. Baines? 4 5 MS. BAINES: Good morning. It's a pleasure 6 to come before all of you. We are a new organization 7 out here to help low income community development urban 8 cities. 9 We are at East Palo Alto, California. Had 10 the political situation not preempted us with police 11 department, would have -- we would have been publicly 12 announced on Wednesday. 13 Mr. Randolph is aware -- we notified their 14 Board April 28th, and I did leave phone messages to 15 speak with Mr. Randolph before coming. Mine is pretty direct and very short. 16 17 implementation of EFT by the Department of the Treasury 18 will not only have a positive impact on the amount of 19 tax payer money saved by the Government, it will have 20 long term positive effects on depositors who have

been underserved, even denied

previously

services, such as recipients of AFDC. 1 2 the creation of Ravenwood's Federal Credit Union, no financial institution of any 3 kind existed in East Palo Alto, California. 4 5 Despite being surrounded by the 6 affluent cities in the country, with a population in 7 the 23 to 29,000 range. 8 In addition to the savings mentioned above, 9 service contracts with Department of Treasury 10 conversion to EFT direct deposit method would open job 11 training benefits and employment in high unemployed urban cities in communities served by federal credit 12 13 unions with low income community development financial 14 institution status. 15 The things that we see that need to be addressed are some of the questions that all of you 16 17 pose today. 18 Banks -- commercial banks, existing long 19 term banks -- they need to provide the following institutions 20 services that will the to serve

underserved population.

Part -- they need to help fund, perhaps out of unused portion of their present CRA money that still is on -- on deposit with them, or allocate or designate a portion of the CRA money.

But start up funds where community organizations led by federal credit unions that have low income community development status, linking up with community organizations, commercial banking should be very willing to help fund start up education costs, not just the Treasury.

Because the Treasury has VEA and CRA funds that can come to organizations that are going here doing the education.

Not to forget the fact that there's also training the trainer, it needs to provide volunteer materials and manpower to assist the low income federal credit unions, and their community organization partners with some of the costs that has to go into the education of the recipients, and the clients that will be on EFT.

We personally feel that there should be

1	free ATM services to financial institutions working
2	with the unbanked, and not have existing banks pass on
3	a fee to us.
4	Provide literacy regarding those financial
5	institutions to existing bank clients monthly.
6	Treasury to provide the following to
7	financial institutions that will service the unbanked:
8	CRA, VEA funds to financial institutions
9	that are willing to go after and educate and sign up
10	the unbanked.
11	Job training contracts by financial
12	institutions that acts as the fiscal agents.
13	Funding for start up costs, and job
14	training for CBOs.
15	Financial institutions, or fiscal agents to
16	account for the funds to the Treasury and other
17	sources.
18	It is our contention that a fiscal agent
19	should be set up whereby a a a trust account
20	auditing method should be set up to track the monies
21	given by Treasury for these services so that when

community organizations are in a sense subcontracted to 1 2 assist on the education and training end. 3 But they need to provide a service, and if they don't provide that service, then the funding needs 4 5 to stop to them. 6 And so that we don't have wasted Federal 7 dollars, we feel that the fiscal agent system would act 8 as a watchdog to protect the Federal dollars. 9 Also, grant funds and contracts will be 10 overseen by low income financial institutions or their 11 fiscal agents. In the specific case of Ravenswood Federal 12 Credit Union, the Nation Federation has designated 13 people projects and progress of 503(c)3 non-profit 14 15 community organization in East Palo Alto as the community partner, because they formed the credit 16 17 union. 18 So we implemented the process so that our 19 people have access to financial institutions. And 20 people progress, and progress -- people projects and

progress would in turn bring in and enfold the other

community organizations throughout four counties. 1 2 that have host 3 networking, and doing education as training, and training of the services. 4 5 We think that a hands on approach needs to 6 If you're Latino senior, then the trainer or the be. 7 educator should be Latino senior. 8 other words, match the education 9 facilitator with the group that they are trying to 10 educate. 11 We feel that moving individuals from the recipient of tax dollars into the position of trained 12 13 tax payers from welfare to work, from underskilled, 14 from under -- under educated is a financial bonus on 15 top of the EFT bonus that would come to the Federal 16 Government. 17 Because, as you have heard today, there are 18 millions of unbanked clients. Those clients can be job 19 trained, as well as educated, and employed by the financial institutions, taking them from the role of 20

living off the tax payer dollar to paying in the tax

1 payer dollar to the Government. 2 We see the conversion as a platform for 3 widespread banking education, and the creation of innovative financial services to greater numbers of 4 5 people. 6 Teaching those living in housing projects 7 financial banking money management and skills, 8 providing service on a scheduled basis with mobile 9 stations for non-cash transactions. 10 the financial institution 11 Ravenswood Federal Credit Union will go to the housing 12 projects, train and educate those same residents in the 13 banking. 14 We will have mobile, trained, employed 15 staff that will come on a scheduled basis to provide 16 banking services to that unserved and unbanked group of 17 people. 18 If an individual is physically disabled, or 19 a senior person, and is not able to commute or get to the -- the actual bank facility, we will also train and 20

hire and employ scheduled staff that goes to their

homes for the nursing home or whatever to take care of 1 2 their banking needs. 3 We see this as an implementation into the 4 21st century. On many of the things that were 5 discussed previously about services and 6 service, it is my view that if the unbanked population 7 is paying X amount of dollars per transaction to the 8 check cashing business, they already are paying a 9 specific amount. 10 I think financial institutions should not 11 charge more, but they should charge the same as. They're accustomed to paying a specific fee. 12 13 It might be that the implementation of 14 going from account holder, and having the bank provide 15 the specific money orders to that client from the financial institution would resolve the issue of, they 16 17 want money orders. 18 don't feel that we should go 19 competition as far as trying to lower the cost. Wе know the transaction costs are real and fixed. 20

When you power up a modem, it is going to

cost you X amount of dollars or cents in utility costs 1 2 -- just utility costs. So we know that those are kind of fixed. 3 Ιf 4 the financial services, provided by financial 5 institutions match -- at least match the check cashing 6 taking advantage of the industry, we are not 7 disadvantage, because that's those primary role. 8 And in most cases, we can lower the fee, 9 but we never go above what the check cashing services 10 already provide. 11 Т think financial institutions, specifically low income federal credit unions should 12 13 aggressively go after those dollars. 14 Check cashing businesses are very 15 lucrative, successful and profitable. Part of their 16 way of opening the door to this unserved population was 17 through human services contracts, or state contracts 18 whereby recipients of -- of checks from human services 19 for food stamps have to come to the check cashing 20 service to even get them.

The banks can offer the same thing.

21

Wе

certainly are going to do that. To come to the bank that helps the person connect with someone that's a real human being, not a machine, over a period of time, they get accustomed to the new banking services, and the atmosphere, and begin to trust the person that's giving them the service.

So if you want your food stamps, then you come to our financial institution to receive it, as well as providing them with the new information that this will be a transaction in the future that will go to EFT.

But by that time, they will have become very familiar with you, the service provider. I feel that there is a massive role in education in going into our schools, because not only are we trying to breach the gap into the 21st century with people that are in the mid-life to the senior years, but we need to be able to inform, educate and instill financial banking habits into the young people.

They're not intimidated as the seniors are to use a -- a computer or an ATM machine. I don't feel

that ATM machines, should those that are unbanked and 1 2 are on a fixed low income, I don't feel there should be 3 any service charge for using those ATM machines. 4 They certainly are not going to be charged 5 any fee at our financial institution. There has to be 6 a place in which we are reasonable and flexible with 7 those that are on permanent fixed incomes, whether it be disability, social security retirement, whatever. 8 9 They cannot afford more than, but whatever 10 they paid for the services they received from check 11 cashing businesses, that is what they are charged, and 12 only that. 13 We also feel that the habits they've gotten 14 into, 1) the money orders, 2) being able to go and pay their -- their utility bills and whatever. 15 Educating them on the services that are 16 17 offered by financial institutions to pay those costs 18 out of your checking account. 19 Or to pay those bills, or to provide the 20 service to the client, which is, you come to the

financial institution to pay your PG need,

1 telephone, your water. 2 Any one of those avenues help the person intimidated and distrustful overcome 3 because they're not dealing with a machine. 4 5 They're dealing with one on one human 6 beings that speak their language, and that are job 7 trained and recruited from their own economic area. 8 So it becomes a -- a place of closing the 9 gap of trust. We also want to be able to bring up to 10 the attention of the Treasury that we need to work very 11 closely with the Treasury's criminal investigative end. Because all of us can look at this at an 12 13 overview and see that there is massive areas for fraud. 14 Ourselves, people projects, and progress is accustomed 15 to working with the U.S. Treasury Department Criminal Division out of their San Jose office. 16 17 Our -- our Liaison is Joe Banister. So 18 we're familiar with working with the Treasury 19 Department in criminal cases. As I added to both of you, I do have the 20

privilege and the honor now of having gotten my little

special certificate and accolade as a national -- as a 1 2 member of the National Criminal Justice Association. 3 So I'll be working on the legislative end 4 to try and help protect the disadvantaged from the 5 fraud that is out there. 6 Contracts that are presently ongoing with 7 check cashing businesses, like providing the food 8 stamps, and whatever, I -- I feel that we need to 9 seriously go into competition with them. financial 10 Because role Ollras 11 institution, with the designations that we requested for the unserved and underserved low income community 12 13 was to empower all people of all races, 14 economic levels with financial stability. 15 The only way we were able to discover to do 16 that was to go out and create one of our own. There is 17 no question that there has been many conversations 18 since 1983 to encourage private stockholder owned banks 19 to come and provide service for our community. However, thus far, after the high crime 20

wave of the drug -- you know, the flower child era, no

1	one has been willing to come in.
2	So therefore, our people have been denied
3	financial services. Now that we have this in the
4	community for the low income people, we would
5	aggressively fight the private banking industry coming
6	in to that small community now.
7	It's a little bit too late.
8	MS. LANE: Miss
9	MS. BAINES: Yes.
10	MS. LANE: Miss Baines, we have a limited
11	amount of time.
12	MS. BAINES: Okay. Thank you.
13	MS. LANE: If you could wrap up. Thank
14	you.
15	MS. BAINES: So hopefully I've pointed out
16	some specifics that we can work together on.
17	Thank you, very much. It's a pleasure.
18	MS. LANE: Thank you, Miss Baines. Mr.
19	Ogden?
20	MR. OGDEN: Good morning. I'm Page Ogden,
21	President and CEO of Britton and Koontz First National

Bank in Natchez, Mississippi. 1 2 As you can probably tell, I'm a community 3 banker a long way from home, but I'm very glad to be 4 here today to present the views of the American 5 Banker's Association on the implementation of EFT 99. 6 EFT 99 has ambitious an qoal, the 7 elimination of virtually all paper Government checks. 8 It will have a big impact on millions of people who 9 receive Government benefits, and vendors that do 10 business with the Government. 11 We've made good progress in some areas, such as Social Security, where 67 percent of payments 12 are currently made by direct deposit. 13 But overall, we have a long way to go, and 14 15 a short time to get there. In my statement today, I would like to discuss three issues that surfaced in 16 17 each of the previous Treasury hearings. 18 First, it is very clear that public 19 and consumer education must awareness be top 20 priority.

Second, there was concern about the use of

waivers to allow recipients to receive a paper check, rather than a direct deposit.

And third, there was considerable anxiety over the design and cost of the electronic accounts that will be offered by Treasury to deliver benefits to recipients without deposit accounts.

My first point deals with the need for public awareness and education programs. The changes in consumer behavior require to move all benefit recipients to direct deposit are understandably making many individuals uneasy.

We believe that Treasury must take the lead in this area. We pledge to continue to work closely with Treasury, the Social Security Administration and other Federal agencies that will be moving to direct deposits.

We have also had meetings with several national consumer church and community groups to develop a better understanding of how we can each engage our constituencies in outreach and education efforts to help implement EFT 99.

But we must be realistic. Some recipients 1 2 will never be convinced that electronic delivery is 3 safer and more efficient than a paper check. 4 And given the short time frame, we must 5 recognize that we are unlikely to reach everyone who 6 needs reaching within the next year. 7 My second point deals with the use of the We believe the Treasury's proposal for a self 8 waivers. certification process is appropriate. 9 10 It has the advantage of not requiring an 11 expensive bureaucratic process review to 12 applications. 13 In the earlier hearings, there were many 14 questions raised about the circumstances for granting 15 a waiver. The goal is to design a waiver rule that 16 17 balances the need to encourage the use of EFT with the 18 recognition that there are hardship circumstances that 19 warrant granting a waiver. 20 We suggest that Treasury may want 21 consider some of the requests made by consumer groups

in the previous hearings for expanding the definition 1 2 of hardship referring in the area of linguistics and 3 language barriers. The third issue deals with the design and 4 5 the cost of the Treasury's ETA, the account that will 6 deliver benefits to recipients without depository 7 accounts. 8 I would like to make a few general comments 9 about ETA, and then briefly look at certain specific 10 areas of concern raised in prior hearings. 11 We agree with the proposal to have the ETAs offered on a regional basis by depository institutions 12 13 contracted with the Treasury. 14 believe that banks are uniquely 15 positioned to provide this service, that 16 competitive bidding for the Treasury contract will 17 ensure the best services at a reasonable price. 18 MS. LANE: Mr. Ogden, if I could interrupt 19 you, the Court Reporter has to change his tape --20 MR. OGDEN: Sure. -- now, so we have to have just 21 MS. LANE:

1	a brief break.
2	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
3	MS. LANE: Mr. Ogden.
4	MR. OGDEN: As I was saying, the we
5	we believe that competitive bidding for the Treasury
6	contract will ensure the best services at a a
7	reasonable price.
8	We also agree that Treasury does not have
9	the statutory authority to regulate prices of deposit
10	services provided by private institutions in a
11	competitive marketplace.
12	Trying to assess the reasonableness of
13	thousands of accounts would cause a large and costly
14	burden for both Treasury and the institutions.
15	And worst of all, this process, like other
16	attempts at Government price setting, simply will not
17	work.
18	Let me mention four other specific issues
19	about the ETA raised in earlier hearings.
20	Issue One, some concerns have been raised
21	that the ETA will somehow be a second class account.

We do not believe that that is the case. 1 2 The entire payment system is moving from 3 paper to electronic, because it is more efficient, faster and safer. 4 5 These accounts, which utilize the latest in 6 technology will be the norm in the very near future. 7 To argue that they are inferior to paper based accounts 8 simply defies logic. 9 Issue Two, there seems to be а 10 misconception that EFT 99 will somehow be a windfall 11 for depository institutions. This misconception assumes that the funds 12 13 deposited will generate significant investment earnings for banks. 14 15 Evidence from the electronic benefits 16 transfer program, the EBT program, however, indicates 17 that this scenario is very unlikely because the funds 18 are typically drawn down very quickly. 19 In fact, evidence from the Texas pilot shows that over half of the funds deposited each month 20

were withdrawn immediately, and there are significant

costs involved in providing these accounts. 1 2 One obvious cost is a need to maintain 3 large amounts of cash on the day before electronic 4 deposits are made, and cash is an asset that earns no 5 interest. 6 Handling large amounts of cash is costly, 7 deliveries require Extra cash security. extra 8 personnel must be available to reload ATMs to meet the 9 withdrawal demands. These expenses are in addition to the 10 11 ordinary cost of account maintenance and transaction 12 expenses. 13 In other words, there is virtually no 14 potential for a windfall profit for institutions 15 supplying ETA services. Issue Three, There has been concern that 16 17 electronic delivery will cost recipients more than they 18 currently pay. 19 It is certainly not the intent of EFT 99 to 20 place an additional cost on benefit recipients, but it

is important to recognize that even with the paper

check, it is not free for benefit recipients to conduct 1 2 their financial business. 3 Only individuals who currently cash their checks for free, and pay their bills with cash, pay 4 5 nothing under the current system. 6 These individuals can get a waiver based on 7 financial hardship and continue to receive a paper 8 check. 9 Competition for the Treasury's ETA 10 contract, and the competition among financial 11 institutions providing low cost electronic accounts to all including 12 their consumers, Federal benefit 13 recipients, will insure that reasonably 14 financial services will be available to all consumers. 15 Finally, Issue Four. There has been 16 considerable controversy over depositing benefits into 17 an account controlled by someone other than the person 18 entitled to the payment. 19 We agree with Treasury that all Federal 20 payments should be made to an account at a financial

institution.

A related question is whether non-bank firms, such as retailers, check cashers, and money should be permitted establish transmitters, partnership arrangements with a bank to provide access to Federal payments for beneficial -- for benefit recipients. This advantage of has the giving individuals who are currently using these firms the option to continue to do so if the Treasury's proposed -- proposed rule would allow such partnerships. Wе believe that the proposal for partnership arrangements has merit, but we must insure that all institutions providing financial services to recipients meet the same high standards of safety and soundness, and offer the same consumer protections that

Therefore, we urge Treasury to regularly review third party distributors to see how these partnership arrangements are working.

are provided by depository institutions.

In conclusion, EFT 99 presents many challenges. It will fundamentally change the way we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

use the financial system. 1 2 It is the beginning of the wide spread 3 conversion from a paper based financial system to a 4 system based on electronics. 5 We believe the Treasury's proposed rule is 6 a reasonable and realistic approach to this massive 7 undertaking. 8 Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Ogden. Ms. Yost? MS. LANE: 10 MS. YOST: My name is Brenda Yost. 11 Senior Vice President of Bank of America in 12 Francisco. 13 On behalf of Bank of America, I appreciate 14 opportunity to present our comments the 15 implementation of EFT 99. 16 Bank of America strongly supports 17 administration's effort to increase efficiency and 18 payment processing by automating Government payments. 19 For many years, Bank of America has tried 20 to bring banking services to a broader range of 21 customers.

1 provide grants to many consumer 2 organizations to train their local constituents on how 3 to use financial services. 4 We provide training as well as branch 5 service, phone service, and ATMs in multiple languages 6 to serve in our communities. 7 In addition, we offer free and low cost 8 accounts to customers. We understand what a huge 9 undertaking EFT 99 is, since in spite of our efforts, 10 and the other banks in California, there is still 11 estimated 10 to 20 percent of California households who are unbanked. 12 13 What I'd like to do today is focus on two 14 out of -- what we consider -- are three parts of EFT 99. 15 Briefly going through those with check 16 17 recipients who have a deposit account, spending more 18 time on those who don't, and in my written testimony 19 you'll find some comments on vendor payments, but I 20 think I'll skip those today, to leave that going.

those customers

On

the

21

who

have

have direct deposit, 1 accounts, and don't 2 understand from your research, this is about 80 percent 3 of those people receiving checks, hopefully this is the 4 easier group to convert. 5 But need to remember that the 6 Government, as well as the financial industry has spent 7 the last 20 years trying to convert these people. 8 They've opted not to have their payments 9 received electronically. Some of these are privacy 10 concerns, some of them are the liability of electronic 11 services, and the need to want and see that check. 12 We know, even on the day that social 13 security payments come in to our bank, that we have to 14 have on our phone service an announcement that they've 15 arrived just to reduce the number of calls of people calling to make sure the money showed up. 16 17 So we understand the concerns there, and 18 feel that it's going to take education to help these 19 people convert. We have a much higher acceptance rate at 20

the bank than some of the other institutions in the

country.

Eighty percent of our social security payments are received electronically. And we think this is driven by large amounts of commotions we've done.

We have our tellers make an effort to sign our customer's. They have the ability to stamp -- put a stamp on the back of the check and just have the customer sign it, and then we in the back room do all of the work to sign them up.

But I think that the final 20 percent is going to be tough to convert from paper, and it's going to take a very strong educational effort to do this, if you're going to try to convert all of these people to direct deposit by January of 1999.

However, I think, with the right resources behind it, it can be done. Let me talk a little bit more, though, about the other group.

Those that don't have an account today, and you're trying to convert to direct deposit. It's definitely a more problematic group to work with, and

you're estimating it at 10 million.

You have to convince them first to open an account, and then sign up for direct deposit, and that's kind of a two step process.

If you're a marketing person, it is much harder to get customers to do something in two steps.

And you need to do this in 12 to 24 months, depending on when you're going to open the account.

I think that -- the -- these people have opted not to have an account. They've opted for a lot of reasons not to, and changing their minds is going to be a difficult obstacle to overcome.

Some of these may never want to have an account, so we are supportive of the Government permitting waivers to recipients who -- opening and using an account would be a burden.

Some recipients believe that current check cashing options are costly, however -- or check account options, I'm sorry, are costly, however many financial institutions offer free and low cost accounts that are cheaper than cashing a Government check and buying

money orders.

Local check cashers in California charge 10 to \$15 to cash Government checks. At most of our branches, we charge \$5 or more to cash Government checks, and to pay bills, you additionally would have the cost of a money order.

We have -- we offer accounts that are less than \$5 a month, and some free accounts, so I guess our real question has been why, since it's a cheaper option to have an account, have we not been able to convert these people from receiving a check then cashing it?

I think it's because many of them don't understand how an account works, or how -- that it is cheaper, or they have some type of history with banks in other countries that may be different than what we experience here, and we may not address those issues.

To overcome this, and convert these people,

I think that a massive educational campaign will be
necessary, and I think that the grass roots
organizations should be those people who work to
training the recipients, rather than the Government in

financial institutions.

These are people they trust, and are in their communities, and can help them do that. If the Government wishes to convert the majority of check recipients to direct deposit, we strongly feel that it will be necessary to spend more than \$10 million over a five year period nationwide.

So let me share my example of this, and I know some of you've heard this, but -- and -- how to do an effective public education campaign, and how costly that may be.

California right now is the Public Utilities Commission is in the process of educating consumers that we have the ability to choose our own electric company.

And this campaign they are spending \$89 million in less than a year in just California to do this education.

Now, I'm not saying you need to spend the \$89 million, but I think that \$10 million over five years for the entire United States is definitely not

adequate money to fund the campaign. 1 2 I also believe that as financial services 3 industry, we should be assisting in this effort, but 4 since you're the one saving the \$100 million a year, I 5 really think that the main part of the funding should 6 come from Treasury. 7 We believe that it would best if everyone 8 I mean, we're a bank. We feel that had an account. 9 would be the best way. 10 We understand that's not going to happen, 11 and that some of the people will choose to have waivers, and other people will wait until the end and 12 13 see what the electronic transfer account looks like. 14 Our belief is it should be a very basic 15 account that only permits electronic deposits and that 16 access to funds be limited to ATMs or point of sale 17 locations. 18 If recipients want additional features, 19 they should open an account at a financial institution 20 that is available today.

We would suggest that you might want to

limit it to two cash withdrawals a month, and the 1 2 reason we say this is limiting the basic number of 3 withdrawals will lower the price of the basic account. And then for those people who want to do 4 5 additional transactions, they could pay for that, but 6 for those who want the lowest price account, this is 7 probably the best way to go. 8 Another way to limit the price would be to 9 eliminate the mailing of monthly statements and allow 10 clients to receive previous transaction data via the 11 phone. Experience with state EBT 12 Excuse me. 13 programs demonstrates the number of access points for 14 recipients to make purchases and obtain cash is an 15 important issue for the ETA. The financial institutions bidding for the 16 17 ETA account must guarantee some minimal level of client 18 access. 19 majority То insure the of ATMs are 20 available to ETAaccount holders, the financial

institution who offers the ETA account must pay the ATM

owners the regular commercial rate per transaction. 1 2 Some state EBT programs could not provide 3 ATM access, because the vendor had underbid the cost of 4 the product, and then could not afford to pay regular 5 interchange on ATM transactions. As a result, the -- there are no ATMs 6 7 available to clients in those states. And if the 8 Government wants to provide adequate access points for 9 clients, it should not try to regulate ATM convenience 10 fees for these accounts. 11 With the advent of optional ATM convenience fees, over 30,000 new ATMs have been added in the U.S. 12 in the last year and a half. 13 14 This is a 25 percent increase in locations. 15 In the past, placing an ATM at a low volume location 16 was not cost justified, but convenience fees now 17 encourage ATM owners to place machines 18 locations. 19 By remaining silent on ATM convenience fees 20 for product, the Government will the ETAnot

disadvantage other check recipients that currently have

a deposit account at financial institutions, and pay 1 2 these fees. Earlier testimony stated that financial 3 institutions would receive a windfall and float revenue 4 5 on these deposits. 6 And Page also discussed this. The pilot 7 programs actually show a generation of \$.19 per account 8 per month. 9 This definitely does not come close to 10 covering the value of the ETA account. 11 And finally, account providers must have the ability to close accounts that have been abused. 12 13 Without this ability, the price of ETA accounts would 14 increase because banks will have to build these 15 potential losses into their pricing proposals. 16 I'm going to skip my part on the vendor 17 payment, and you all can look at that later. 18 In summary, I'd like to say that Bank of 19 America believes that EFT 99 is good public policy, and 20 we'll be qlad work with the Government to

implementing these major changes to the delivery of

1	financial services.
2	MS. LANE: Thank you, Ms. Yost. Mr. Ball?
3	MR. BALL: Good morning. My name is James
4	Ball. I'm President of Fast Cash Incorporated. It's
5	a financial services firm located in San Jose,
6	California.
7	I'm also President of California Check
8	Cashers, and I'm here today on behalf of the National
9	Check Cashers Association, of which I'm a member of the
10	Board and also Secretary.
11	And just if anyone is looking at the
12	agenda, the National Check Cashers Association is
13	located in Washington, D.C. and Hackensack, New Jersey,
14	rather than San Hosen.
15	The check cashing industry has always been
16	able to adopt itself to meet the changing needs of our
17	customers.
18	We were born, and we've been able to
19	succeed because we meet specific needs of our

We provide a number of financial services,

including check cashing, money orders, wire transfer. 1 2 And many of our check customers -- check cashers also 3 distribute public benefits payments. 4 We accept utility payments. We sell 5 tokens, do faxing. Our customers come to us from a 6 variety -- come to us for a variety of reasons, but we 7 found that most of them come because we're convenient, 8 we're secure, and we're friendly. 9 They know that we can -- that they can 10 receive from -- funds from us immediately without 11 waiting for a paycheck to clear the banking system. 12 They also know that they can buy money 13 orders for a very low fee, and there'll be no bounced 14 checks to worry about with the attending cost of 15 to 15 \$25 per check. For this convenience service, we charge a 16 17 modest transaction fee, which is commensurate with our 18 costs and a reasonable return. 19 The check cashing industry serve as many 20 individuals now receiving Federal payments who come to

our stores for the reasons I've stated.

to continue to serve 1 want these 2 customers after January, 1999, and are working hard to 3 develop attractive alternatives. Our association is working with a Federal 4 5 steering committee to -- and we're in the final phases 6 of negotiation with major banks in the country. 7 This program is a product of more than nine 8 months work in which we've been assisted 9 prestigious financial services consultant. 10 More than a dozen national companies have 11 responded to our RFI. They recognize that we have 12 ideal locations, and the wherewithal to provide top 13 notch service. 14 Our plan is to create a national network 15 linking all check cashers, so these customers will have a choice of locations for receiving their payments. 16 17 This is an exciting development that we 18 expect will result in additional services for our 19 customers. 20 potential Ιt has the to bring more 21 financial services to individuals who currently do not

have accounts at financial institutions, and may not be 1 2 aware of an array of financial services available to 3 them. 4 This is an example of how our industry 5 adopts to customer needs. We appreciate that the 6 proposed regulation would not restrict our ability to 7 enter into partnerships with depository institutions. 8 urge the Department to promulgate 9 regulations allowing for the widest range of choices 10 for recipients, and I think choice, here is 11 operative word. Check cashers are confident that we'll be 12 13 able to complete -- compete successfully for our 14 customers by providing value added services 15 competitive cost. 16 Some witnesses have expressed concern that 17 recipients would be required to utilize check cashers 18 in order to receive their payments. 19 We're not asking for any exclusive rights 20 to serve as conduits for these payments. We simply

want to be allowed to compete for our customers giving

them a choice. 1 2 have chosen us over alternative 3 delivery systems in the past, and we hope to continue to serve them in the future. 4 5 In fact, a Roper's opinion survey conducted 6 several years ago show that two thirds of our customers 7 also maintain checking accounts. 8 Some individuals have also questioned our 9 I'd like to respond to that concern. fees. We've 10 exercised a great deal of restraint -- restraint in 11 responding to the ill informed and unfair charges about our fees. 12 13 The typical national fee for cashing a 14 payroll check, or a Government check is less than two 15 percent. reasonable fees 16 These when are one 17 considers that we provide personal service must borrow 18 the funds from banks, and pay for armored car service, 19 heat, rent and insurance. 20 Unlike bank, not а do have our we

customers' money on account.

21

The point is that like

any business, the cost to the consumer is the result of the cost of delivering the service at a reasonable -- and get a reasonable return.

So to this, we'll also be the cost parameter for delivering electronic payments. In the 13 states that we are regulated in, there -- we -- there is competition as well as in the states that aren't regulated.

No check casher can charge above market rate and stay in business very long. In fact, in regulated states, the prevailing fees are below the regulated fee cap.

In fact, in California, we have a regulated fee cap of 3 percent, and the average, when our association did a survey was approximately 1.95 percent.

So even though we're allowed to charge 3 percent check cashers in California do not. We anticipate, with regard to Federal payments there will be significant competition not only for the ETA accounts established by the Treasury, but also by other

1	money service businesses.
2	Check cashers fulfill in the community we
3	serve a definite need. Our customers appreciate our
4	service and continue to utilize us even when
5	alternatives exist.
6	The industry is dynamic. It has grown to
7	serve the evolving needs of our customers. As we enter
8	the electronic age we're working hard to continue to
9	meet those needs.
10	And I'd like to thank you all for allowing
11	me time to speak. Thank you.
12	MS. LANE: Thank you, Mr. Ball. Questions
13	from our panelists?
14	MR. SHELTON: Yes.
15	MS. LANE: Mr. Shelton?
16	MR. SHELTON: I'm going to ask the same
17	question here that I asked of the previous panel, and
18	aside from education in moving people from checks to
19	electronic.
20	I want you to describe a model of services
21	that should be provided to these people, specifically

what that cost should be and it can be arranged, and 1 2 who should pay for that. 3 And I'd like each of you since you've got 4 a broad group here. We've got a credit union, we've 5 got -- you're representing, Mr. Ogden, the ABA, which 6 is all the banks. 7 We've got a specific bank here, and we've 8 got the check cashers. So I'd like each of you to 9 answer that, please. 10 MS. BAINES: Now, I think one of the 11 significant things is matching the educator with the 12 group that they are educating, Latinos, age group, 13 cultural differences and so forth. 14 really see that the education 15 process is hands on. If you give someone something to 16 overcome their intimidation and their fear, then they 17 are more likely to succeed. 18 Example, an ATM machine -- the unbanked 19 community are totally unfamiliar with that, and they're 20 also really intimidated by it.

MR. SHELTON: Excuse me, ma'am.

21

Maybe I

didn't make myself clear. I think you're talking the 1 2 education. 3 I want to put that aside. I realize the education is important, and that's a hurdle that we do 4 5 have to get over, which is a very large hurdle. 6 But I wanted to deal with the specifics --7 the cost of specific services that would be provided under a model to the people that we're trying to move 8 9 from checks to electronic funds. 10 So describe a model, what that cost should 11 be, and then who should pay for it. Well, I think that there's 12 MS. BAINES: 13 actually two areas. One you're dealing with the fixed 14 income population, and then the other, you're dealing 15 with a transitory, such as AFDC, which is going to be 16 implemented from -- to work. 17 I don't think the fees and the costs, 18 whether it be funded by Treasury or the financial 19 institution should be anymore than what check cashing 20 services presently cost -- charge them.

So as a model, we would base some of their

successes and adopt them in to financial institutions. 1 2 It is the most attractive to, of course, work in 3 partnership with them. So in answer to that question, the fee --4 5 I think that financial institutions can take a certain 6 percentage of that. 7 But I do feel that Treasury is going to 8 have to be a little more realistic in the expenses and 9 the cost of what has to be done. 10 But I think that they're a very good 11 business example of success in creating models that 12 follow in partnership with them, or duplication of 13 them. 14 MR. OGDEN: Thank you. Mr. Shelton, let me 15 give you an example from our bank. MR. SHELTON: 16 Sure. 17 MR. OGDEN: Obviously we've been watching 18 and studying this whole -- whole EFT 99 issue closely. 19 We cash about a third of the checks in our county, and 20 when the Eagle flies on the 3rd, our lobbies fill up, 21 and we know -- and we know that the senior citizens are

1 not going to be kind to us if we don't respond some way 2 to the EFT 99. 3 I think that's true throughout the country. 4 We have -- we have introduced two types of accounts. 5 We call them D-1, deposit 1, and deposit 2. 6 Deposit 2 turns out to be the more popular 7 Basically, it's an electronic mail box that you one. 8 pay \$3 for per month, and you can -- you come to our 9 teller lines and withdrawal of your money. 10 Obviously this will be an option to the 11 Government ETA account, or to a waiver. We would like 12 to see our D-1 account be accepted. 13 I'll say frankly, there's -- there's little 14 interest in it right now, but it's basically an all 15 electronic account. 16 For \$2 a month you can have your check 17 automatically deposited at the bank, and then you can 18 use the ATM card that comes with it at any of our ATMs 19 unlimited withdrawals. There -- obviously you would incur a fee if 20 21 you went to a foreign ATM. The other thing that I

think is an important feature is our ATM card can be used at a point of sale terminal.

And this really is the model, I think where we're -- where we're going with all this. Last week, believe it or not, I was shopping for groceries as I sometimes do, at our local super Walmart.

And as you come up to the counter, you -- and pay for the goods and services that you have bought there, you have many ways to tender payment.

But one of them is simply to present my ATM card, not -- all -- a debit -- it can be an offline debit card as well, but just my simple ATM card from the Britton and Koontz Bank, I can tender that, and run it through their -- punch in my pin number and pay for those services.

And the key there, is I never come to cash with this. It's safer, it's easy. Now what we're proposing with our D-1 account is to try to get consumers who are -- and I like this word, cash consumers, as opposed to unbanked -- cash consumers to -- to realize the beauty of this.

think this type of model is being 1 2 encouraged by the EBT systems that are being introduced 3 by the various states and the like, but as you can see, 4 we're trying to come up with different options. 5 But as far as pricing and features, I think 6 you're going to see these below \$5. They will give a 7 lot of flexibility on use at point of sale terminals, 8 and for ATMs within the banks' ATM family. 9 MR. SHELTON: Ms. Yost. 10 MS. YOST: I think that there are a lot of 11 accounts today available, so, I said in as testimony, I think the ETA should be pretty limited on 12 13 functionality, and have electronic deposits only. 14 Have ATM and point of sale access as the 15 outbound methods, and to limit the cost, you should limit the number of ATM transactions. 16 17 And my recommendation would be in the range 18 of And then allow them to have additional 19 transactions for an additional fee. 20 Also, that statements should be electronic 21 or by phone, as opposed to being mailed, which will

1 costs. 2 And also, we have some problems 3 homeless on where to send statements. So that becomes another issue. 4 On the price side, I'd say that it's really 5 6 a free market issue. I think it would differ by 7 markets in this country because it's -- you know, we're in a number of states, and we don't charge the same in 8 9 every state, because the market dictates what the 10 pricing scenarios look like. 11 I would agree that it's probably somewhere around the five or 12 five dollar range 13 currently. 14 Ι also think that the Government 15 shouldn't be setting that price, but should see --Should or should not? 16 MR. SHELTON: 17 Should not. MS. YOST: 18 MR. SHELTON: Okay. 19 I think that they should put the MS. YOST: 20 product out for bid and see what kind of bids they get

back on price.

1	MR. SHELTON: Mr. Ball?
2	MR. BALL: I I agree with Ms. Yost. I
3	I don't think the Government should be setting the
4	price.
5	I think from a practical point of view I
6	there are a couple of systems currently in place the
7	check cashers are using.
8	One of them, I believe, is called Benefits
9	Express, and I believe the cost is around two dollars.
10	And the and the way it works, is that the person
11	signs a form which then allows the bank to deliver
12	the funds are delivered electronically to the bank.
13	The bank then downloads it into our
14	computer. We can generate a check, and I'm not using
15	the system right now, but it it I've signed up
16	for it.
17	The the check is then generated at the
18	store, and I believe the cost is somewhere around two
19	dollars.
20	There's a we then take that hard copy,
21	and we give them the papers. So we're still dealing

1 with the paper system. 2 We hand that paper system -- that paper 3 check to the customer, and the customer at that point 4 can choose to cash it or can choose to, you know, take 5 it elsewhere. 6 And there's no cost by the check casher to 7 The cost is simply the cost that the the customer. 8 bank imposes for acting as the intermediary. 9 There -- the -- I spoke about a steering 10 committee, and I believe they've arrived 11 conclusion. They've chosen a bank -- I'm not sure if I 12 13 should say who it is -- a major national bank, but --14 because I don't think it's been announced yet. 15 I just received a notice yesterday in my 16 fax, and I believe the cost was somewhere around \$1.15 17 -- \$1.15 to \$1.20 --MR. SHELTON: For transactions? 18 19 MR. BALL: For transaction, and that will be the national association -- that's -- that'll be the 20 21 the delivery system the national association is

going to bat. 1 2 And hopefully, as a national, we can get 3 all the state check cashers to participate in that 4 delivery system, so we have a unified delivery system 5 within the United States, which I -- I think would be 6 fairly cost efficient. 7 Now, as far as who's going to pay for it, whether the -- the recipient or the Government, I -- I 8 9 can't answer that. 10 I mean, ideally, it would be a lot easier 11 for us if the Government paid for it, because it's a 12 much easier sell, but, you know --13 (Laughter.) 14 MR. SHELTON: I understand. Sticking with finances for a 15 MR. STAPLES: 16 minute, Mr. Ogden, you mentioned that in the Texas 17 pilot, most -- most people drew down most of the money 18 in the one payment. 19 Of course, that was one free withdrawal in 20 that program as well. You also mentioned that in your

own state, you had unlimited free withdrawals.

1	Did do you find that people
2	MR. OGDEN: At our bank?
3	MR. STAPLES: yeah, at your bank. Do
4	do you find that people withdraw most in that first
5	withdrawal of the month under that scenario, too, or is
6	it spread out more evenly?
7	MR. OGDEN: We are finding that they are
8	withdrawing all of their money, because, you know this
9	this initiative we talk about moving from checks
10	to electronic.
11	There there's also the issue of moving
12	from a cash basis economy to and skipping the paper
13	based one, and going directly to the electronic, and I
14	I think that, you know, whether it's in Natchez,
15	Mississippi, or Los Angeles, California, many of the
16	people that do not have bank accounts for whatever
17	reason are are operating in that cash base economy,
18	and it's going to be painful to change.
19	MR. STAPLES: Uh, huh.
20	MR. OGDEN: But but let's just face it.
21	This is what a lot of this is about is, is is as

as the electronic age is -- arises, you -- you're 1 2 leaving this cash based economy, and it changes the way 3 we handle our money. People are very sensitive about those kind 4 5 And as a banker, I feel very much in the of issues. 6 middle of all this, and it's going to be painful. 7 We're going to hear from many senior 8 citizens on the third of the month, and they're not 9 going to like changing, but we all recognize we're 10 going to have to. 11 Now, let me emphasize the waiver again, is -- is a -- I think a great idea. Many -- many people 12 13 just cannot change will -- will 14 opportunity to -- to select a waiver. 15 And as another generation comes along, we 16 will have the opportunity through the educational 17 program to -- to make the changes to get the -- get the 18 word out. 19 MS. STAPLES: Ms. Yost, you mentioned that we have a formidable challenge in front of us for the 20

paper check to get them moved by the deadline.

1	Have you had experience with our new quick
2	start program where the financial institutions are
3	signing up people directly, with us?
4	MS. YOST: Through the phone?
5	MR. STAPLES: Yeah.
6	MS. YOST: Yeah. We do that no.
7	MR. STAPLES: Oh no, electronically.
8	MS. YOST: Yeah. We're doing some of that
9	in the background.
10	MR. STAPLES: Okay.
11	MS. YOST: And so that's where to to
12	move people through our lines faster, what we've done
13	is, we have the stamp that we put on the back of the
14	check, the customer signs it, and then we can do the
15	electronic piece
16	MR. STAPLES: Okay.
17	MS. YOST: on in our back room, just
18	because it's faster for everybody.
19	MS. LANE: Ms. Yost, you mentioned a figure
20	of \$89 million that the public utilities are spending
21	in the State of California.

I'm just curious, do you know more about 1 2 the breakdown of how that money is being spent? 3 example, do you know how much of it might be going for paid television advertising, which tends to be quite 4 5 expensive, I think? 6 MS. YOST: Yeah. I don't know that today, 7 but I will get that for you. One of the things, 8 though, they -- they've decided that because they have 9 a short time period, which is the same barrier you all 10 are going to have, to get the message out that that's 11 the amount they need to spend in California. And I know probably everyone in this room 12 13 got a direct mail package from them this past week that 14 talks about options and what we can do. 15 MS. LANE: Thank you. 16 Following up on that just a MR. HAMMOND: 17 little bit, is -- who -- is the Public Utility 18 Commission paying the \$89 million cost? MS. YOST: 19 Yes. MR. HAMMOND: And they're doing that what 20 21 out of the fees that they charge the utilities,

1	typically?
2	MS. YOST: Yes.
3	MS. LANE: Other questions?
4	MR. STAPLES: Yes. I I have a a
5	point for the record, since it was mentioned that we're
6	going to save \$100 million.
7	MS. LANE: Okay.
8	MR. STAPLES: It we don't save the \$100
9	million. The tax payers saves the \$100 million.
10	(Laughter.
11	And since two thirds of it I have to
12	speak out on this one, since the social security trust
13	funds are at issue here, it's two thirds of the money
14	we're talking about in social security payments is just
15	money that Treasury doesn't draw down from social
16	security trust funds. So
17	MS. YOST: But I also think the more money
18	you put into education on the front end, the
19	MR. STAPLES: Sure.
20	MS. YOST: more people you convert, and
21	the more likely you will

1 MR. STAPLES: Absolutely. 2 -- be to achieve that goal. 3 You won't hear any argument MR. STAPLES: from me. 4 5 I wanted to follow up if I MR. HAMMOND: 6 could just very briefly with Mr. Ogden. You've made a 7 comment that from I -- and I assume that this is from 8 the standpoint of the ADA, that you're very comfortable 9 with the notion that there should be, you know, 10 partnerships, or that the flexibility in a voluntary 11 account relationship for a bank to partner with alternative outlets, or alternative financial service 12 providers is a good idea. 13 You then went on to say, though, that they 14 15 subject to high standards, 16 wondering if you had any ideas or suggestions on how 17 you would go about kind of monitoring a notion of high 18 standards in that kind of environment. 19 MR. OGDEN: Well, what I was referring to 20 is, protection being depository there the а 21 institution.

I have been racking my brains trying to 1 2 think how these partnership arrangements are really going to work. 3 And I'm frankly -- and maybe it's just my 4 5 own limitations, but I'm at somewhat of a loss of how 6 all of these partner -- partner -- we talk about 7 partnering relationships, but how they're really going 8 to work in the final analysis. 9 And -- and we're not against that at all. 10 We're -- we're looking for solutions to this -- this 11 thorny problem. But as we look for those solutions, I think 12 we, as bankers, are reluctant to see things get too far 13 14 out the depository -- outside of the depository system, 15 where you have the FDIC insurance, where you have the consumer protection regulations. 16 17 MR. HAMMOND: Thank you. 18 MR. BRYANT: I'd like to say something to 19 that. 20 MR. HAMMOND: Sure. 21 MR. BALL: There are certain states that

come under the State Banking Commission, and, well, we have had -- in fact, our California Check Cashers Association asked legislation that 1) put a cap on fees, and 2) actually have as we -- I believe that we need a register with this -- with a state regulatory agency, and I can't remember which one it is, but I do know there's -- I believe it's the -- the Department of Justice, actually, we're fingerprinted.

And so, I'm in complete agreement that there should be some kind of regulatory control. And for those states that do have regulation, I -- I think it would be fairly easy.

Of course, I've said I think there are only 13 states in the union that do have that, but I'm in agreement to that there should be some kind of control.

MR. OGDEN: One other point I'd like to have made in regard -- I thought the statistics shown in the Treasury study that was done earlier this year, the results that were released early this year, were interesting in that they showed that the people that do not have bank accounts, 60 percent of them cash their

1 checks in banks today. 2 In other words, they see banks as -- as 3 They don't feel unbanked at all. Another their banks. 4 20 percent use grocery stores. 5 So 80 percent of the people out there are 6 coming to point of sale terminals, or to -- to the bank 7 teller lines already. 8 And I think that's a very significant 9 figure, and -- and it shows, again, that -- that the 10 banking industry is where a lot of the solutions are 11 going to have to arise. Changing direction 12 MR. SHELTON: 13 slightly here, we've talked about how to get them to 14 sign up, or to go to electronic -- I haven't heard 15 anybody mention anything about incentives. And since the world kind of runs by 16 17 incentives, "buy gas at my gas station, and I'll give 18 you something -- or buy at my store, and I'll give you 19 something -- and come to my bank and I'll give you a free toaster, or used to be toasters." 20

It's plastic things nowadays, I think at

Have any of you thought about, or -- or do 1 our banks. 2 you pursue the business, or would you want to pursue 3 the business, or it's not worth it to do incentives or 4 something like that to get these individuals? 5 Of course, maybe the Government could do 6 some incentives, too, I agree, and that would be your 7 first direction to point to the Government and say, 8 "You give them something to do it." 9 But I'm going to ask for your side of it. 10 MR. OGDEN: Notice on my D-1 account that 11 it was a dollar cheaper than my D-2 account. So that was -- we were thinking incentives when we designed 12 13 that, trying to make it easy. 14 Also, we -- we offered the accounts early, 15 thinking that number one, we wanted to not scare the 16 people, but -- but inform people that this is, you 17 know, change is coming, and here's a way for -- for you 18 to respond. 19 But beyond those incentives, I have not 20 thought of any others. 21 MS. BAINES: Yes, we've explored, excuse

We've explored a lot of possibilities. We're not 1 2 thinking of bringing just the incubation of all of this 3 -- all of us together here. 4 lot of it's iust So, you know, а 5 exploratory adventure at this point, but because the 6 important issue is to have more focus on saving the 7 consumer investing in your own life, your own self, 8 some of the possibilities as far as an incentive is 9 earning bonus points, in which it makes it possible for 10 us to buy Treasury bonds, and provide a Treasury bond 11 to -- to the client, or to the depositor. 12 So, I'd like to see it stay within the 13 just expands their knowledge, framework where it 14 experience and education, and availability of financial services in -- in the institution. 15 16 MS. YOST: We have a number of accounts 17 that have a discount for direct deposit, so that would 18 carry over to these accounts as well. 19 What I was thinking is, you MR. SHELTON: know, the Government ought to take our \$100 million and 20 21 give each one of those people \$10 to come in and sign

1 up and stay on. 2 (Laughter.) 3 I won't let that happen. MR. STOUT: 4 MR. SHELTON: You won't let that happen? 5 I don't think so. MR. STOUT: 6 MS. YOST: Well, you know, one of the 7 things on the electronic side when you're marketing, if 8 you can get them to do things the first time, it's much 9 easier the second time, which is why, when we introduce 10 point of sale service you saw a lot of people getting 11 free soda or whatever the grocery store was offering. And one they've done the transaction, then 12 13 they're more likely to do it again. So it may be worth 14 the Government's effort to look at that. 15 MR. SHELTON: Well, I've often thought 16 about it only because I know in the Department of 17 Veterans Affairs, for us to do a single mailer to all 18 of our check recipients, I think it costs us somewhere 19 between 300 to \$400,000, and I often said, "If I send 20 them the money one time to go sign up, I'd be through,

maybe if they would do it." So, anyway.

1	MR. OGDEN: We would be glad to promote
2	that idea.
3	(Laughter.)
4	MR. SHELTON: Send you the money and you'll
5	do it, right?
6	MR. OGDEN: Well, it is worth noting, I
7	think. And sometimes we may forget this but often
8	these are permanent shifts that are made.
9	So it's not something that needs to be
10	advertised over just a few months. At least our
11	experience is almost every no one moves from
12	electronic back to paper check.
13	MR. SHELTON: Right.
14	MR. OGDEN: So, it's it's good for all
15	of use to keep that notion in mind, as we invest here.
16	MS. LANE: Any other questions? Okay. I'd
17	like to thank this panel. If I could have your
18	attention for just a moment.
19	We're going to take a 45 minute break for
20	lunch, and I have some suggestion on where you might
21	eat, because I know a lot of places you wouldn't get

out of there in 45 minutes. 1 2 There's a place across the street called 3 the Breadwinner in the Chase building. It's on Grand. They serve soup, salad and sandwiches. 4 5 There's also a Mexican restaurant called 6 Lily's which is right down the street from here. 7 across from the Breadwinner in the Stillwell Hotel. 8 And then, finally, there's the Federal 9 Reserve Cafeteria that you are welcome to use, although 10 you will have to pay cash. 11 And the cafeteria -- no electronics, no. I -- I'm sorry to report, but the cafeteria is located 12 13 on this floor. 14 You head back toward the elevators and then 15 just keep going, I think. One point I need to mention. 16 The -- the 17 Federal Reserve Public Affairs people have asked us to 18 mention this, is that everyone must wear their badges 19 and have the badges visible at all times. And this is the only floor to which you 20 21 have access in this building. The quards will be

looking for these badges, and I -- I think the Federal Reserve has been very kind to us, and -- and courteous and very generous in setting this meeting up, so we can accommodate that request as our -- as their guests.

So if you would return at 1:15, if you're coming back for this -- the afternoon session. Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 p.m., a lunch recess was taken, to reconvene at 1:15 p.m.)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

1	(1:30 P.M.)
2	MS. LANE: Let me introduce Panel number 3.
3	Anne Miller, is a member of the AARP Board of
4	Directors.
5	Chancela Al-Mansour is with the San
6	Fernando Valley Neighborhood Legal Services.
7	Laura Fry is with the Legal Aid Foundation
8	of Los Angeles.
9	And Jim Bliesner is with the San Diego City
10	County Reinvestment Task Force.
11	We could begin with Ms. Miller.
12	MS. MILLER: Good afternoon, members of the
13	Panel, guest Panelists, and members of the audience.
14	Thank you on behalf of the American Association of
15	Retired Persons.
16	As you said, my name is Anne Miller, and
17	I'm a member of the AARP Board of Directors. Today, I
18	want to comment on hardship waivers under EFT 99.
19	Hardship waivers are essential to assuring
20	that many Federal benefit recipients will not bear
21	additional costs or burdens as a result of the

requirement that Federal benefits be received by 1 2 Electronic Funds Transferred. AARP's interest in this mandate stems from 3 4 the hardship it may impose on many older persons, okay. 5 Especially those without bank accounts. 6 A bank account is essential to participate 7 Nationally, some 20 million persons receive in EFT. 8 their social security and their SSI payments by check. 9 Some 6.5 million of these do not have bank 10 accounts. The rest have accounts, but prefer checks 11 over direct deposit. In California, language barriers and low 12 13 educational attainment, costs that showed serious 14 limitations for many persons over age 65. 15 quarter million seniors have 16 difficulty with speaking English, and close 17 million have not completed high school. 18 And while almost 20 percent of California 19 seniors over age 65 are Hispanic, Asian, Black or 20 Native American, these groups comprise almost one third

of persons over age 50.

this minority proportion 1 Moreover, 2 increasing. While we don't know how many of these 3 persons are unbanked, it has been established by -that language difficulty, lower educational level, and 4 5 minority status increase the likelihood of being 6 unbanked. 7 AARP is therefore particularly concerned 8 about the adequacy of provisions in the final rule to: 9 1) social Assist security and SSI 10 beneficiaries who currently receive their benefits by 11 check to obtain waivers or sign up for Electronic Funds Transfer. 12 13 2) Protect recipients from paying excessive 14 fees and charges to obtain their benefits. 15 3) Inform and educate the diverse public regarding the requirements and workings of EFT 99. 16 17 We are pleased to see the self certifying 18 waivers for physical disability, geographic barriers, 19 and financial hardship has been incorporated into the 20 proposed rule.

However, the Association was disappointed

by Treasury's decision to deny hardship waivers based on mental disability, low literacy or language barriers.

We strongly urge Treasury to reverse this decision. Given the vulnerability of diverse recipients who can be adversely affected by these provisions, especially older persons, it is essential that the hardship waiver provisions have broad application and be widely publicized.

A report prepared for Treasury indicates that most check recipients are aware of the advantages of direct deposit.

And the reasons why they may prefer checks vary and depend on individual circumstances. The major concerns of recipients about direct deposit include, uncertainty regarding whether when a payment will arrive, problems accessing money if an account is frozen under some dispute, such as divorce, and the potential difficulty of resolving a problem if the problem does not arrive on schedule -- payment, I'm sorry -- if the payment does not arrive on schedule.

Problems always arrive on schedule. 1 2 (Laughter.) 3 Also, many older check recipients prefer a tangible payment that they can personally manage, while 4 5 others dislike the idea of writing checks or using 6 computers to obtain cash. 7 A key factor to note is that 48 percent of 8 all Federal recipients in the Treasury's study object 9 -- object to mandatory EFT. 10 Only 28 percent support it. Despite the 11 benefits that may result from Electronic Transfer, a considerable number of Federal payment 12 13 benefit recipients will still endure a hardship if 14 required to receive their payment this way. 15 AARP looks forward to working with you to 16 implement this new law to meet the needs of all 17 concerned. 18 And I -- this is just a brief presentation 19 of AARP's policy, and I think that you have the full testimony within the copies I have for you. 20 21 And I think you, very much.

1	MS. LANE: Thank you, Ms. Miller. Ms. Al-
2	Mansour?
3	MS. AL-MANSOUR: Thank you. My name is
4	Chancela Al-Mansour. I'm an attorney with San Fernando
5	Valley Neighborhood Legal Services.
6	San Fernando Valley Neighborhood Legal
7	Services I'll just call as Legal Services from now on,
8	commends the Treasury for requesting and including our
9	views on these critical issues that affect our low
10	income communities.
11	Legal Services is San Fernando Legal
12	Services is an agency which serves over 350,000 low
13	income individuals in large parts of Los Angeles
14	County.
15	Many of our residents reside in communities
16	where banking services are minimal, or at best are
17	minimal at best, or non-existent.
18	As a result, as in many other parts of the
19	country, many of our clients have come to rely on check
20	cashing outlets, often to their financial detriment.
21	While the cost of services provided by

check cashers is regulated by law in California, these costs are still exorbitant.

In addition, in some of -- in some cases, check cashers are flagrantly violating these laws by charging higher interest rates, imposing addition fees, in store purchase requirements, and offering unregulated pay day loans and cash advances at interest rates that far exceed the above limits.

But even though our clients are often exploited by these check cashing outlets, these businesses have managed to identify and meet our clients' diverse needs for basic financial services.

For example, they tend to be widespread, and conveniently located, and most offer extended hours and money orders -- oftentimes at exorbitant fees, and they also provide opportunities for on-site payment of utilities and telephone services.

We ask that the Treasury examine not only the banking needs of recipients, but also the non-banking needs of recipients, which include the -- the provision of an ability to provide payment for utility

services while they're there for rent payments and so 1 2 forth. We share in principle the goal of the 3 Treasury to offer these mainstream banking services to 4 5 the Federal benefits recipients. 6 However, we do feel that there should be 7 widespread access to ATMs, and to the point of service 8 machines for convenient and affordable withdrawals. 9 In short, our major flaws are -- with the 10 proposed regulations are as follows: 11 These regulations exclude voluntary accounts held by the majority of recipients affected. 12 13 The electronic transfer accounts do not 14 truly open up affordable mainstream banking services for our clients. 15 The current regulations do not allow for 16 17 currently bank recipients to transfer to a new EFT 18 account. 19 The regulations fail to meet our clients' 20 special needs, particularly concerning geographic 21 accessibility, financial vulnerability and language and

literacy barriers. 1 2 The regulations lack a range of consumer 3 protections, and waivers on who must EFTs beginning in 4 1999 are too narrow. 5 We ask that the Treasury consider the fact 6 that our clients' current access to financial services 7 and to regulated and bonded financial institutions is 8 inadequate. 9 Right now, in the San Fernando Valley, 10 there have been merges of several large banks, and that 11 has created a -- a surge in the number of bank 12 closures. 13 In addition to the bank closures being an 14 issue, there is a shortage of public transportation in 15 the Valley. Furthermore, the -- the Valley is -- has 16 17 extreme heat for several months during the year, making 18 walking or waiting for a bus unbearable, or sometimes 19 deadly for our elderly and disabled clients. 20 Pocoima, which is where my office is

located is, -- represents a microchasm of Los Angeles.

It's a community of 81,000 residents, and it has the 1 2 highest concentration of low income and minority 3 persons in the San Fernando Valley. However, for its 81,000 residents, Pocoima 4 5 only has one bank, and it has only had one bank for the 6 last 25 years. 7 Glendale Federal just recently merged with 8 that one bank, which was currently -- which was 9 formerly Trans World Bank, and in the last year they 10 have opened the first and only ATM in Pocoima. 11 That ATM has limited hours, and it's --12 usually closes at 11 p.m. at night because of security 13 reasons. 14 However, that just illustrates the lack of 15 banking services in our -- in our area. We ask the 16 Treasury to consider our clients' special needs that 17 would prevent their mainstream access to financial 18 services. 19 As I mentioned before, there is a need for ATMs as well. Many of our elderly clients do not speak 20

English, and they may be functionally illiterate.

There are also other problems with ATMs as 1 2 well that we would like the Treasury to consider as --3 terms of the small font of the print, insufficient lighting, the height of the screens, the 4 5 fact that if you are arthritic it may be difficult to 6 use the control buttons, and in addition to these 7 physical constraints, our elderly clients' lack of 8 technological training may also inhibit the ATM's 9 accessibility. 10 And we ask that the most important thing is 11 that if you do contract with financial institutions 12 that you make N person -- N bank teller services 13 available to our clients at no cost, or at the very 14 least, at a minimal cost. 15 Furthermore, ATMs provide a little privacy and no security in Southern California. 16 Unlike back 17 east, ATMs are on the street. 18 You -- they are not card only accessible 19 where you are in a secured area -- small area as they 20 are in New York and other parts of the east coast.

Here, all ATMs are on the street,

1 there's no security at all. 2 We also ask -- we also would like to point 3 out that these regulations are not consistent with the 4 stated goal of mainstreaming our clients. 5 The proposed regulations say that they 6 would like to make certain that recipients have access 7 to their funds at a reasonable cost. 8 While these protections do not apply to the 9 voluntary accounts, and that's an important fact. 10 Furthermore, we believe that -- that this 11 is an illegal narrowing of the statute's mandate that all recipients in EFT be provided access to an account 12 13 at -- at a reasonable cost. 14 If that is the mandate, that all recipients 15 have the access to reasonable cost, then you have to 16 include the voluntary accounts. 17 For recipients who are currently banked at 18 a non-regulated financial institution, we ask that you 19 permit them to transfer to an EFT protected account 20 with a -- with an approved financial institution or a

non-profit agency.

The ETA of which I'm speaking is a full 1 2 service bank account, and that's another thing. 3 We would like these ETAs to be full service 4 bank accounts, not just deposit only bank accounts in 5 which only the Federal Government can make deposits, 6 but allow them to be the full banking services that 7 most other customers are able to enjoy. 8 also wanted to state that these 9 regulations do not go far enough in ensuring that the 10 bank accounts are affordable. 11 The Treasury's own demographic study found that the greatest -- one of the greatest barriers for 12 13 this disserviced population is affordability. 14 Therefore it is imperative that the 15 requirements related to reasonable costs be much more 16 specific. 17 should extremely There be no 18 restricted service charges on these accounts. There 19 should be no charges in terms -- on the checking 20 accounts, and no charges for withdrawals or ATMs on the

point of service machines.

And also, there should be no service charge 1 2 for people to be able to access their accounts by going 3 into a bank, especially given the special needs of many 4 elderly and disabled persons. 5 The -- the question -- the Treasury asked 6 in some of its -- some of its questions whether or not 7 a debit card based account is desirable. 8 Legal services believe that a debit card 9 based account would be beneficial in expanding the 10 access to financial services. 11 However, free money management training should be offered as it is often difficult to keep an 12 13 accounting of your money spent with debit 14 accounts. 15 Furthermore, the provision of debit cards 16 should not replace the ability to access in person 17 teller services. 18 The Treasury asked if the count -- excuse 19 me, the cost of the account or other account factors should be the most important factor for selecting the 20

account structure.

Our position is that while the cost should 1 2 be the most important factor, other objectives have to 3 into be taken account, such geographic accessibility, and community reinvestment commitment. 4 5 At the same time the Treasury should be 6 broad in how it accesses the -- the cost of the 7 account. 8 Specifically, it should analyze the cost of 9 servicing the account, and the cost associated with the 10 checking, and the cost also for withdrawals at ATMs and 11 point of service machines. And one of the other questions that the 12 Treasury asks is, whether or not the account should be 13 14 structured to provide only a basic withdrawal service 15 at the lowest possible cost with additional service 16 should the account offer a range of 17 services. position 18 Our is that а free and 19 unrestricted withdrawal service is necessary, because

our clients are only using these machines to meet their

basic everyday needs.

20

However, any additional service charges should be reviewed for their financial viability. For example, Bank of America charges two dollars if the ATM user requests a copy of his or her bank statement, even if no withdrawal is made.

These charges should not be permitted for these accounts. In addition, all such accounts should be monitored to insure that the financial institutions adhere to such charging -- charge limitations.

The -- the person from Bank of America, who spoke before this Panel, suggested then an alternative to the banks providing -- sending monthly statements to recipients to reflect the receipt of the -- of the EFT payment in their account, would be for them to access their account through the phone customer service.

Well, many elderly clients still have rotary phones, and would not -- and if they did actually use the service, they would have to speak with the -- an actual person, and they would incur a \$.50 charge.

Furthermore, Bank of America's phone remedy

does not address the need for tangible proof, which is what the problem is.

One of the other things we would not want, is for recipients to be charged for their withdrawals. They should have unlimited access to withdrawals, and they should also have access to being able to see what is happening to their accounts at all times.

I'm just going to run down some recommendations. First of all, the -- a debit card for use at any ATM or point of service machine be available to the banking customers, that -- that they -- there should be a maximum on their service charges on their accounts and on their checking accounts, and on their withdrawals.

There should be on access to -- on-site access to affordable money orders and opportunities to pay for public services, and there should be an optional electronic payment to third parties, such as landlords, utility services, as well as the free access to the in person teller services.

One other important point is that the

Treasury -- we feel that the Treasury is not using this 1 2 opportunity to -- opportunity exert its influence over 3 banking institutions in order optimize 4 recipients' access to mainstream banking services. 5 The EFT requirement could present a viable 6 benefit for income people low who have not 7 traditionally been able to afford or access 8 accounts and financial services, and bank institutions. 9 But the Treasury has to look at this 10 opportunity as opportunity to facilitate an 11 development of initiative of -- excuse me, innovative 12 low cost -- low cost making accounts. 13 For example, the Treasury could play this 14 role by regulating the fee charges which currently 15 present barriers for the millions of recipients who remain unbanked. 16 17 If not, the Treasury's goal of introducing 18 Federal benefit recipients to mainstream banking 19 services would not be realized for its poorest 20 recipients.

we ask that

Once again,

21

Treasury

the

Department require banking examiners to monitor the EFT and the banking institutions for their specific compliance under the Community Reinvestment Act.

We ask that you only contract with banking institutions that have high CRA performance ratings, and we ask that you apply the principles of this CRA compliance to those financial institutions that are not held accountable under -- under its provisions because they're too small.

Furthermore, we ask that -- that you look at geographic accessibility. As well, that you look at the financial vulnerability.

On that point, let me just say that there is -- we ask that there be a clear protection against unlawful attachments.

One of the most serious barriers next to affordability, against Federal recipients choosing to open up a bank account, is the fear that a judgment and judgment creditors will attach to these funds in their bank accounts, even though such attachments are clearly illegal.

For example, Federal Statutes governing 1 2 social security, SSI and Veterans' benefits clearly 3 prohibit attachment of these benefits but any -- by any 4 third parties, but yet it happens all the time. 5 We represent those clients where there are 6 attachments to their bank accounts. So -- so we ask 7 that these regulations indicate up front that such 8 attachments are clearly illegal, and provide a prompt 9 error resolution procedure to deal with these unlawful 10 attachments. 11 We ask that there be a protection against Governmental error. For instance, if there is a -- a 12 13 tardy -- if the Government is tardy in actually putting 14 the funds into the account, that the recipient should 15 not be penalized because they've made payments relying -- based on their reliance upon these accounts. 16 17 And the ask that insure you

And we ask that you insure the dissemination of accurate information and timely information to recipients.

And you could do this through community organizations that are already here, and we meet with

18

19

20

our recipients. 1 2 Also, we ask that you look at the Federal 3 Reserve Board's Regulation E, which affords a set of rights and protections, and that you also apply these 4 5 basic consumer protections under the EFT program. 6 And the last thing I wanted to talk about 7 is the waivers. We find that the waivers are far too 8 narrow. 9 The waivers that only go to the recipients 10 who -- I'll just summarize the waivers by saying that 11 they're far too narrow, and that they have to be opened 12 up. 13 And in my comments, I state more with the 14 waivers. We especially feel that for recipients with mental disabilities that -- that there should be 15

And also for those that -- based on language and -- and educational barriers.

waivers provided for those as well.

In conclusion, we ask that you -- we ask that you regulate all these Federal bank accounts established by recipients so that they payments are

16

17

18

19

20

routed to Federally regulated financial institutions 1 2 and unions, that you make these accounts geographically 3 accessible to -- to our recipients, that the bank 4 remain affordable, that accounts and consumer 5 protections apply, and that the hardship waivers be 6 made available to a broader range of recipients. 7 Thank you, very much. 8 Thank you, for your testimony. MS. LANE: 9 Ms. Fry? 10 MS. FRY: Thank you for the opportunity to 11 comment on these regulations. EFT 99 is a complicated undertaking, and we commend you for the work you've 12 13 done in grappling with the issues. 14 There are real potential benefits in EFT, 15 especially the opportunity to bring those who will use 16 fringe bankers into the mainstream banking economy with 17 the services and protections that it offers. 18 In my comments today, I'd like to focus on 19 for SSI recipients issues, access to their two 20 benefits, and protection of SSI recipients and their

benefits.

First the issue of access, which includes 1 2 concerns about language, mental disability 3 literacy, geography, and financial hardship. For the first two points especially, it is 4 5 critical to consider that the accommodations needed by 6 function in a setting based those who can 7 interpersonal communications, but who won't be able to 8 function system that requires in that 9 transactions go through machines. 10 First, language. In its analysis, Treasury 11 states that the lack of fluency is only a short --English fluency is only a short term barrier that can 12 13 be addressed through educational programs, and through 14 ATMs that offer multiple languages. 15 For California, and Los Angeles in 16 particular, this approach is inadequate. Nationally, 17

> In Los Angeles, more than 47 percent of the case load is composed of immigrants. Russian, Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean and then Spanish are the most common languages, but only the tip of the iceberg.

12 percent of those on SSI are immigrants.

18

19

20

Many immigrants from Central and South 1 2 America speak one of many indigenous languages. 3 only know Spanish when they learn it here. Materials for more than 20 languages would 4 5 probably be needed. At the not especially diverse 6 school down the street from me, among the 600 kids, 7 there are 22 primary languages. 8 Many recipients have learned enough English 9 to use ATMs, but some, especially among the elderly or mentally disabled, who came here as 10 refugees or 11 assylees, including a percentage who are U.S. citizens, 12 have not, and probably cannot. 13 literate in not their 14 languages. They have learned to cash a check, and can 15 handle paper money. But navigating the complexities of an ATM 16 17 with its decisiontry and ample opportunities for 18 missteps in a language that you don't understand is 19 another matter. 20 recipients will be vulnerable. Such 21 Repeated errors on the machine can cause it to eat the

card, as some of us know, or worse, yet, it can attract the help of someone who is abundantly helpful, especially when it comes to helping themselves to some of your money.

Even if an impressive 30 languages were available at all ATMs and point of sale devices, some recipients would still need an exemption from EFT if it's very narrowly targeted on -- with electronic services.

Therefore, both language -- lack of language fluency in any language that's available, the ATMs or point of sale devices in the immediate area, and by that I mean a half mile radius, should be added to Section 208.4(B) as reasons for obtaining waivers from EFT payments.

Similar -- second and similarly, for some with mental disabilities and limited literacy, the abstract concept of an account, let alone the demands of the machine, be it ATM or point of sale, are more than they can manage.

They, again, can cash a paper check and

1 manage the paper money, and can thereby 2 independent. 3 function Forcing them to in EFT 4 environment will force them to give up hard 5 independence. 6 The response that such recipients should 7 simply use a representative payee is wrong on two 8 counts. 9 First, it is an inadequate response under 10 the ADA. Second, even more urgently, many recipients 11 will not be able to find such payees. Already, many recipients who need them 12 13 The potential for even more people cannot find them. 14 to end up depending on payees they do not know well, and cannot necessarily trust, and who may be serving 15 with no supervision or accountability -- as paying for 16 17 a large number of people is a serious problem. 18 To echo Congresswoman Waters -- you've got 19 me doing it -- be very generous -- be very generous 20 with your waivers -- more generous than you currently

are, and by that -- in the regulations, and in the

granting of them -- the actual on the ground doing of 1 2 it. 3 Third, regarding geography. More is needed in the final regulations about the coverage Treasury 4 5 will require the institutions that it chooses for the 6 ETA accounts. 7 We wholeheartedly agree that only Federally 8 insured financial institutions should act as Treasury's 9 agents for the ETA account. 10 But the need remains for all possible 11 pressure to be brought to bear -- to increase the 12 availability of such services in our, of 13 communities. 14 Under these regulations as they stand, many in poor communities will either be denied benefits of 15 16 E -- EFT because of where they live, or worse, they 17 will be frightened into getting an account that is in 18 fact a constant physical hardship for them to access. 19 We believe that Treasury can and should do 20 more through the vehicle of EFT to help those living in

underserved by Federally insured financial

institutions.

Fourth, regarding financial hardship, we applaud Treasury for making this waiver available. We are concerned, though, that the regulations will in fact leave many open to harm.

Now, only those who do not have an account will be allowed to have a waiver for financial hardship.

Those who sign up for an account at the urging of Treasury or of a bank or worse, possibly a check casher who then charges them additional fees, but find they're unable to afford it after a few months are going to be stuck.

The won't be permitted to get a way to then access the ETA accounts if that meets their needs more neatly -- more -- more efficiently -- more cost efficiently.

Treasury proposes neither to regulate the accounts that people open voluntarily to comply with EFT 99, nor to allow such recipients to switch to an ETA account if it better meets their needs.

Treasury ought to do both. At a bare 1 2 minimum, it must do the second, that is make the 3 regulated ETA accounts widely available. 4 Failing to do so simply puts too many poor 5 people at risk. 6 Turning to protection, Treasury's decision 7 place the ETA accounts at Federally to insured 8 institutions is crucial. 9 It brings us back to geography, though. 10 further step is necessary. Our concern is that the 11 insured financial institutions as you've heard already, will subcontract with uninsured, unregulated fringe 12 13 bankers that are located in the areas where they 14 provide -- where the mainstream banks do not provide 15 services. Check cashing outlets are ready to help. 16 17 As others have told you in the last 10 years, the fees 18 charged to cash social security checks have risen 37 19 percent. Also a favorite product for their captive 20 21 audience is the payday loan, currently offered at

effective annual interest rates ranging from 261 percent to 1,820 percent.

Already in LA, TANIF, AFDC checks have unfortunately, and we hope temporarily, then route it through the secondary and often exploitive banking system.

Treasury should not further the abuse by handing over a second captive market. It should not permit those holding ETA accounts, nor for that matter any -- matter any institution holding accounts that recipients voluntarily open to comply with EFT recipient -- requirements to contract out its access services to businesses of this nature, especially if the recipients are charged a fee by the check casher on top of the ETA account fees.

Benefits must be accessible through the financial -- Federally insured financial institutions, and not just through HUD drowning devices.

If subcontracting is found to be absolutely necessary, I would strongly urge you to make it very short term, very tightly controlled, and to not cost

recipients additional fees. 1 2 Second, regarding protections, Federal law 3 does protect, as Chancela said, against attachment, levy garnishment, other legal processes. 4 5 However, many recipients are afraid that if 6 they put their money in a bank account, a creditor will 7 take it, judging by the cases they -- that we see, 8 they're right. 9 We're currently assisting an SSI recipient 10 who owed money on a student loan for, by the way, 11 training in a vocational school that closed before she could finish her course. 12 13 In this case, the bank responded to a levy, and gave all the money in the woman's account to the 14 15 Student Loan Commission. 16 We've helped to recover the money. 17 very nearly became homeless. Last year, in the Central 18 Valley, another SSI recipient with direct deposit 19 checked her account one day, and discovered about 2,000 20 more than she expected in it.

She went to the bank and spoke to the

manager who assured her everything was okay. She spent 1 2 the money. Thereafter, the bank discovered it had 3 deposited it -- another customer's tax refund in her 4 5 account. 6 The bank solved its problem quite simply. 7 It took all of the woman's SSI deposits for the next 8 three months. 9 The bank, by the way, is the largest bank 10 in the state which all Californians know by 11 initials. By the time she found legal aid, this woman 12 13 was already homeless and living in a shelter. We don't 14 know the end of the story, because before we could get the money back for her, she disappeared. 15 16 Banks do ignore the statutory protections. 17 Poor people don't have the luxury of time. Its 18 regulations, and in any contracts regarding ETA 19 accounts, Treasury needs to make crystal clear that SSI 20 funds are not to be taken to satisfy creditors or to

correct a bank's own errors.

Treasury's educational material should 1 2 inform recipients of these protections, and better yet, 3 there should be a promise of a response from Treasury 4 to reports of violations. 5 This is a small but important part of 6 encouraging recipients to trust electronic transfer and the banks. 7 8 A final issue about protections. We're 9 concerned about the messages from Treasury, and marking 10 by the banks and others around EFT 99. 11 Under the proposed rule, the protections that Treasury does offer, it offers only to those who 12 13 get ETA accounts. 14 same time, Treasury's analysis 15 telegraphs a desire for minimal involvement in the 16 business of banking those without accounts. 17 We fear the combination of two desires, 18 Treasury's for a smaller number of ETA accounts, and 19 banks, not to be subject to the consumer protections 20 required for the ETA accounts.

Any educational and marketing materials

must fully inform recipients that ETA accounts will be 1 2 available and what potential benefits of those accounts 3 might be in comparison with the account that somebody right now is telling them they really -- really need. 4 5 Finally, Section 208.10 is very overbroad. 6 Last but not least, we recommend that it be withdrawn. 7 The grandest of discretion permits an unfettered bypass 8 of the entire regulatory process. 9 To summarize the recommendations, and I 10 really haven't touched on, as others have, I -- I 11 support all the recommendations on generous ATM access, 12 and access beyond ATMs. 13 But at a minimum, the regulations should be 14 amended -- expanded to include -- the waiver should be 15 expanded to include those with limited fluency or 16 literacy -- literacy in English, mental disability or 17 financial hardship, including those who have a bank 18 account. 19 Federal benefits payments be are 20 deposited only at Federally insured regulated banks

where the recipients are guaranteed access to their

money directly through financial institutions, as I 1 2 said earlier, any subcontracting arrangements, short-3 term while you get the rest of the people up and 4 running. 5 The cost of the accounts are established 6 and maintained at reasonable levels, and Federally 7 established protections apply to all of the accounts 8 established to comply with EFT. 9 Those holding ETA or voluntary established 10 strictly prohibited from set accounts are off 11 attachment levy, and the Treasury shall monitor and act 12 to rectify any violations. And finally, Section 208.10 is deleted. 13 14 Thank you. 15 MS. LANE: Thank you for your testimony. Mr. Bliesner? 16 17 Thank you for your -- your MR. BLIESNER: 18 consent to hold the hearings in -- on the west coast. 19 We appreciate the opportunity to speak to you. My name is Jim Bliesner. 20 I'm the Director 21 of the San Diego City County Reinvestment Task Force.

The Task Force is a joint powers quasi public agency established by the City and the County of San Diego, chaired by a councilman, a member of the Board of Supervisors with five banks, five community organizations, and three separate cities.

It's been in existence since 1977. One of the issues that the Task Force has watched closely over the last two or three years, and has developed an opinion on is, electronic deposit of welfare checks.

The County of San Diego instituted an electronic benefits transfer program over a year ago for persons receiving general relief, and more recently for persons receiving aid for dependent children.

General relief was distributed from check
-- check cashing outlets, and AFDC was distributed to
bank accounts selected by the AFDC recipient as a
voluntary program.

There are 47,827 persons receiving AFDC in San Diego County. Twenty percent of those have volunteered over the last year and a half for direct deposit.

Ninety-eight percent of those who are involved with direct deposit are satisfied with their decision according to a recent poll conducted by the welfare department.

Ninety-eight percent of users do not report any problems. Of those persons who used the service, there is a high level of satisfaction.

However, of those who do not use the service, which is the majority of all AFD recipients -- AFDC recipients, there is a lingering reluctance to sign up and participate.

That reluctance persists in spite of ongoing education, outreach, opportunities to sign up, encouragement by case workers, special mailers, displays in lobbies, outreach through the welfare offices, contact whenever there's a change in the condition of their payment.

They are again encouraged to sign up, but the reluctance persists. The question is why, and does the answer foretell future problems for the Treasury Department effort?

The primary reason given is, lack of access 1 2 to banking facilities. In the case of AFDC, where the 3 families are low income and residency is in low income 4 neighborhoods, there is a diminishing access to bank 5 branches. 6 And it will continue. In San Diego low 7 income communities, there has been a one third decrease 8 in bank branches. 9 More banks have closed in San Diego than in 10 San Francisco and Los Angeles combined over the last 11 eight years. I don't think this is a unique phenomena. 12 13 I think there are other cities across the country that 14 are experiencing bank downsizing in the form of a 15 reduction οf banking services in low income 16 communities. 17 This was exacerbated by the savings and 18 loan failure, but is continued to occur in the context 19 of mergers. recipients in 20 Do live these AFT 21 neighborhoods, and will their access be restricted as

1 | well?

Has anyone examined the geographical location of Government support check services? I think you can map it.

I think it would be very easy to geo-code it, and I think my guess would be that you will find most of those people within low and moderate income census tracts, where the highest reduction of banking service is occurring.

What should be done to address this issue, or maybe the assumption is that the recipients should accommodate this deficiency and perhaps over two generations, or perhaps through some changes in demographics, they will accommodate to the process.

The second reason why AFDC recipients did not sign up for the service is cost. There is -- we surveyed of those persons participating, which banks do they participate in, and what is the cost to the customer?

Of course, there's a correlation between cost to the customer, and the level of involvement.

the higher 1 The lower the cost, the level of 2 participation in that individual bank accounts. 3 Some banks do not charge for the service, The service can be, and it's our assumption 4 others do. 5 that the service can be provided at no cost. 6 Treasury is providing the banking The 7 industry a huge amount of capital with this program, 8 and the float on this capital is enough to insure that 9 all participating institutions can make a profit on the 10 deposits without charging the customer. 11 I wonder if you have surveyed, or if you have received information about the potential earnings 12 13 that the banking industry is expecting, and what kind 14 -- what period of time do they expect to have this 15 money, and what rate of return would they expect to get on it over that period of time? 16 17 And to what extent does that compensate for 18 the need to charge for these services? 19 I think the Task Force's experience is 20 almost that you should be charging them to --

participate.

A provision should be made 1 all 2 institutions receiving more than a specified level of 3 accounts be required to provide the service free. The third point it, it's been the position 4 5 the City County Reinvestment Task Force, 6 particularly the community organizations that check 7 cashing outlets are not appropriate facilities for the 8 receipt of electronic transfers of Government checks. potential for usurious rates for 9 10 services in these facilities is high. Check cashing 11 outlets are not regulated and insured institutionally. fact, the only relevant regulation 12 related to customer services at check cashing outlets 13 14 must abide by are Federal racketeering standards. 15 The -- the former spokesperson from the 16 industry who said that they were regulated, 17 the Justice Department, was exactly regulated by 18 correct. 19 I strongly encourage you to have your legal counsel examine the history of this industry in the 20

United States, and our affiliation with undesirable

1	members of the community.
2	I don't think it's somebody who you want to
3	be doing business with. It's our assessment that check
4	cashing outlets are not contributing members of the
5	communities in which they do business.
6	Rather, they are a part of the economic
7	drain to these communities, and contribute to community
8	crime by virtue of their rate structure, their facility
9	upkeep, and their complete absence from any
10	constructive community efforts.
11	They should not be allowed to participate
12	in any way with the electronic transfer program. No
13	give of public funds should be received by this
14	industry.
15	Thank you, very much.
16	MS. LANE: Thank you for your your
17	testimony. We'll go to questions from the Panel, now.
18	Who would like to be first?
19	MR. HAMMOND: I'll take it.
20	MS. LANE: Mr. Hammond, okay.
21	MR. HAMMOND: I always get to go first. No

just wanted to follow up on pretty much a 1 2 recurring theme in that I think all four of the 3 testimonies, which had to do with the expansion of the 4 waivers, and particularly having to deal with language 5 and literacy. 6 And in particular, what I'd like to follow 7 up is, is the issue really one of language? Is it one 8 of literacy, or is it truly one of -- of both, because 9 I think one of the perspectives that -- that people 10 have seen in our -- in -- in our research may have to 11 do with literacy, and I was curious to see what your 12 observations are on that. 13 I'll throw it open to the -- the Panel. 14 MS. MILLER: I think it has to do with 15 actually. And the -- the language barrier 16 particularly in California is quite an issue. 17 Of course, I'm interested nationally as the 18 AARP Director, but I'm really concerned about 19 California.. I also think it's both. 20 MS. FRY: We are 21 dealing with a large number of individuals, and so it's

1	going to vary from individual to individual.
2	I think both components are very strong.
3	In some individuals both are present, on some
4	individuals, one or the other.
5	So, what more to say on that really.
6	MR. BLIESNER: I don't have data that would
7	speak to that issue.
8	MR. HAMMOND: Do you want to ask? Go
9	ahead.
10	MS. LEITER: I just I was going to ask,
11	well all three women on the panel, when when you
12	talked about broadening the standards for the waiver,
13	you mentioned mental.
14	I just wanted to get an idea. Would you
15	consider the fact that it would be unlikely that the
16	elderly would be in a position to remember a pin number
17	to access point of sale or ATM machines that that
18	alone would then qualify, you know, for a waiver?
19	Is that what you would envision that
20	that type of thing?
21	MS. AL-MANSOUR: Actually, I address that

on my written comments. Non -- not only is it -- not 1 2 only would there be a problem and not necessarily 3 remembering the pin number, but it would also increase the vulnerability of that person in terms of security. 4 5 If they're standing in an outdoor ATM going 6 through their purse, looking for something in -- in 7 writing, and trying to read it, it just increases the 8 amount of time that they're on the street 9 financially vulnerable -- I mean, excuse me, personally 10 vulnerable at any -- for any attacks. 11 MS. FRY: I also believe that that should be included as, it could be characterized as mental 12 13 disability. 14 It could also be a reason that you cannot 15 use this style of account and should not be forced to. 16 MR. STAPLES: Ms. Fry? 17 MS. FRY: Yes. 18 MR. STAPLES: You mentioned waivers in a 19 slightly different context, and you just sort of made passing reference to, "and on the street" meaning, I 20 21 think, how we --

1	MS. FRY: Yes
2	MR. STAPLES: get the waiver
3	administered.
4	MS. FRY: Yes.
5	MR. STAPLES: Do you have any thoughts for
6	us on how you think that should best be done?
7	MS. FRY: Well, I I am glad to see there
8	is self certification, and my concern is that as it
9	happens in the individual offices, if somebody is
10	taking an application that there might be pressure, "Oh
11	no, you really can manage this, can't you?"
12	I you know, I'm concerned partly as a
13	training issue for the individual agencies that this is
14	what it means.
15	If someone says, "I can't do it." That's
16	the end of the point.
17	MR. STAPLES: Oh, huh.
18	MS. FRY: Okay.
19	MR. STAPLES: Okay. Another subject.
20	Attachments has come up in this testimony, and in
21	earlier testimony as well.

While I'm not expert on it, my -- my memory 1 2 of this one is that the attachments frequently occur 3 when the source of funds is something in addition to 4 the social security in our case, or SSI, otherwise it 5 would be erroneous attachments. 6 Now, having said that, the ETA account 7 could be limited to the Federal payments going into it 8 in the form of SSA or SSI, or whatever the program 9 might be. 10 Or it could be opened so that other funds 11 could be deposited to the account. Do you have views 12 on that, vis a vis your comments and concerns about 13 attachments? 14 MS. AL-MANSOUR: I think that the present 15 constant to the limitation of the accounts suggest the 16 EFT deposits, and the problem with that is that, once 17 again, the Treasury goal is to help people become more 18 financially secure, and so forth. 19 It would be difficult for them to receive 20 other deposits if they, you know, so chose to do -- to

do so.

But there -- there would be the problem 1 2 there that there could be the attachments placed on the 3 account, and it would make them more vulnerable. And once again, 4 That -- that is true. 5 these attachments are illegal, and that's why what I 6 asked is that that be a clear statement that you send 7 that message out to the financial institutions so that 8 the problems -- I mean, not only is it a problem with 9 third parties going in and trying to attach these 10 accounts, but when the financial institutions which 11 contract with you do something that's illegal, then 12 that's something that should be rectified, and 13 immediately proactively. 14 MS. LANE: On moment. Yeah, we need to 15 change the tape? Okay. If we could just take a short 16 break. 17 (Whereupon, at 2:14 p.m., a brief recess 18 was taken.) 19 MS. LANE: Ms. Fry. 20 MS. FRY: Yeah, I would encourage allowing deposit of other funds. I mean to the extent you can 21

1	encourage savings amongst especially among, you
2	know, my population being the very lowest of low
3	income, I think that you should do it.
4	At the same time, you know, these
5	attachment problems that I'm talking about are not
6	necessarily attaching anything except the clearly
7	protected funds.
8	So I do think there does need to be more
9	done in policing that.
10	MR. STAPLES: Well, there are obviously
11	going to be errors.
12	MS. FRY: Yeah. There can be errors, and
13	the problem is that the time it takes to fix that error
14	is too long, and this is an opportunity to say it.
15	MR. STAPLES: The reason I was asking the
16	question, I I don't know if you were here for the
17	introductions this morning.
18	I'm with the Social Security
19	Administration, so and the attachments issue is one
20	that we encounter today.
21	MS. FRY: Uh, huh.

1	MR. STAPLES: You don't need to have
2	electronic things to get into the attachments issue.
3	MS. FRY: Right.
4	MR. STAPLES: But this was an opportunity,
5	if one wanted to go that way, under this program, to
6	restrict the account in such a way that it be easy to
7	identify.
8	MS. FRY: Yeah. There you're going to
9	have records about, you know
10	MR. STAPLES: Right.
11	MS. FRY: how much has come in and how
12	much has gone out.
13	MR. STAPLES: Uh, huh. Okay.
14	MR. SHELTON: So that I can I guess get a
15	matter of record here, and may some understanding of
16	education for myself, you talk about people cannot
17	communicate, they're illiterate, or the language
18	barrier because of the diverse society, and so on,
19	could you make it a matter of how do they function now?
20	Because they're in normal everyday life,
21	besides the things we're talking about here. Changes

1	come about and so on.
2	So how do they go about functioning and
3	and doing the things that are every day life issues
4	now?
5	MS. FRY: Interpersonal action
6	interpersonal interactions, communications, depending
7	on friends, relatives.
8	Sometimes those who are paid to take care
9	of you and that unfortunately is an area of abuse at
10	times.
11	So forcing cutting off those
12	communications is really putting a burden on people.
13	Now they're they're dependent for yet another step.
14	I mean, they may already be dependent on
15	somebody who takes them around, and then they have a
16	relationship with somebody in the neighborhood who will
17	help them cash a check, do all of these things.
18	I'm not saying this is ideal, but it is
19	working. I think Maxine Waters really did make the
20	point well.
21	These people have figured out a way to do

things. If it works for them, and you know, don't make 1 2 them be the ones who pay for your need to save some 3 money on this. You know, this -- this population really 4 5 should be left alone and to slowly maybe partly as --6 as the younger generation grows into this, there --7 some of these -- these resistances will lower. 8 MR. SHELTON: Ms. Al-Mansour. 9 MS. AL-MANSOUR: There's also a quality of 10 life issue here. For a lot of our elderly, because 11 we've gotten more into a technological area, you don't have the mailman, the postal deliver won't be coming as 12 13 often, and so forth, they have very little contact with 14 -- with people and society. 15 Their children move away and so forth. Ιf they are forced to use a machine, and to access only a 16 17 machine, it most definitely would also be a detriment 18 to their quality of life as well. 19 What about processing the MR. SHELTON: waiver itself? 20 21 MS. AL-MANSOUR: You mean in terms of

1	procedures, or?
2	MR. SHELTON: I guess they're going to get
3	a piece of paper, they're going to get something to
4	say, "This is what's going to happen to you, and do you
5	want to waive it?"
6	Are they going to be capable of doing that,
7	or able to do that? I don't know, I'm just.
8	MS. FRY: I'm hoping that the educational
9	materials the Treasury sends out will be top notch,
10	first rate, appropriate literacy levels
11	MR. SHELTON: I'm sure they will.
12	MS. FRY: I'm counting on it, and and
13	that there will be lots of community education.
14	MR. SHELTON: Okay.
15	MS. AL-MANSOUR: We work together.
16	MR. SHELTON: Yes, I see that.
17	MS. AL-MANSOUR: But but also the
18	community based organizations be given appropriate
19	funding as well, whether it's asking for additional
20	appropriations from Congress, or whatever, so that

organizations that are here, and that have -- are going

to be following us will be provided the funds that they 1 2 need in order to support the communities that they 3 serve. MR. SHELTON: Uh, huh. 4 5 MS. MILLER: Well, I can tell you that AARP 6 is already gearing up, and when we do know the -- the 7 rules -- the final rule, we will then have strategy 8 plans to help address this. 9 But we are definitely gearing up at this 10 point particularly at the education level. 11 MS. LANE: Ms. Al-Mansour, you talked a -a bit about the ETA account, and you mentioned that you 12 13 thought it should be full service, and that cost was a 14 most important factor. 15 One of the things we've heard from other 16 witnesses is that the more features you add to the 17 account, the more likely it will cost. 18 So what -- what would you say if you had to 19 strike a balance there? What -- what would you do? 20 it important to keep that cost down low, and if so,

what features would you say might be optional?

MS. AL-MANSOUR: Well, I think that some of 1 2 the costs are -- are fictitious costs, as Jim Bliesner 3 said. The banks will be making some money on the 4 5 And like the example I raised with Bank of 6 America, where if you just want to get 7 accounting of -- of what's in your account, it costs 8 two dollars to do that if you're not withdrawing money. 9 I mean it doesn't make sense that it would 10 cost two dollars just to go to an ATM and to get a --11 a slip showing what's in -- in your account, or cost four dollars if you want to go inside and -- and 12 actually speak to a teller as opposed to using, you 13 14 know the ATM machine. 15 So, I guess I would question that --MS. LANE: You're saying that you question 16 17 some of the costs. 18 MS. AL-MANSOUR: Exactly. Exactly. 19 MR. SHELTON: I'm going to follow up on 20 that question, going back to, if you were here earlier 21 my model questions -- the model and the cost and so on,

1	particularly you, Ms. Al-Mansour, is that you said,
2	"Keep the costs affordable," but everything you listed,
3	all the services you listed you said should be free.
4	I got a little confused of what's free and
5	what's affordable, so could you build that model for me
6	of saying, what would be the services of the model, and
7	what do you think it should cost.
8	MS. MILLER: I don't think I can do that
9	for you, but I certainly
10	MR. SHELTON: I I'm sorry, I was
11	addressing Ms. Al-Mansour.
12	MS. AL-MANSOUR: Al-Mansour, thank you.
13	Although if you want to
14	MR. SHELTON: You can try it, too. I'll
15	ask all of you.
16	MS. MILLER: I haven't got the answer to
17	that.
18	MR. SHELTON: Well, in particular, she had
19	so much in her testimony about the cost, and and
20	what should be free and what shouldn't, you know,
21	should be affordable.

I wasn't clear where the definition was.

MS. AL-MANSOUR: Well, definitely -- okay, when you look at checking services, I can see how there is more of a cost that a bank would incur in providing checks.

However, they should do a minimum number of -- of checks that people can -- can actually draft.

The same thing with -- withdrawals.

There should be a minimum number of withdrawals that people can do at a -- free. I guess what I'm saying is, yes, we are asking for a lot, in maybe the bankers' opinion, in terms of what they provide, but the bottom line is, is that before there's actually the study done to show, as Jim Bliesner said, exactly how much money they will be receiving, and through this and through the floats and everything else, then they should not be allowed to financially benefit at the detriment of the clients.

Because, essentially, the money is being taken from them -- from their limited source that they already have.

So only once, you know, the studies are 1 2 done, and so forth, should I think -- it should be 3 determined all these -- these costs are too -- too high 4 and so forth -- excuse me, too high for the banks to 5 incur. 6 But we should -- the bottom line is, the 7 recipient should not be the ones who are put at a 8 detriment because the banks and these other third party 9 check cashers and so forth are -- are profiting at 10 their loss. 11 MR. SHELTON: How would you --I think Ms. Fry has a --12 MS. FRY: 13 MR. SHELTON: Oh, excuse me. Go ahead. 14 MS. FRY: I'd actually like to just say two 15 things. I think some of the testimony has drawn 16 comparisons experiences between EBTstate in 17 distributing TANIF funds and social security SSI. 18 Keep in mind that SSI and social security 19 especially recipients are going to be receiving more 20 money.

There's more money to be made off of these

1 accounts by the bankers. Also, I'm sorry, I'm losing 2 my train of thought, but I would concur also that there 3 need to be a minimum number of transactions. 4 If you're not -- are not going to allow any 5 checks, you've got to keep in mind, how are people 6 going to pay their rent? 7 That's going to be a sizeable portion. Ιf 8 your ATM or whatever your withdrawal devise is limited 9 to 200 or \$300, how do you pay your 500 or 600 or \$700 10 rent? 11 You probably can't have 700 or even \$600 rent on these fund levels, but these are things you 12 13 need to keep in mind too. 14 MR. SHELTON: Right. How -- how do you --15 oh, excuse me. Go ahead. 16 I belong to a credit union, MR. BLIESNER: 17 and I don't pay any costs on my checking account. 18 have unlimited free withdrawal from my ATMs, and I have 19 an in-house ID system that allows me to go to present 20 a credit cart, or I mean the credit union card for my

identity.

Why shouldn't these recipients be treated 1 2 like anyone else in our society, that they are a 3 banking customer, and that the bank uses their money, and they should be afforded those -- that level of 4 5 service for -- for free. 6 MR. SHELTON: Uh, huh. 7 By virtue of the fact that MR. BLIESNER: 8 they have signed up, and the bank knows every single 9 month that a check's going to be coming from the 10 Federal Government, you can make money off of guarantee 11 receipt of money from the Federal Government. 12 I mean, you can -- you can buy bonds. 13 can make expenditures in secondary markets based upon 14 a relative degree of certainty that you're -- that that check is going to be coming from the Federal Government 15 every month into that ATM -- into that account. 16 17 That is security -- long term access to 18 those funds. So in our opinion, that they should have 19 -- they should be in bank ID systems. We find that with for -- with immigrant 20 21 populations that the process of attaining

identification, you know, credit cards, licenses, so on and so forth, is cumbersome, and some of them don't get around to it, particularly the older ones.

That they should be able to -- when they establish the account, I think Union Bank has a program where they have an in house process for checking the background of the person, giving them a Union Bank ID card that allows them to access the services at the bank.

MR. SHELTON: How do you -- I'm talking about balance for a minute. How do you balance, bring a balance to those people who are not signed up, versus those that have been brave enough to sign up, yet the individuals, let's say, are in the same economic conditions.

They receive approximately the same amount of money, have the same economic benefits as -- in both groups, but we're giving something to those that have it and not to the others -- what -- do you have any suggestions for that, because I worry about those brave people that did already sign up.

1	MS. AL-MANSOUR: My suggestion is that when
2	you contract with financial institutions, particularly
3	especially the larger ones to take these the
4	unbanked recipients, that you put language in the
5	contract so that the same benefits be applied
6	retroactively for those recipients that are also
7	currently banked with that financial institution.
8	MR. SHELTON: Okay.
9	MS. FRY: And you also leave them the hatch
10	that I asked for, that if their account isn't working,
11	fees have gone up, the banks merged, things aren't what
12	they used to be
13	MR. SHELTON: Then get out.
14	MS. FRY: then get out.
15	MR. SHELTON: Uh, huh. Okay. That's all I
16	have.
17	MS. LANE: Any other questions from Tom?
18	MR. STAPLES: This is for Mr. Bliesner. I
19	was wondering, I wanted to follow up a little bit on
20	your experience with the San Diego EFT program, and the
21	very low level of acceptance.

And I was wondering how much of that you would attribute to the fact that, especially for welfare benefits, and -- and TANIF in particular, that that may be more of a transitory payment, and where someone is looking at only receiving it for a limited period of time, as opposed to something like social security, which is, you know, extended out through the natural life of the individual. Would you -- would you think that there's -- there's a component of that in the low acceptance? MR. BLIESNER: Yes, definitely. I don't have -- the survey didn't ask that question, but off hand. based upon interviews with the Welfare Department, I would say that definitely has an impact. There's -- and I think they could -- they could justify a correlation between permanence of receipt and volume of participation in the volunteer program. I mean some people just don't think they're going to be on the AFDC very long, so they say, "Well,

I'm not going to get involved with that."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Some of them expect to be there for a 1 2 while, and I think we can find a correlation there. 3 MR. STAPLES: Thank you, very much. 4 in that program, I've heard a lot mention of the fact 5 that people tend to think that there's lots of float in 6 the system given the numbers of social security benefit 7 recipients. Did they do any -- did you do any studies 8 9 in that particular program about how quickly the money 10 went in and went out in terms of float gain? 11 MR. BLIESNER: Well --I mean you had mentioned 12 MR. STAPLES: 13 something about you think that they -- the banks ought 14 to pay them to have an account because they're making 15 so much money, and I -- I was just -- because we did --16 we have a -- had a pilot program in the state of Texas, 17 where we had like over there a several year period, 30 18 some thousand people using an account very similar to 19 what, you know, you're talking about. And the float aspects of that account were 20 21 like \$.19 per month per recipient, and I was

wondering if -- if some are -- there are results out of 1 2 our pilot program in Texas differ in some way, 3 particularly in even Don's point about the transient 4 nature of that -- that particular kind of account 5 relationship. 6 So you didn't do any studies on that? I -- I don't have -- it's a 7 MR. BLIESNER: 8 bank information access to make the judgment in terms 9 of an internal analysis of how long people take to 10 spend the money. 11 MR. STAPLES: Right. MR. BLIESNER: We -- we find that on AFDC 12 13 checks that -- that there's roughly between 45 and 55 14 percent where the money is in the account for longer 15 than one week. 16 That you get the rent and the utilities, 17 and it comes out incrementally, depending upon what 18 their relationship with the bank is. 19 We also found that one third --20 Do you have statistics on MR. STAPLES: 21 that?

1	MR. BLIESNER: Pardon?
2	MR. STAPLES: Do you have statistics on
3	that that you could release, or
4	MR. BLIESNER: I'm paraphrasing the
5	information from that I have back in the office. I
6	can
7	MR. STAPLES: Okay. If there is something
8	you can share afterwards, I'd appreciate it.
9	MR. BLIESNER: Okay.
10	MR. STAPLES: Because we have many studies
11	of floats going on, and I'm curious about your
12	MR. BLIESNER: Do you?
13	MR. STAPLES: experience might have
14	been.
15	MR. BLIESNER: Uh, huh. We found also that
16	of those persons who don't participate, that the place
17	that they cash their checks, in order were banks,
18	check cashing outlets, and stores grocery stores.
19	One third in in grocery stores. And so
20	the the debit card system in that kind of a
21	situation might have some merit.

I'd like to ask a question 1 MR. STOUT: 2 about one part of the population that we tend not to 3 And I understand why, because the unbanked focus on. community will be probably the most difficult to try 4 5 and provide a solution here. 6 I just think there is as biq a 7 population as the unbanked that we need to work with 8 between now and January 1, 1999. This is those 9 individuals who receive a check but currently have an 10 ongoing relationship with a financial institution. 11 They have checking accounts. They -- they now deal in -- in that kind of world, yet continue to 12 13 receive a check. 14 I was wondering whether or not you might 15 have experiences in what issues we might need to 16 address to try to move these people, who are already 17 eligible for direct deposit, and have that banking 18 relationships already, into direct deposit. 19 MS. MILLER: I know that as far as AARP is 20 concerned, we would like them to have choices, and that

would answer that as far as we're concerned.

1	MR. BLIESNER: Our experience in terms of
2	getting people to sign up, we we have worked with
3	the banks in the bank branches in the low income
4	neighborhoods where the predominant number of
5	recipients are, and people tend to trust their
6	interaction with a bank person.
7	And so we have asked the community
8	reinvestment personnel to do trainings with tellers
9	that they can automatically identify a particular
10	person who's coming in to cash a Government check.
11	They can say, "Do you know that we have
12	this account available for you, and it costs, so on and
13	so forth."
14	That that interaction seems to have a
15	high incidence of sign up for new recipients.
16	MS. LANE: Okay. Thank you very much for
17	testifying. We'll have a very brief change of Panel
18	break here.
19	Thank you.
20	(Whereupon, at 2:35 p.m., a brief recess
21	was taken.)

1	MS. LANE: Okay. Let's get started with
2	Panel number 4. I'm going to introduce the Panel, and
3	you'll notice if you have an agenda from this morning,
4	there have been some changes.
5	First testifying will be Jerry Doyle, the
6	Department of Community Action. Followed by Tessa
7	Carmen De Roy from Communities for Accountable
8	Reinvestment.
9	Then a change, Selwyn Whitehead, who is
10	with the Economic Empowerment Foundation. And
11	testifying last will be Constance Botelho with the
12	California Reinvestment Committee.
13	Mr. Doyle.
14	MR. DOYLE: Thank you, very much. It's a
15	pleasure to be here this afternoon to be able to give
16	this testimony.
17	I started with thank, and I want to
18	apologize because I have to leave as soon as I finish
19	in order to catch a train, because it's a long walk
20	home.
21	And I wanted to also start off by telling

you that I am representing three different organizations today.

My own, which is the Riverside County
Department of Community Action, the Amador Tuwala
Community Action Agency, which is a two county agency
up in northern California.

And then the Association which represents all community action agencies, Cal-Neva. Each of those agencies have asked me to read their statement, too.

I'm going to combine the two. I want to start off by mentioning the fact that one of the -- one of the problems that I have with this, and I do not proclaim to be a -- an expert in -- in this, or much of anything else for that matter, but yesterday I attended a teleconference from HUD, Housing and Community Development.

And during that teleconference, they gave us an 800 number that anyone in the United States could call, and they could get instant help no matter where they're at from HUD, that they would get direction as to where to go. Great concept.

1	About an hour after I returned to my office
2	in Riverside, I got a call from Washington. A reporter
3	there who happens to work for my local paper, from the
4	paper in my area.
5	He said, "Have you called that number?"
6	And I said, "No." And he said, "Well would you do it
7	for me?"
8	Well, I have a sense of humor so I called.
9	And it was the reason I say I have a sense of humor,
10	because it it kind of amused me that I would do a
11	think like that.
12	I called, and I didn't identify myself. I
13	don't think it would have made any difference. I told
14	them I was from Riverside.
15	"Well, where's that?"
16	"Well, it's in California."
17	"Well, we know where California is at, but
18	where is Riverside? What county is it in?"
19	"Well, it's in Riverside."
20	"Where was that being located at?"
21	"Well, it's between San Bernardino County

1 and Orange County, and LA County, and it's north of 2 Emprio County." 3 "Well, we can't locate it. Don't have any assets all." 4 5 Now you know, the funny thing of it is, the 6 reason I have a little bit of -- of difficulty in 7 dealing with some of the things that -- that are 8 created elsewhere without a real knowledge of what 9 California is like, is that we have 12 programs that 10 HUD supports. 11 We have two major grants from HUD, and they should have known where Riverside County was, because 12 13 we have their programs going there. 14 But they referred instead, to San Diego And -- and I, again, I thought that was kind 15 16 of humorous. 17 The other thing that I wanted to address, 18 which is off the -- the notes, that had to do with a 19 gentleman from San Diego, the comments that he made 20 earlier in relationship to the check cashing.

The experience that I've had,

21

and no

1 personal experience, never had a check cashed at one of 2 those places. 3 However, the clients that I deal with, and we deal with nothing but low income people, homeless, 4 5 low income people, just folks in that category, the 6 experience that I have is from anecdotal information 7 they gave me telling me that they were charged anywhere 8 from 20 to 30 percent to cash their checks. 9 And I said, "Well, why would you do a thing 10 like that? Why wouldn't you go someplace else?" 11 "Because I needed to get the check cashed." And that's -- I -- I sort of am echoing the 12 13 -- the statement that the gentleman made earlier in 14 relationship to, I think you need to check 15 carefully before you become associated in that particular area. 16 17 Then I'll switch directly to some of the 18 information that was provided to me by Amador Tuwala 19 County. The Amador Tuwala County Community Action 20 21 Agency, thanks the Department, as we all do, and they

-- they want to commend the Treasury for bringing the goal of the unbanked -- for the goal of bringing the unbanked, which is most often the low income and elderly into the banking system.

However, as an organization with over 16 years of experience in working with low income elderly, and other unbanked populations, they feel that there's some serious concerns regarding the implementation.

They had -- have had a problem there with banks. They have had difficulty in keeping banks in their -- because they're a small community by the fact that they're two counties banded together under one community action agency should tell you they're very small.

And they had a very difficult time, and only with a great deal of effort were they able to maintain a single bank in the area. The closes bank that is in this one community is 16 miles away.

Seniors, for the most part, once they get really to be seniors up a little bit higher, most of them don't drive, and it is difficult for them to deal

with that kind of a problem. 1 2 Now, move on to -- by the way, my director, 3 Lois Carson, says that our position is exactly the same 4 as the one that I'll present to you from the Cal-Neva 5 Association, was the association of all community 6 action agencies in California and Nevada. The Cal -- the Cal-Neva Association thanks 7 8 the Department, as again, I said we all do. The 9 commend the Treasury Department for bringing 10 banking -- the unbanked into the system, and they 11 recognize that most often, the same group -- the low 12 income, the elderly are the ones that we're dealing 13 with. 14 With over 20 years of experience working 15 with agencies serving low income, elderly and other unbanked populations, Cal-Neva has serious concerns 16 17 also about the implementation. 18 They will go on to say that Cal-Neva is an 19 association of 58 community action agencies, which is

areas

of

concern

what I've already told you.

The

20

21

they're

particularly concerned about senior population and other low income Federal benefit recipients, who will be adversely affected by EFT unless the following four areas are adequately addressed.

The four areas are: consumer protections, access to Treasury, establish EFT account, waivers and the educational campaign.

First on consumer protections, all persons required to use EFT to access their benefits both voluntarily opened accounts and the default Treasury accounts must be protected from unreasonable fees, and from banks contracting with non-bank entities such as cash checking -- check cashing -- they have it backwards -- to provide users access to their funds.

It is important to remember that the voluntary accounts are often voluntary in name only.

We -- we must acknowledge that many recipients open these accounts only because they felt they were forced to in order to receive their benefits.

The proposed rules, despite Congress' intent fail to impose even minimum consumer protections

on accounts voluntarily opened because of the EFT requirement.

All accounts, voluntary and Treasury opened as a result of EFT must provide limits on monthly account fees, provide access to cash withdrawals.

Now, again, I have to tell you that at this point -- it has been a long day -- at this point many of these things have been covered, and perhaps adequately, but being at the lower -- the back end of the agenda, I think that they at least need to be reiterated upon.

The next thing is they need to prohibit fees on point of sale transactions involved in a purchase, sales transaction fees will burden not only the amount or the account user, but harm small businesses that will lose sales if the customer -- customer must pay an additional dollar to two dollars to use their money to make these purchases.

Must provide access to information about account balances and fees. ATMs -- that it's really hard to use ATMs without acquiring quite a bit of

additional cost. 1 2 Provide checking account and savings 3 options, as well as unlimited deposits. These options 4 are consistent with Treasury's goal of bringing the 5 unbanked into the banking system. 6 Provide that the debit credit issue to 7 users of the account established by Treasury carry the 8 name of the financial institution and same appearance 9 as the card used by other bank customers. 10 California is experimenting, or looking at 11 experimenting now with some cards for AFDC people that 12 would not be the same thing as using stamps and that 13 sort of thing. 14 They're trying to get a card that would 15 like everybody else's to eliminate the 16 embarrassment. 17 Provide the same protections as available 18 to other account holders. Provide up front, easily 19 understandable information and fees, and other account

The second point is to access to Treasury

terms.

20

established Federal 1 EFTaccount. The benefit 2 recipients will already -- with already established 3 wish continue accounts, and who to banking 4 electronically, should have access to the Treasury 5 established EFT account, if it provide better access 6 and lower fees. 7 I don't think anyone has covered that point 8 of this at this time. Because the limited banking 9 options and low income in small rural communities, 10 Treasury cannot assume that these previously opened 11 accounts were selected in a competitive banking 12 marketplace. 13 Also, bank mergers and sales can change the 14 original banking relationship and result in increased 15 fees and restrictions. 16 There are instances that I am personally 17 aware of where banks have through their little charges 18 each month, wiped out bank accounts completely --19 people who have not much in those accounts. 20 So that is -- that is a concern there.

Those -- those charges are -- are there.

21

Access to

Treasury EFT account must be -- must also be available 1 2 to those Federal recipients using nonbank entities to 3 provide their funds. To do so -- to do otherwise is to get 4 5 Treasury's endorsement for these entities to prey upon 6 vulnerable customers. 7 Next issue is waivers. Cal-Neva agrees 8 with self certification for waivers. The category of 9 waivers, however, must be expanded. 10 Waivers must be expanded to include mental 11 disability, which has been covered, and adequate literacy skills and lack of English fluency. 12 13 In Riverside County, this is -- this is a 14 In Riverside County on the -- the WIC program, 15 women, infants and children program, 22 percent of the ladies who are on that program are -- are not even high 16 17 school graduates -- are at a very low level as far as 18 literacy is concerned. 19 We have -we have numerous literacy 20 programs that we have instituted. And so this -- this

applies equally to my county as well as up north.

Waivers -- many developmentally disabled 1 2 people individuals stand to lose both 3 financial and personal independence, if the waiver 4 expended include mental category is not to 5 disabilities. 6 According to the local service provider for 7 the developmentally disabled, only a small percentage 8 of their clients have representative payees. 9 A significant number of the developmentally 10 disabled can independently man only small 11 percentage can -- can manage their own affairs. Someone asked a question earlier about what 12 13 people are doing now who are having this -- this 14 difficulty, and the answer is, they're not doing well 15 at all. Some are what HUD has identified as -- as 16 17 homeless people who just sort of wander in and out. 18 They are in a place to live for a couple of days a 19 And the rest of the month, they're out in the month. 20 streets.

So the answer is, they're really not doing

That's another problem, however. 1 well at all. 2 would just simply exacerbate the -- the situation. 3 Not only will a hard fought battle for those 4 independence be lost, but these 5 developmentally disabled individuals will also be 6 vulnerable to unscrupulous representative payees. 7 It just makes them more vulnerable at -- at 8 that level. 9 On the education campaign, the education 10 campaign should be stopped until details of the 11 Treasury established account are known, 12 waiver categories are expanded. 13 The education campaign must go beyond 14 extolling the benefits of electronic fund transfer to 15 providing information on account options, how to select options, and consumer rights. 16 17 And I do not believe that, as far as the 18 educational program is concerned, I do not believe that 19 you should overlook the community action agencies, 20 because we do a great -- great deal now on consumer

education.

And that's throughout the state. And I 1 2 thank you for the opportunity to address you. 3 MS. LANE: Thank you very much for your 4 testimony, Mr. Doyle. Ms. De Roy. 5 MS. DE ROY: Good afternoon. 6 Tessa Carmen De Roy, and I work with a community 7 organization called Sage. 8 Sage currently serves as the convener of 9 communities for accountable reinvestment, which is a 10 coalition that's been a grass roots constituency on 11 banking issues in Los Angeles for the last 10 years. I'm here today to talk about the equity 12 13 issues that raise questions and concerns about not only EFT, but all electronic distribution of Government 14 benefits. 15 And I'm going to take a somewhat different 16 17 I'm basically going to tell you a story of tact. 18 what's happened locally. 19 To start with, it's important to understand 20 that the existing access to banks and electronic 21 infrastructure in Los Angeles is unevenly distributed.

For example, in the 60 square mile area 1 2 that makes up South Central Los Angeles, there are 133 3 check cashing outlets, and approximately 10 branches. 4 5 And six or seven of those bank branches are 6 located in the few remaining business hubs, so the 7 distribution is extremely uneven. 8 It's critical that the implementation of 9 this new policy correct, rather than reenforce and 10 exacerbate the historical inequities that have created 11 this situation. Electronic banking is only useful if one 12 13 electronic infrastructure. And. 14 unfortunately, banks are not the only large businesses 15 that have left the community. 16 If you drive around the neighborhood, 17 you'll notice that there are very few supermarkets, 18 large retailers or drug stores, venues that many banks 19 are beginning to partner with in order to access the 20 community.

And since the standard services that one

expects just aren't present, they are -- the standard services are -- just aren't present because people -- there's a systematic disinvestment from these communities.

So let me start off by telling you about what electronic transfer benefits looks like from the street level.

While California does not yet have an official electronic benefits transfer program, LA County did begin to distribute benefits electronically for AFDC, TANIF and GR in June of this year.

For years and years postal workers have been mugged while delivering benefit checks, and so last year, after their union took this concern on as a health and safety issue, the County decided that it would be safer to distribute check -- cash benefit checks electronically.

Since check cashers were the only businesses in low income communities that could afford to develop the infrastructure -- the electronic infrastructure necessary to receive these benefits

under the new system, the County contracted with these outlets to serve as distribution centers.

Originally, there were 44 sites where recipients could pick up their checks. It's 44 sites in a 600 square mile area, okay, for 350,000 recipients, slightly different than many of the other communities that we're dealing with, I'm sure.

As additional outlets were contracted to serve as distribution centers, the original 44 check cashers saw their market shares cut in upon, and their profits fading away, they tried to sue the County and lost.

In order to avoid overcrowding at these sites, at the 44 original sites, and this continues, and to accommodate the distribution preferences of Wells Fargo Bank, who issued the checks, the County began to stagger the distribution of benefits over a 10 day period.

It probably didn't hurt that the County was also making a float on the \$170 million over that 10 days, or a portion of it every day.

Suddenly people who usually received their benefits on the 1st of the month were no longer able to access them until perhaps the 5th, the 7th, or the 10th, and unfortunately, many landlords were unwilling or unable to wait several extra days, and many recipients found themselves facing three day notices, evictions, or at best, perpetual late fees.

The new system also made it expensive and dangerous to get a benefit check. The people who had been robbing the mail carriers got wise to the system pretty quickly, and they -- there have been several muggings directly outside of the check cashers on benefit distribution days.

The expenses created by the distribution system ranged from the costs of travelling to and from the distribution center itself to the charges incurred to cash the benefit check on site.

Under the Los Angeles system, no direct deposit option was offered. So recipients have been forced to use the check cashers whether or not they're banked.

Nonetheless, 20,000 recipients monthly have 1 2 made their way to -- to the issuing bank, Wells Fargo, 3 in order to avoid the fees of these -- of the check 4 cashers. 5 There, they're greeted with flyer directing them either to an armored car in the parking 6 7 lot, which serves as a teller for people on public 8 assistance, or to another check cashing outlet that 9 Wells Fargo has contracted with to handle the recipient 10 traffic and their branches. 11 Wells Fargo now subcontracts with the check casher where benefit checks can be cashed free of 12 13 charge. It's clear that the check cashers have made 14 15 themselves a one stop center for many of the services 16 that are no longer available in poor communities. 17 Several serve, as we heard earlier, as 18 utility payment centers. Many now offer to pay -- pay 19 day advances which amount to \$200 loans offered at usurious interest rates. 20

while these

However,

21

reap

businesses

enormous profits in communities where banks say, "No profit can be made," they do not offer a safe place for people to save their money, earn interest, or develop a credit history.

And while the \$200 pay day loans are credit of a sort, they're not enough money to start a business or buy a home, or even a computer for that matter.

And the rates are comparable to those available through a loan shark. It's clear that the banks do not intend to build free standing branches, or reopen branches in these communities, and that at best, most are focused at this moment -- moment in time on developing partnerships with the markets or large retailers, like the recent partnership between Wells Fargo and Starbucks.

But there are very few markets or retailers with significant presence in poor communities, and certainly no Starbucks in South Central or Pocoima.

It's unfortunate that Linda Burton, who was supposed to be on this panel could not be here today. She broke her foot last night.

Linda is, herself, a benefit recipient, and 1 2 basically lead the -- the charge to organize and 3 educate and fight to make the system in Los Angeles 4 work for people, and we just recently won a direct 5 deposit option for people receiving AFDC in Los Angeles 6 County that will allow people to make use of low and no 7 fee checking accounts. 8 As we heard earlier, there are several 9 lifeline accounts that have a small fee, but if you 10 direct deposit you check, the fee gets waived. 11 We are still hard pressed, however, 12 figure out where people will access branch services in 13 these communities. 14 So the challenge facing all of us is how we 15 can make sure that EFT and all electronic banking can 16 be as accessible and affordable for people in poor 17 communities as it is for people affluent areas. 18 If check cashers are the infrastructure 19 that these programs will depend upon, then we need to think about regulating that industry. 20

If we're serious about making mainstream

banking services attractive to recipients, and getting large numbers of people banked, then we have to make sure that the bank services are available within a reasonable distance of where people live, and at a reasonable cost.

Both Sage and CAR, the two organizations that I represent, would be happy to participate in a pilot program to help determine what would be needed to make EFT convenient and safe, and affordable to residents in low income neighborhoods, and to avoid the kinds of problems that I've just described from our recent experiences with electronic transfers here in Los Angeles.

We have a lot of informational relationships that could help jump start a program like that quickly, and I would encourage you to consider that option before implementing a program that will not meet community needs.

I would also encourage you to look at the testimony -- the written testimony that was submitted on behalf of Ms. Burton.

It -- she was, herself, a teller and went 1 2 through several mergers and closures in South Central, and has watched all the bank branches close and move 3 4 away. 5 So she has a very interesting and extremely 6 informed perspective on what it means to be a recipient 7 who used to be a bank teller, and to be told that 8 you're not allowed to have a bank account in Los 9 Angeles under the County system. 10 But I think it would also provide some 11 insights around how this system might represent 12 barriers in communities where there's iust no 13 infrastructure. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. LANE: Thank you. Ms. Whitehead? 16 MS. WHITEHEAD: Hello. Is it on? 17 I'm Selwyn Whitehead. Good afternoon. I'm the President and the Executive Director of the Economic 18 19 Empowerment Foundation. I wanted to take this opportunity to thank 20 21 Department of the Treasury for holding

important forum in California.

The Economic Empowerment Foundation is an independent, California based, urban center, applied economics research, community economic empowerment education and consumer information, communications, as well as women, consumer or color, urban residents and small businesses advocacy organization.

To its fact, an ethics based research, education, information, communications and advocacy program.

EEF contributes to the economic stability and revitalization of California Urban centers to the benefit of those who live and work there, and our society as a whole.

that California urban center residents and small businesses have the same or similar access to the financial resources they require for their economic survival as their suburban and rural neighbors.

Founded on December 30, 1991, EEF is a non partisan public benefit corporation exempt from taxes.

EEF believes that the goals of social justice and economic strength can only be achieved in a society that fully integrates people of color, women and urban residents into its market systems.

EEF strives to reform the various segments of the financial services industry, including banks, insurance companies and capital formatters.

To become more human, yet businesslike in their relationships and dealings with people of color, women and urban residents, EEF monitors the activities of regulators and oversight legislators of the financial services industry, and on more than one occasion intervenes to legally compel those regulators and legislature to discharge their sworn duty to protect the public with the highest degree of ethics and fairness.

This is a continuation of a project that I'd been working on for the last five years. In fact, I'm rather tired, because I've spent most of the weekend up in Seattle.

I also represent California insurance

consumers before the National Association of Insurance Commissioners.

They, like you all, are using, or shall I say, potentially misusing -- or shall I be more polite and say, being rather arrogant with the use of technology.

And it tends to create what I call, or what others have called the Tiffany Walmart access approach to urban residents -- or maybe I should rephrase it, Tiffany Walmart \$1.99 store kind of approach, where, you know at the one end, those who are very affluent get Tiffany kind of services, middle income consumers, get Walmart, and it appears now that we're going to have this \$1.99 kind of store you probably don't have in your communities, but out at the east mall, it's a place where you supposedly could get anything for no more than \$1.99.

And it's quite unusual looking merchandise.

Like many advocates across the country, I have major

concerns at the implementation of Electronic Funds

Transfer 99 will negatively impact low to moderate

income Government benefit recipients. 1 2 However, due to time constraints, I will 3 focus my comments today on the potential negative 4 impact 99 will have small business EFT on our 5 community. 6 As it is the one area the proposed rule 7 does not address, well I'll correct that it does say 8 that you all don't think it's that big of a deal, 9 because you have two categories of waivers that I don't 10 think addresses either one of the needs. 11 While there are numerous public policy reasons to shift to electronic benefits transfers, like 12 13 policy actions, there may unintended most 14 consequences. 15 My concern is that many small businesses will be at a negative, competitive disadvantage for two 16 17 reasons if no economic and technical assistance is made available to them. 18 19 1) Electronic benefits transfer will lower 20 the cost of operations for large businesses to capture

their customers.

1	2) Their customers will migrate to those
2	businesses that offer a full array of electronic
3	services.
4	Thus the financial viability of our small
5	business merchants, which is crucial to the economic
6	stability of my community, Oakland, California, and I'm
7	sure Los Angeles, and I'm sure other urban centers
8	across the country will be undermined.
9	Like the switch to electronic banking,
10	generally the use of Electronic Funds Transfer for
11	Government benefits will require
12	MS. LANE: Excuse me, Ms. Whitehead.
13	MS. WHITEHEAD: Oh.
14	MS. LANE: The tape change.
15	MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay.
16	(Whereupon, at 3:10 p.m., a brief recess
17	was taken.)
18	MS. LANE: Okay.
19	MS. WHITEHEAD: As I was saying, like the
20	switch to electronic banking, generally the use of
21	Electronic Funds Transfer for Government benefits will

require consumers to be familiar with electronic commerce technologies.

And will require merchants to have point of sales electronic transaction capabilities. The latter requirement will be particularly important for small neighborhood merchants, many of whom currently cash benefits checks for the -- I have unbanked, but I like that cash consumer -- I like that -- that term better -- neighborhood residents for free with a purchase of some level of goods or services.

And who stand to lose an important customer base if they cannot continue to provide goods and services to these customers in a cash free environment.

Again, for this population of residents and merchants, there is an urgent need for access to low cost bank accounts, or no cost bank accounts, electronic banking facilities in the communities in which they leave, and sufficient electronic point of sales transaction and banking and commerce equipment for both consumers and merchants.

The Federal Government and the banking

communities are high on Electronic Funds Transfers, because there are enormous savings that will have experiences resulting of implementation.

According to you all, Electronic Funds

According to you all, Electronic Funds

Transfer will save the Federal Government as much as \$1

million a year in processing costs alone.

And thank you, Mr. Staples, for indicating that you're actually going to be saving me this money, because since it's my money that makes me feel even more confident in telling you what to do with my money.

And banks will save many times that amount by closing down bank branches and moving their customers to electronic forms of banking.

And don't think that this isn't being perceived as a -- a red flag, an opening of a window of opportunity that you might not have intended to be so.

To put it bluntly, my concern is how the public is going to share in this financial windfall. How do we make sure that Government and industry provide recipients and small business distributors of electronic payments a share of the enormous cost

savings that we, you, us -- all of us are going to see as a result of electronic benefits in 1999.

In order to address this Our proposal. urgent issue, I'm asking you all to work in concert with local, knowledgeable community based organizations local governments have major banks and to and yourselves underwrite electronic banking and commerce pilot projects in my home city of Oakland and across the country including Los Angeles, which offer electronic commerce outreach, education, and the hardware and software to be used by individual consumers and small business merchants.

That you have a better understanding of my position, I've provided a copy of our concept paper entitled, "San Antonio Economic Community Project -- A Concept Paper."

This paper was developed under the auspices of the City Council of the City of Oakland as a result of Bank of America's decision to close its branches at East 18th Street in Oakland.

We had hoped Bank of America, as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

largest bank in the west with some \$257.5 billion in assets would show some leadership by undertaking this citywide pilot to help our communities transition to electronic commerce.

I offer it today as a kind of project that the Treasury should be willing to help underwrite with a small portion of our cost savings.

The essence of the pilot program calls for the major financial beneficiaries of Electronic Funds Transfer 99, the banks and us through you to provide to the parties who must bear the burden of the transition to Electronic Funds Transfer, the cash consumer portion of our population, along with the small business merchants who currently provide the goods and services with the means to develop, achieve and maintain access to the state of art electronic banking and electronic commerce service delivery systems needed to replace the brick and mortar face to face, cash based access some of us have had and grown accustomed to, that all of us should have had.

To implement the pilot will require that

the banks and the Treasury, us, be responsible for supplying some number of point of sales terminals, personal computers and software, as well as on-site technical assistance and training to a selection of community based, economically disadvantaged small businesses.

To be effective, the pilot must be inclusive of the entire community. As such, we would also need the banks and us to supply some number of personal computers and software to a selection of community based non-profit services organizations.

These entities will use the computers to assist the electronic commerce education and training of the community.

Examples of appropriate organizations include school districts, libraries, churches, senior citizen centers, community centers, and other strategically located non-profits that are willing and able to offer education support.

In conclusion, I would offer that the growth of the electronic banking, electronic commerce,

1	electronic benefit transfer, the use of credit reports
2	to decide who gets insurance and who doesn't, the use
3	of internet to sell all kinds of products including
4	insurance.
5	We must do all that we can to assure that
6	the expansion of the electronic highway does not bypass
7	our most vulnerable communities and vulnerable
8	businesses.
9	Sufficient electronic on ramps must be
10	constructed. As a tax payer, I'm willing to pay part
11	of the freight.
12	I hope you would agree, and I trust you can
13	see the need and the merit of this proposal.
14	Thank you, very much.
15	MS. LANE: Thank you, Ms. Whitehead. Ms.
16	Botelho?
17	//
18	MS. BOTELHO: Good afternoon. My name is
19	Constance Botelho, and I'm the Program Associate with
20	the California Reinvestment Committee, or CRC.
21	Thank you, very much for the opportunity to

present the views of CRC's members on the Treasury's 1 2 proposal rule to implement EFT 99. As Alan Fisher from CRC mentioned before, 3 CRC is a statewide membership organization of 180 4 5 members. 6 We use the Community Reinvestment Act to 7 advocate for increased access to credit and financial 8 services for low income people, and people of color. 9 We are particularly concerned with the 10 impact that EFT 99 on Federal payment recipients who do 11 not have bank accounts. Treasury's own study reveals that more than 12 13 50 percent of these people object to legislation that 14 would require direct deposit. As CRC's mission is to increase access to 15 financial institutions, we agree with Treasury that EFT 16 17 99 presents the unique opportunity to bring Federal 18 payment recipients without bank accounts into the 19 financial mainstream. 20 in order to accomplish However, 21 without imposing any undue financial burden on these

recipients, Treasury must modify its proposed rule. 1 2 Okay, I will comment on four topics, some 3 of which have -- all of which at this point actually 4 have been touched upon. 5 Hopefully I have some new things to say 6 about them, and I want to reiterate what's been 7 mentioned already. 8 Number one, the waiver provisions must be 9 broadened. 10 Number two, Treasury's public education 11 campaign must inform recipients of all of 12 options. 13 There must be a quarantee that protections 14 against attachment and set off which exist in current 15 law will apply to funds electronically transferred into 16 ETA accounts, or other accounts. 17 blanket And lastly, that the waiver 18 provision that was mentioned earlier found at the end 19 the proposed regulations, which authorize the 20 Secretary to waive any provision of the rule must be

changed.

First on the waivers. We are pleased with 1 2 Treasury's proposal to allow recipients to self certify 3 their eligibility for these waivers. 4 However, the waiver provisions must be 5 broadened to allow waivers for hardships posed by 6 mental disabilities, a lack of literacy, or language 7 barriers. 8 The financial hardship waiver should be 9 available to recipients regardless of whether or not 10 they have a bank account. 11 This is especially critical for all those recipients who open voluntary accounts simply in order 12 13 to comply with the new law only to learn that after a 14 few months they can't afford the account that they've 15 opened. 16 The proposed rule implies that recipients 17 will have only one opportunity to waive out of EFT. 18 Recipients must be able to get waivers at any point. 19 Because there are any number of reasons why 20 recipients who are able to participate in EFT now may

not be able to in the future, a one time only policy is

not rational.

For instance, the consolidation of the banking industry is leaving many communities, particularly low and moderate income communities, which -- some of which you heard about today, without retail branches.

If a recipient establishes an account at a local branch, which later closes leaving them no access point by which to access their account in their neighborhood, then this person should have the ability to then waive out of EFT due to a geographic barrier.

There are obviously other examples as well of physical disabilities that would happen over time, and also the financial hardship waiver which I mentioned earlier.

Additionally, all the EFT waiver categories should be available to all Federal payment recipients regardless of when they began receiving their payments.

Lastly, the usefulness of the waivers is only as good as the Treasury's ability to publicize their availability.

People must know that there are waivers, and that they can self certify their own eligibility. This means the information on the waivers, which Treasury distributes must be easy to read, available in several languages, and sent along with a first official notice about the proposed program.

Okay. Number two, regarding the public education campaign. We understand the Treasury Department will launch a public education campaign to inform recipients of EFT 99, and to encourage them to receive their benefits electronically.

It is critical that this campaign informs people of all their options. In addition to the availability of waivers, recipients need to know about the Treasury's proposed electronic transfer account, and the temporary waiver that they will receive if they don't have a bank account until the electronic transfer account becomes available.

Recipients that are persuaded to open accounts before the ETA is available could easily fall prey to the abuse of practices of check cashers which

we've heard a lot about today, or open bank accounts that are too costly.

As Treasury's no doubt aware, after the hearings, I know in Baltimore it came to Treasury's attention that check cashers had begun advertising the discontinuance of SSI checks and social security checks slated for 1999.

Clearly there's a real and present danger that recipients who depend on their Federal checks for their survival will be lured in by these false advertisements to insure that they keep receiving payments.

One of the problems which has already been touched on earlier by Alan and by others is that check cashers cash -- charge, I'm sorry, exorbitant fees for their services.

The other problem with the establishment of voluntary accounts -- with one of these check cashers is that according to the proposed rule, once the account is set up, recipients are no longer eligible for an electronic transfer account or financial -- or

for a financial hardship waiver.

Given that Treasury has held two community outreach initiative meetings on EFT 99, it's clear that Treasury understand that consumer and community groups are in many ways best equipped to have a face to face, hands on contact with the recipients, which is essential for the success of the program.

To accomplish Treasury -- to accomplish Treasury's education and outreach goals, I would like to personally -- I say personally suggest that the Federal Government annually grant \$20 million of its estimated \$100 million in annual savings from the ETF -- EFT program to community and consumer non-profit organizations to help Treasury spread the word about the EFT 99 program.

This financial support would be given on an annual basis to these non-profits during the start up years of the program.

Additionally, we believe that the Federal Government has a responsibility to fill the gap that will exist in basic banking instruction, ATM

demonstrations and the like.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

For those unbanked recipients who either have electronic voluntary accounts, or who transfer accounts, these educations workshops could demonstrations be conducted by financial institutions and community organizations, and then be subsidized by the Federal Government during the first few years of the program.

Obviously the information on the EFT 99 must be clear, easy to understand and available in several appropriate languages, which I know has been touched upon throughout the course of today's hearing.

Thirdly, the protections from attachment and set off which were also addressed earlier. Despite the clear protections in Federal law against attachment and garnishment of social security, supplemental security income and VA benefits, numerous consumers do not use bank accounts because they're afraid their limited funds will be attached or taken by judgment creditors.

Treasury's proposed regulations do not

adequately address this issue. I understand that one of Treasury's goals is to demonstrate the benefits of electronic transfer, or direct deposit to those recipients who already have bank accounts, yet choose to continue receiving paper checks.

Letting recipients know that their Federal payments are protected, even if they're electronically deposited will help Treasury accomplish its goals, while at the same time reassuring the recipients that their money will be safe from judgment creditors.

Treasury's regulations should flatly prohibit the attachment or garnishment of any funds in an account into which the covered Federal benefits have been deposited.

Lastly, on the proposed Section 208.10, we believe that this Section 208.10 must be modified. Without offering any explanation as to the need for, or intent of this provision, Treasury simply proposes adding a regulation that would enable the Secretary to waive any provision of these rules whenever the Secretary deems it necessary or appropriate, without

having to go through a formal rule making process or 1 2 apparently any other formal review process. 3 This sounds like a loop hole. This 4 provision would give the Secretary the power 5 withdraw any of the of the protections granted by the 6 rule at his or her discretion. 7 If this provision is intended to give the 8 Secretary the right to change provisions of the rule, 9 only in the face of some unexpected failure in the 10 system, which requires immediate attention, then the 11 rule must clearly state this. Further clarification of this Section is 12 13 required to close this loop hole and limit 14 Secretary's ability to change the rule as he or she sees fit. 15 In closing, CRC does see the potential 16 17 benefits of EFT 99, yet the proposed rule does need to 18 adequately -- more adequately address the concerns that

I and others have brought up today, and also at the

We hope that Treasury will modify its

public hearings.

19

20

incorporating 1 proposed rule bу these these 2 recommendations, and thank you again the 3 opportunity to share the views of CRC's members. 4 MS. LANE: Thank you. Questions from the 5 Panel? 6 MR. STAPLES: Ms. Botelho, on the Yes. 7 attachments issue again, let me ask my question. 8 you recommend restricting funds going into the ETA 9 account to the protected funds? 10 MS. BOTELHO: I know this came up the last 11 time, and I actually wasn't in the room when the 12 question came up, but I -- I do feel that the way that 13 I have worded it, and the way that I was thinking about 14 it, and I'm not sure how this would -- maybe the 15 lawyers could respond to this, is that if the -- the --16 if the accounts that the funds are in, all the funds in 17 that account would be protected. 18 I do believe that there's a trade off there 19 between having the ability to deposit funds from, say, 20 your family, or from other sources into those accounts,

I think that should be an option for people.

MR. STAPLES: You would want to allow other 1 2 3 MS. BOTELHO: Yeah. 4 -- deposits into the account? MR. STAPLES: 5 Uh, huh. MS. BOTELHO: 6 MR. STAPLES: Okay. 7 MS. LANE: Miss Whitehead, in reading your 8 proposal, it looked like it was a pilot of what might 9 eventually be an ETA account, and some other features, 10 also, training and -- a training center, do you -- my 11 question is, do you have any sense of how much your pilot would cost? 12 13 MS. WHITEHEAD: The detailed proposal, that 14 was for a specific instance, what I had what I'm 15 envisioning now is to select the small mom and pop kind of merchant in Oakland, and not only provide them with 16 17 an upgrade of the terminal that I understand that the 18 Department of Agriculture's going to provide, but one that would allow them 19 to wide array of use a transaction type cards, American Express, ATMs 20 21 whatever.

And I understand that that's an additional 1 2 several hundred dollars, so I'm asking for that amount 3 of money to be waived. In addition, these merchants, since we have 4 5 the opportunity to capture them, I would like them also 6 to have a -- a lap top computer and selected software. 7 They -- they can handle their own telephone 8 access, because they -- they are in business, but our 9 -- when our economist did a -- a proforma, we were 10 looking at \$2,500 to \$3,500 worth of equipment, 11 including the upgrade of the point of sale terminal. And the training might be something that a 12 -- a group could handle with a staff of -- of four or 13 14 five people going on a rotating basis, maybe initially 15 weak -- you do have to go to the merchant's shop. This is not something that the merchants 16 17 can shut down his business and go to a training center. 18 You would have to go to his or her shop and probably 19 sit with the merchant maybe two hours initially, and then trailing off as they became more comfortable. 20

But the idea would have -- would be to have

them do their banking on line with -- with the bank. 1 2 And the idea would be to instill in that merchant who 3 already has a spirit that the community wants to 4 foster, that is not be an employee -- be an employee, 5 be an employer, and that person could then help spread 6 the -- evangelize, as it were, electronic commerce 7 throughout his or her community. 8 And think it's worthwhile we 9 investment. 10 MS. LANE: Thank you. 11 If I could follow up just a MR. HAMMOND: 12 little bit, Ms. De Roy. You mentioned the penetration 13 of check cashing outlets in South Central LA and 14 compared with retail branch outlets. 15 I was wondering if you had any information 16 available on ATM penetration in that same area, and in 17 addition, whether point of sale at -- at merchants, 18 realizing that, for example the large supermarkets may 19 not be there, whether there's any point of sale 20 penetration as well?

MS. DE ROY:

21

I don't have specific numbers

1	with me on ATMs. I can certainly make that available
2	to you.
3	It's not a whole lot higher. The, I mean
4	the infrastructure is so decimated at this point, that
5	there's very few places to put ATMs.
6	There's very few places to put point of
7	sale, because the retailers that are that remain in
8	the neighborhood are mom and pop establishments by and
9	large, and don't have the the capital to make those
10	improvements.
11	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
12	MS. LANE: Other questions? Mr. Staples.
13	MR. STAPLES: I'm just going to thank Ms.
14	Whitehead for getting us her paper in advance. I was
15	able to use it in my preparation.
16	MS. LANE: Okay, thanks to this Panel. I
17	have a couple of announcements. If you wouldn't mind
18	just waiting a minute, because we had on our agenda a
19	fifth panel.
20	As it turns out, two of the people on that
21	panel had been moved to earlier panels, and the

remaining panelists are going to submit their testimony 1 2 in writing. 3 So we won't be having a fifth panel. conclusion, I would like to thank everyone 4 5 testified today. 6 Thank the people who stayed with us all 7 We've appreciated the -- the comments and the day. 8 ideas you've given us. 9 I'd like to remind everyone that written 10 comments are due by December 16th, which is next week, 11 and point out that we will have the transcript of this 12 Hearing on our web site in about two weeks. 13 And I'd like to ask if people don't have 14 access to the internet, and would like a paper copy, if 15 you'd let someone from Treasury know today, we'll make 16 sure we -- we'll make that available to you as soon as 17 the transcript's available. 18 So thank you, very much. 19 (Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m., the aboveentitled matter concluded.) 20